DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Request for Certification of Compliance—Rural Industrialization Loan and Grant Program

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Employment and Training Administration is issuing this notice to announce the receipt of a “Certification of Non-Relocation and Market and Capacity Information Report” (Form 4279–2) for the following:

Applicant/Location: Jekyll Island Ocean Front Hotel
Principal Product/Purpose: The loan, guarantee, or grant application is to construct a new full service hotel, which will be located in Jekyll Island, Georgia. The NAICS industry code for this enterprise is: 721110 (hotels and motels).

DATES: All interested parties may submit comments in writing no later than December 12, 2011. Copies of adverse comments received will be forwarded to the applicant noted above.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments concerning this notice to Anthony D. Dais, U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room S–4231, Washington, DC 20210; or email Dais.Anthony@dol.gov; or transmit via fax (202) 693–3015 (this is not a toll-free number).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anthony D. Dais, at telephone number (202) 693–2784 (this is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 188 of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act of 1972, as established under 29 CFR part 75, authorizes the United States Department of Agriculture to make or guarantee loans or grants to finance industrial and business activities in rural areas. The Secretary of Labor must review the application for financial assistance for the purpose of certifying to the Secretary of Agriculture that the assistance is not calculated, or likely, to result in: (a) A transfer of any employment or business activity from one area to another by the loan applicant’s business operation; or, (b) an increase in the production of goods, materials, services, or facilities in an area where there is not sufficient demand to employ the efficient capacity of existing competitive enterprises unless the financial assistance will not have an adverse impact on existing competitive enterprises in the area. The Employment and Training Administration within the Department of Labor is responsible for the review and certification process. Comments should address the two bases for certification and, if possible, provide data to assist in the analysis of these issues.

Signed: at Washington, DC, this 21st day of November, 2011.

Jane Oates
Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training.

BILING CODE: P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA—2011–0197]

Occupational Safety and Health State Plans; Extension of the Office of Management and Budget’s (OMB) Approval of Information Collection (Paperwork) Requirements

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Request for public comments.


DATES: Comments must be submitted (postmarked, sent, or received) by January 27, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Electronically: You may submit comments and attachments electronically at http://www.regulations.gov, which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Follow the instructions online for submitting comments.

Facsimile: If your comments, including attachments, are not longer than 10 pages, you may fax them to the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693–1648. Mail, hand delivery, express mail, messenger, or courier service: When using this method, you must submit a copy of your comments and attachments to the OSHA Docket Office, Docket No. OSHA–2011–0197, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N–2625, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20210. Deliveries (hand, express mail, messenger, and courier service) are accepted during the Department of Labor’s and Docket Office’s normal business hours, 8:15 a.m. to 4:45 p.m., e.t.

Instructions: All submissions must include the Agency name and OSHA docket number for the Information Collection Request (ICR) (OSHA–2011–0197). All comments, including any personal information you provide, are placed in the public docket without change and may be made available online at http://www.regulations.gov. For further information on submitting comments, see the “Public Participation” heading in the section of this notice titled SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

Docket: To read or download comments or other material in the docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov or the OSHA Docket Office at the address above. All documents in the docket (including this Federal Register notice) are listed in the http://www.regulations.gov index; however, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download from the Web site. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. You may also contact Laura Seeman at the address below to obtain a copy of the ICR.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laura Seeman, Directorate of Cooperative and State Programs, Office of State Programs, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–3700, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693–2244; email, seeman.laura@dol.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent (i.e., the 27 States with OSHA-approved State Plans) burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing information collection requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA 95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program ensures that information is in
the desired format, reporting burden (time and cost) is minimized, collection instruments are understandable, and OSHA’s estimate of the information collection burden is accurate. Currently, OSHA is soliciting comments concerning the extension of the information collection requirements contained in the series of regulations establishing requirements for the submission, initial approval, continuing approval, final approval, monitoring and evaluation of OSHA-approved State Plans:

- 29 CFR part 1902, State Plans for the Development and Enforcement of State Standards;
- 29 CFR part 1952, Approved State Plans for Enforcement of State Standards;
- 29 CFR part 1953, Changes to State Plans for the Development and Enforcement of State Standards;
- 29 CFR part 1954, Procedures for the Evaluation and Monitoring of Approved State Plans;
- 29 CFR part 1955, Procedures for Withdrawal of Approval of State Plans; and

Section 18 of the Occupational Safety and Health Act (29 U.S.C. 667) offers an opportunity to the states to assume responsibility for the development and enforcement of state standards through the mechanism of an OSHA-approved State Plan. Absent an approved plan, states are precluded from enforcing occupational safety and health standards in the private sector with respect to any issue for which Federal OSHA has promulgated a standard. Once approved and operational, the state adopts standards and provides most occupational safety and health enforcement and compliance assistance in the state, under the authority of its plan, instead of Federal OSHA. States also must extend their jurisdiction to cover state and local government employees and may obtain approval of State Plans limited in scope to these workers. To obtain and maintain State Plan approval, a state must submit various documents to OSHA describing its program structure and operation, including any modifications thereto as they occur, in accordance with the identified regulations. OSHA funds 50 percent of the costs required to be incurred by an approved State Plan with the state at least matching and providing additional funding at its discretion.

II. Special Issues for Comment

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed information collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency’s functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA’s estimate of the burden (time and costs) of the information collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on participating states who must comply; for example, by using automated or other technological information collection and transmission techniques.

