Hydrogen Peroxide in Workplace Atmospheres



Page 1 of 11

- 1. Introduction
- 1.1 Scope

1.1.1 This method describes the sampling of hydrogen peroxide using TiOSO₄ and the analysis of hydrogen peroxide by differential pulse polarography.

1.2 Advantages and Disadvantages

1.2.1 The analytical method is simple and specific.

1.2.2 The TiOSO₄ complex is stable for over seven weeks. (See the H₂O₂ backup report).

1.2.3 The collection of hydrogen peroxide can be monitored by observing the clear $TiOSO_4$ solution change to a yellow color when the $TiOSO_4$ -H₂O₂ complex forms.

1.2.4 The method has better sensitivity than the calorimetric method (7.1) and has fewer interferences (see H_2O_2 backup report).

1.3 Principle (7.4)

1.3.1 The sample is collected using a midget fritted-glass bubbler containing 15 mL TiOSO4.

1.3.2 The sample is analyzed for H_2O_2 by differential pulse polarography at a dropping mercury electrode. The current (in μA) of known standards are plotted against the concentrations of the standards to quantitate the H_2O_2 .

2. Range and Detection Limit

2.1 The detection limit is 0.10 ppm for a 100 L air Sample. The working analytical range is 5 to 100 µg.

3. Precision and Accuracy

3.1 Eighteen samples were spiked at three levels corresponding to levels of 1/2, 1, and 2 times the PEL. The CV_1 (pooled) for the three levels is 0.0261.

4. Interferences

4.1 Very high levels of strong oxidants and reductants will interfere with the analysis. See the H_2O_2 backup report.

5. Sampling

5.1 Apparatus

5.1.1. An air sampling pump capable of operating at sampling rate of 1.0 L/min. The pump must be properly calibrated so that the volume of air sampled can be determined accurately from the flow rate and time.

5.1.2 Midget fritted-glass bubbler.

5.1.3 0.00115 M TiOSO₄ collection solution.

Page 2 of 11

5.2 Procedure

5.2.1 Sampling is done in accordance with current instructions contained in OSHA directives to the industrial hygienist.

5.2.2 The sample is collected in a midget fritted-glass bubbler containing 10 to 15 mL of 0.00115 M TiOSO₄ solution (6.2.3) using a flow rate of 1.0 liter per minute. A 100 liter air sample is recommended.

5.2.3 Ship to the laboratory as soon as possible. Do not use metal cap liners in the vial caps and tape the lids shut. Send one blank with every 10 samples.

6. Analytical Procedure

6.1 Apparatus

6.1.1 25-mL Class A burette with Teflon stopcock.

6.1.2 Glass volumetric pipettes.

6.1.3 Micropipettes with tips.

6.1.4 125-mL Erlenmeyer flask.

6.1.5 Polargraphic analyzer - model 374 or 384 manufactured by Princeton Applied Research (PAR) or equivalent.

6.1.6 Static mercury drop electrode - PAR 303 or- equivalent.

6.1.7 15-mL glass or polyethylene polargraphic cells.

6.1.8 Nitrogen purification apparatus.

6.2 Reagents - All chemicals should be ACS reagent grade or equivalent, and the dilution water must be deionized.

6.2.1 0.0575 M Titanium oxysulfate: Add 4.6 g TiOSO₄, 20 g (NH₄)₂SO₄and 100 mL concentrated H₂SO₄ to a 500 mL beaker. See precautions in 6.3.1. Heat gradually for several minutes until the chemicals are dissolved. Cool the mixture to room temperature and pour carefully into about 350 mL deionized water in a 500 mL volumetric flask. Filter the solution through an HA filter to remove any particulates, and dilute to 500 mL. The solution should be stable for 6 months.

6.2.2 0.00575 N Titanium oxysulfate: Take a 1-10 dilution of the 0.0575 M TiOSO₄ stock solution by adding 10 mL of the stock solution (6.2.1) to a 100 mL volumetric flask and diluting to volume with deionized water. This solution should be made fresh monthly.

6.2.3 0.00575 M Titanium oxysulfate: Take a 1:50 dilution of the stock TiOSO₄ solution (6.2.1) by adding 2 mL of the stock to a 100 mL volumetric flask and diluting to volume with deionized water. This solution should be made fresh monthly.

6.2.4 Supporting electrolyte: Add 53 g (NH₄)₂SO₄, 38 g EDTA, and 75 mL of 28.8% (NH₄)OH to about 500 mL deionized water in a 1000 mL volumetric flask. Let cool, then dilute to 1000 mL with D.I. water.

6.2.5 4 N Sulfuric acid: Slowly add 112 mL H₂SO₄ to about 500 mL deionized water in a 1 L volumetric flask, stir and let cool. See precautions in 6.3.1. Dilute to 1 L with deionized water.

Page 3 of 11

6.2.6 Starch indicator solution: To 5 g starch add a little cold water and grind in a mortar to a thin paste. Scrape into 1 L of boiling distilled water, stir, and let the covered solution settle overnight. Decant the clear supernate into a brown bottle and preserve with 4 g zinc chloride.

6.2.7 0.1 M Sodium Thiosulfate: Add 24.82 g Na₂S₂O₃·H₂O to about 500 mL deioized water in a 1000 mL volumetric flask and let dissolve. Dilute to volume deionized water. Add two or three mL chloroform to minimize bacterial decomposition.

6.2.8 1 M Ammonium molybdate: Add 20.6 g (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄ to about 50 mL deionized water in a 100 mL volumetric flask and dissolve. Dilute to volume with deionized water. Store in glass.

6.2.9 1 M Potassium iodide: Add 33.2 g of KI crystals to 100 mL deionized water, dissolve, and dilute to 1 L. Store in a brown bottle.

6.3 Precautions

6.3.1 When handling mercury, hydrogen peroxide, or sulfuric acid, gloves and safety glasses must be worn. Extreme care must be observed to avoid splashing or spilling on skin. Add sulfuric acid to water very carefully and never add water to sulfuric acid. Sulfuric acid gives off a great deal of heat when added to water and can splatter or boil violently. To prevent tile heat from shattering the volumetric flask, place the flask in a cool water bath and add the sulfuric acid a little at a time.

6.3.2 Refer to the polarographic instruction manual for instrumental safety precautions (7.2, section I-1, and 7.3 section I-1).

6.4 Sample Preparation

6.4.1 Open the collection vial and measure the sample volume using a graduated cylinder. Take an aliquot of sample and transfer to a 15-mL polarographic cell. The sample aliquot size will depend on the intensity of the color of the collecting solution. If the sample is very yellow, use a 1 mL aliquot of sample and add 4.0 mL of the 0.00115 N TiOSO₄ (6.2.4) If the sample is colorless, use a 5 mL aliquot.

6.4.2 Add 5 mL of the supporting electrolyte (6.2.4) to give a total volume of 10 mL and analyze by differential pulse polarography.

6.5 Standard Preparation

6.5.1 A hydrogen peroxide stock solution is prepared by placing 2 mL of 30% H_2O_2 in a 500 mL volumetric flask and diluting to volume with deionized water. This is approximately 1200 μ g/mL H_2O_2 .

6.5.2 A hydrogen peroxide standard solution is prepared by placing 1 mL of the H_2O_2 stock (6.5) in a 100 mL volumetric flask and diluting to volume with deionized water. This is approximately 12 μ g/mL H_2O_2 .

6.5.3 Prepare a series of standards in the analytical range of 6 to 48 μ g by adding the following serial dilutions. Add to the polarographic cell the appropriate aliquot of the H₂O₂ standard solution (6.5.2) and aliquots of deionized water using the calibrated nicropipettes. Add 1 mL of the 0.00575 M TiOSO₄ (6.2.2) and 5 mL of the supporting electrolyte (6.2.4) to make a total volume of 10 mL.

Page 4 of 11

Stock	Aliquot	Aliquot	Final
Solution	H_2O_2	D.I. H ₂ O	Standard
(ppm)	(mL)	(mL)	(µg)
12	40	0.0	48
12	3.0	1.0	36
12	2.0	2.0	24
12	1.0	3.0	12
12	0.5	3.5	6

6.6 Analysis

6.6.1 Turn on the polarograph, Model 384 and 303 and allow to warm up for at least 30 minutes.

6.6.2 Analyze the standards and samples by differential pulse polarography using the following instrumental conditions:

Initial Potential	-0.820 V
Final Potential	-1.020 V
Purge Time	300 sec
Scan Increment	2 mV
Replications	1
Drop Time	0.5 seconds
Peak Location	Yes
Peak Potential	-0.940 V
Date	as needed

This method is stored as Method No. 2 in the PAR, Model 384.

6.6.3 Prepare the samples and working standard solutions as described in sections 6.4 and 6.5.

6.6.4 Purge each standard and sample for 5 minutes pre-purified nitrogen.

6.6.5 Analyze the reagent blank, standards, and the samples. A standard should be re-analyzed after every 4 or 5 samples.

6.6.6 Record the peak current and potential for each standard and sample in the laboratory notebook. The differential pulse polarogram of hydrogen peroxide gives a peak at approximately -0.940 V.

6.6.7 If any of the samples have enough hydrogen peroxide to be over the PEL, the 1200 μ g/mL stock (6.5.1) must be standardized against the 0.1 H sodium thiosulfate (6.2.7) before a standard curve is prepared. See 6.6.9 through 6.6.12.

6.6.8 Use any available least square regression program to plot a calibration curve of peak current vs. concentration (ppm, ppb, or total μ g) of the standards.

6.6.9 To standardize the H_2O_2 stock solution, transfer the following solutions to a 125-mL Erlenmeyer flask.

1. 10 mL stock 1200 µg/mL H₂O₂ (6.5.1)

2. 10 mL 2N H₂SO₄ (6.2.5)

Page 5 of 11

3. 6 mL 1N KI (6.2.9)

4. 3 drops 1N (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄ (6.2.8)

5. 20 mL D.I. water

6.6.10 The solution is titrated to a very faint yellow with 0.1 N Na₂S₂O₃ (6.2.7) and then 1 mL starch solution (6.2.6) is added to produce a blue color. The titration is continued until the solution becomes colorless.

6.6.11The total amount of $Na_2S_2O_3$ required to reach the endpoint is determined (about 10 mL) and recorded.

6.6.12 Calculate the concentration of the 1200 μ g/mL H₂O₂ stock, the 12 μ g/mL standard, and the actual concentrations of the standards to be used in the standard curve.

6.7 Calculations

6.7.1 Subtract the initial volume of sodium thiosulfate from the volume at the endpoint. This is the total volume of $Na_2S_2O_3$ used.

Since:

2 S₂O₃⁼ + H₂O₂ + 2 H⁺ ----> S₄O₆⁼ + 2 H₂O

Then:

 $M Na_2S_2O_3 \times V Na_2S_2O_3 = 2 \times M H_2O_2 \times V H_2O_2$

or:

 $0.1 \times mL Na_2S_2O_3$ used = M H₂O₂ × 2 × 10 mL, and:

mmoles H_2O_2 = mmoles $Na_2S_2O_3 \times 1/2$ then:

mg H₂O₂ = mmoles Na₂S₂O₃ $1/2 \times 34$ = mmoles Na₂S₂O₃ × 17

6.7.2 The weight of H_2O_2 in a sample aliquot is determined from the calibration curve. The total weight of H_2O_2 is calculated front the equation:

(aliq. µg - blank aliq.)(sample vol. mL)

 $\mu g H_2O_2$

(sample aliquot vol, mL)

6.7.3 The concentration of H₂O₂ is calculated in μ g/L, converted to mg/m³, and then to ppm.

 $\mu g H_2 O_2$ /liters sampled = mg/m³ and;

ppm $H_2O_2 = mg/m^3 \times 24.45/34 = mg/m^3 \times 0.719$ ppm

7. References

Page 6 of 11

7.1 Hydrogen Peroxide Colorimetric Method, Method No: VI-6, Last Revised on January 26, 1978.

7.2 Instruction Manuals Polarographic Analyzer, Model 374 and Hanging Mercury Drop Electrode Model 303, Princeton Applied Research, Princeton, NJ.

7.3 Polarographic Instruction Manual, Model 384, Princeton, NJ.

7.4 Boto, K.G., and Williams, L.F.G., Analytical Chimica Acta, Vol. 85, pp 179-183 (1976).

Backup Data Report

Page 7 of 11

Substance: Hydrogen Peroxide

OSHA-PEL: 1.0 ppm = TWA

Chemical used for validation: Hydrogen Peroxide. Analytical Reagent. 30 S. Wallinckrodt.

1. Procedure

The general procedure used is described in the OSHA Sampling and Analytical Method (SAM) for hydrogen peroxide. Instrumental analysis was done by Carl Cook (See Reference 8.1). This method replaces the colorimetric method (8.2).

2. Analysis

The analysis of hydrogen peroxide is by differential pulse polarography (DPP). See reference 8.1, 5.0 mL of the supporting electrolyte and 5.0 mL of the sample or standard solution is placed in a 10 mL sample cell. The sample or standard must be in 5.0 mL 0.00115 M TiOSO₄. This gives a much sharper and larger peak than 4 or less mL of the 0.00115 M TiOSO₄ as can be seen from the diagram below.



3. Generation

Hydrogen peroxide was generated by adding 25 mL of 30 % hydrogen peroxide to a flask and heating the flask while bubbling N₂ through the solution at a rate of 1 LPM. The hydrogen peroxide was collected in 15-mL of TiOSO₄ in a midget fretted glass bubbler.

4. Collection Efficiency

Hydrogen peroxide was generated for 40 minutes, and while the 1st impinger collected 500 μ g/mL H₂O₂ (about 60 times the PEL), the 2nd impinger showed no hydrogen peroxide collected. This means at levels below 60 times the PEL there is 100 % collection efficiency.

Page 8 of 11

5. Storage Stability

To assess the stability of hydrogen peroxide in $TiOSO_4$, a time study was conducted at the 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 PEL level.

On 1/9/84, 24 samples were prepared for analysis over a two mouth period to determine the storage stability. Assuming that 100 L of air were taken in 15 mL TiOSO₄, there would be 75 μ g H₂O₂ found in a sample at 1/2 the PEL. 150 μ g at the PEL, and 300 μ g at 2 times the PEL. Eight samples were prepared at each level and contained 15 mL of 0.00115 M TiOSO₄, plus the spiked H₂O₂ concentration. Table I gives the results of the stability study.

Table I Stability Study Data				
Day	µg found	µg expected	f/t	
1	75	75	1.000	
1	176	150	1.173	
1	347	300	1.157	
4	76	75	1.113	
4	141	150	0.940	
4	295	300	0.983	
8	74.9	75	0.999	
8	149	150	0.993	
8	300	300	1.000	
15	91.5	75	1.220	
15	183	150	1.220	
15	367	300	1.223	
51	76.3	75	1.017	
51	150	150	1.000	
51	377	300	1.259	

From the results it can be seen that hydrogen peroxide is stable in TiOSO₄ for 51 days, or almost 2 months. One problem that was noticed was that although the hydrogen peroxide-TiOSO₄ complex is stable for two months, the TiOSO₄ stock solution (0.05775 M) and subsequent diluted solutions of the 0.05775 M TiOSO₄ stock solution are not stable. A comparison of the 0.05775 M QC stock solution and the 0.05775 M Laboratory stock solution showed significant differences. The QC stock was 12 months old and the lab stock was 3 months old. When analyzed by the calorimetric method, samples spiked with 96 µg in the QC Stock showed 80 µg, whereas samples spiked with 96 µg and collected in the lab stock showed 96 µg. The standards were made using the lab stock TiOSO₄. When the samples were analyzed by DPP, all the samples showed 96 µg. This is due either to the fact that the QC stock solution is 9 months older than the lab stock solution or differences in solution preparation. This points out another problem with the colorimetric analysis. The results indicate that age and/or makeup of the TiOSO₄ solutions are not as important when the DPP method is used.

6. Interferences

Table II shows the effects of different interferents on the analysis of hydrogen peroxide. 96 µg of hydrogen peroxide was placed in a 10-mL sample cell along with different levels of interferent. From Table II it can be seen that the only serious interferent with the DPP method is KMnO₄ which will also prevent the analysis of hydrogen peroxide using a calorimetric method. Additionally KI does not effect the analysis of hydrogen peroxide by DPP but does prevent the analysis or hydrogen peroxide using the colorimetric method.

Page 9 of 11

µg H₂O₂ Added	Interferent Added	H ₂ O ₂ /Interferent ratio	μA	Peak location (V)
96	0.4 ppm SnCl ₂	1:0.02	2.66	-0.948
96	20 ppm KCIO ₄	1:1	2.41	-0.950
96	0.2 ppm KMnO4 [*]	1:0.01		
96	0.8 ppm NH ₂ OH HCI	1:0.04	1.84	-0.948
96	2860 ppm Na₂S₂OH·HCl	1:150	2.17	-0.950
96	10 ppm Cr ₂ O ₃	1:.05	2.43	-0.948
96	33200 ppm KI*	1:1800	2.09	-0.950
96	20 ppm K ₂ S ₂ O ₈	1:1	2.26	-0.950

Table II Effect of Interferent on the Analysis of Hydrogen Peroxide

*These were highly colored and would not allow analysis by the colorimetric method.

7. Precision and Accuracy

The last day of a study was on day 51, the results from day 51 are tabulated below.

# of Samples Analyzed	Concentration Expected	Concentration	CV ₁
6	75.0	76.0	0.0312
6	150.0	150.0	0.0166
6	300.0	378.0	0.0281

The CV_1 [pooled] for the three sets of samples was 0.0261. Six samples for each of the three different concentration ranges were used.

Below are typical polarograms of 120 μ g and 75 μ g H₂O₂ respectively in a 10-mL sample cell.

Page 10 of 11



- 8. References
- 8.1. Hydrogen Peroxide in Workplace Atmospheres, Method No: ID-126-SG.
- 8.2. Hydrogen Peroxide Colorimetric Method, Method No: VI-6, Last Revised on January 26, 1978.

Page 11 of 11