III. Proposed Actions

OSHA is requesting that OMB extend its approval of the collection of information requirements associated with its State Plan regulations. In doing so, the Agency is proposing to increase the burden hours from 10,652 to 11,196 hours. The increase is a result of the approval of the Illinois Public Employee Only State Plan, increasing the number of approved State Plan respondents from 26 to 27, and an increase in the projected number of required State Plan responses and modifications as a result of changes in federal procedures. The total number of respondents increased to 28, including the 27 approved State Plans and one state developing a plan to seek State Plan approval. The Agency will summarize the comments submitted in response to this notice and will include this summary in its request to OMB.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Title: Occupational Safety and Health State Plans.

OMB Number: 1218–0247.

Affected Public: Designated state government agencies that are seeking or have submitted and obtained approval for State Plans for the development and enforcement of occupational safety and health standards.

Number of Respondents: 28.

Frequency: On occasion; quarterly; annually.

Total Responses: 1,264.

Average Time per Response: Varies from 30 minutes (.5 hour) to respond to an information inquiry to 80 hours to document state annual performance goals.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 11,196.

Estimated Cost (Operation and Maintenance): $0.

IV. Public Participation—Submission of Comments on This Notice and Internet Access to Comments and Submissions

You may submit comments in response to this document as follows: (1) Electronically at https://www.regulations.gov, which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal; (2) by facsimile (fax); or (3) by hard copy. All comments, attachments, and other material must identify the Agency name and the OSHA docket number for the ICR (Docket No. OSHA–2011–0197). You may supplement electronic submissions by uploading document files electronically. If you wish to mail additional materials in reference to an electronic or facsimile submission, you must submit them to the OSHA Docket Office (see the section of this notice titled ADDRESSES). The additional materials must clearly identify your electronic comments by your name, date, and the OSHA docket number, so the Agency can attach them to your comments.

Because of security procedures, the use of regular mail may cause a significant delay in the receipt of comments. For information about security procedures concerning the delivery of materials by hand, express delivery, messenger, or courier service, please contact the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693–2350, (TTY 877) 889–5627.

Comments and submissions are posted without change at https://www.regulations.gov. Therefore, OSHA cautions commenters about submitting personal information, such as social security numbers and dates of birth. Although all submissions are listed in the https://www.regulations.gov index, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download through this Web site. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. Information on using the https://www.regulations.gov Web site to submit comments and access the docket is available at the Web site’s “User Tips” link. Contact the OSHA Docket Office for information about materials not available through the Web site and for assistance in using the Internet to locate docket submissions.

V. Authority and Signature

David Michaels, Ph.D., MPH, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506 et seq.) and Secretary of
The Office corrects the following errors in the Notice of Objections published in the Federal Register on November 8, 2011 at 76 FR 69288:

- On page 69289, WNYA–CA, Albany, NY was misidentified as WYNA–CA.
- On page 69289, W42CX, Port Jervis, NY was misidentified as W42DQ, Port Jervis, NY.
- On page 69289, W46DQ, Port Jervis, NY was misidentified as W34DI, Port Jervis, NY.
- On page 69289, WNYA–CA, Albany, NY was misidentified as W34DI, Port Jervis, NY.
- On page 69289, WNYA–CA, Albany, NY was misidentified as W34D1.
- On page 69289, W42DQ, Port Jervis, NY was misidentified as W42DQ.
- On page 69289, W42GX, Port Jervis, NY was missing from the list as a station to which MPAA filed an objection (no evidence of construction or the type of programming broadcast should not be identified as specialty stations).

Dated: November 21, 2011.

Maria A. Pallante,
Register of Copyrights.

BILLING CODE 1410–30–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION
Advisory Panel for Integrative Activities, #1373; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

Name: Ad Hoc: Advisory Committee on the Merit Review Process (MRPAC).
Date/Time: December 20, 2011; 12 p.m.–4 p.m., EST.
Place: National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room 935, Arlington, VA.
Type of Meeting: Open.
Contact Person: Ms. Victoria Fung.
Email: vfung@nsf.gov.

If you plan to attend the meeting, please send an email with your name and affiliation to the individual listed above, by the day before the meeting, so that a visitor badge can be prepared.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice concerning issues related to NSF’s merit review process.

Agenda
- Welcome
- Update on outreach activities
- Discussion of potential enhancements to the merit review process

Dated: November 22, 2011.

Susanne Bolton,
Committee Management Officer.

BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) invites public comment about our intention to request the OMB’s approval for renewal of an existing information collection that is summarized below. We are required to publish this notice in the Federal Register under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:
1. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Part 20, “Standards for Protection Against Radiation.”
3. How often the collection is required: Most reports are collected annually, but decommissioning reports are collected at license termination.
4. Who is required or asked to report: NRC licensees, including those requesting license terminations. Types of licensees include civilian commercial, industrial, academic, and medical users of nuclear materials. Licenses are issued for, among other things, the possession, use, processing, handling, and importing and exporting of nuclear materials, and for the operation of nuclear reactors.
5. The number of annual respondents: 3,000.
6. The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 91,503 hours (5,476 hours reporting + 342 hours third-party disclosure + 85,685 hours recordkeeping).
7. Abstract: 10 CFR part 20 establishes standards for protection against ionizing radiation resulting from activities conducted under licenses issued by the NRC. These standards require the establishment of radiation protection programs, maintenance of radiation protection programs, maintenance of radiation records recording of radiation received by workers, reporting of incidents which could cause exposure to radiation, submittal of an annual report to NRC of the results of individual monitoring, and submittal of license termination information. These mandatory requirements are needed to protect occupationally exposed individuals from undue risks of excessive exposure to ionizing radiation and to protect the health and safety of the public.

Submit, by January 27, 2012, comments that address the following questions:
1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
2. Is the burden estimate accurate?
3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized?