ex-servicemembers. The report informs ETA of the amount to bill such agencies. For additional information, see related notice published at Volume 74 FR 14579 on March 31, 2009.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Title of Collection: Unemployment Insurance Title XII Advances and Voluntary Repayment Process.

OMB Control Number: 1205–0199.

Agency Form Number: N/A.

Affected Public: State Governments.

Total Estimated Number of Respondents: 27.

Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 243.

Total Estimated Annual Costs Burden (does not include hour costs): $0.

Description: This information collection’s purpose is to maintain a process for State governors for requesting advances and repaying advances through their correspondence with the Secretary of Labor. The report informs ETA of the amount to bill such agencies. For additional information, see related notice published at Volume 74 FR 24041 on May 22, 2009.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Title of Collection: Plan for Evaluation of the Trade Adjustment Assistance Program.

OMB Control Number: 1205–0460.

Agency Form Number: N/A.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Total Estimated Number of Respondents: 1,357.

Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 940.

Total Estimated Annual Costs Burden (does not include hour costs): $0.

Description: This data collection is for an evaluation of the Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) Program. The evaluation is comprised of an impact analysis using a comparison group methodology. A process is also included to determine what programmatic and administrative features may affect performance. Data collection includes: Baseline and follow-up surveys of TAA participants and comparison group members, site visits to States and local areas, and an Internet/phone survey of local TAA coordinators. The report informs ETA of the amount to bill such agencies. For additional information, see related notice published at Volume 74 FR 14159 on March 30, 2009.

Darrin A. King,
Departmental Clearance Officer.
[FR Doc. E9–19082 Filed 8–7–09; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–FW–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA–2009–0019]

Addenda to the Memorandum of Understanding: To Formalize the Working Relationship Between the Department of Energy and the Department of Labor (August 28, 1992)

AGENCY: The Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).

ACTION: Addenda to Memorandum of Understanding between the Department of Labor and the Department of Energy: the transfer of two existing buildings and two other parcels of land located at the East Tennessee Technology Park in Oak Ridge, Tennessee; transfer of employee safety and health authority from the Department of Energy (DOE) to the Tennessee Occupational Safety and Health Administration (TOSHA).

SUMMARY: This document is a notice of addenda to the 1992 interagency Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the U.S. Department of Labor and the U.S. Department of Energy. That MOU states that DOE has exclusive authority over the occupational safety and health of contractor employees at DOE Government-Owned and Contractor-Operated facilities (GOCOs). In addition, the MOU between the departments dated July 25, 2000, on safety and health enforcement at privatized facilities and operations, provides that OSHA has regulatory authority over occupational safety and health at certain privatized facilities and operations on land formerly under the control of DOE. This action is taken in accordance with the July 25, 2000 MOU, which establishes specific interagency procedures for the transfer of occupational safety and health coverage for such privatized facilities and operations from DOE to OSHA and state agencies acting under state plans approved by OSHA pursuant to section 18 of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (OSH Act). 29 U.S.C. 667. The MOUs may be found on the internet via the OSHA Web page http://www.osha.gov under the “D” for Department of Energy Transition Activities.

DATES: Effective Date: The effective date of the Addenda to the Memorandum of Understanding is August 10, 2009.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) of the U.S. Department of Labor entered into a MOU on August 10, 1992, delineating regulatory authority over the occupational safety and health of contractor employees at DOE government-owned or leased, contractor-operated (GOCO) facilities. In general, the MOU recognizes that DOE exercises statutory authority under section 161(f) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, [42 U.S.C. 2201(f)], relating to the occupational safety and health of private-sector employees at these facilities.

Section 4(b)(1) of the OSH Act of 1970, 29 U.S.C. 653(b)(1), exempts from OSHA authority working conditions with respect to which other federal agencies have exercised statutory authority to prescribe or enforce standards or regulations affecting occupational safety or health. The 1992 MOU acknowledges DOE’s extensive program for the regulation of contractor health and safety, which requires contractor compliance with all OSHA standards as well as additional requirements prescribed by DOE, and concludes with an agreement by the agencies that the provisions of the OSH Act will not apply to GOCO sites for which DOE has exercised its authority to regulate occupational safety and health under the Atomic Energy Act.

In light of DOE’s policy emphasis on privatization activities, OSHA and DOE entered into a second MOU on July 25, 2000 that establishes interagency procedures to address regulatory authority for occupational safety and health at specified privatized facilities and operations on sites formerly controlled by DOE. The 2000 MOU covers facilities and operations on lands no longer controlled by DOE, which are not conducting activities for or on behalf of DOE and where there is no
likelihood that any employee exposure to radiation from DOE sources would be 25 millirems per year (mrem/yr) or more.

In a letter dated April 9, 2009, DOE requested that OSHA or, as appropriate, TOSHA accept occupational safety and health regulatory authority over employees at the East Tennessee Technology Park at two existing buildings known as K–1652, a fire station owned and operated by the City of Oak Ridge, Tennessee, and K–1515, the water treatment plant owned and operated by the city, as well as two other parcels of land known as ED–5 East and ED–7, transferred to the Community Reuse Organization of East Tennessee (CROET), pursuant to the MOU on Safety and Health Enforcement at Privatized Facilities and Operations dated July 25, 2000.

OSHA’s Regional Office in Atlanta, Georgia, working with the OSHA Nashville Area Office, and the TOSHA, determined that TOSHA is willing to accept authority over the occupational safety and health of employees at the two existing buildings and the two other parcels of land at the East Tennessee Technology Park in Oak Ridge, Tennessee that were transferred by deed to the City of Oak Ridge and CROET, respectively. In a letter from OSHA to DOE dated May 13, 2009, OSHA stated that TOSHA is satisfied with DOE assurances that (1) there is no likelihood that any employee at these facilities will be exposed to radiation levels that will be 25 millirems per year (mrem/yr) or more, and (2) transfer of authority to TOSHA is free from regulatory gaps, and does not diminish the safety and health protection of the employees. According to this letter, TOSHA therefore accepted and maintains health and safety regulatory authority over employees at buildings K–165 (fire station) and K–1515 (water treatment plant), as well as parcels ED–5 East and ED–7.

Authority and Signature

Jordan Barab, Acting Assistant Secretary for Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, directed the preparation of this notice. This Federal Register notice provides public notice and serves as an addendum to the 1992 OSHA/DOE MOU. This action is taken pursuant to section 8(g) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (29 U.S.C. 657(g)) and Secretary of Labor’s Order No. 5–2007 (72 FR 31159).

Signed at Washington, DC, on August 4, 2009.

Jordan Barab,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. E9–19070 Filed 8–7–09; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–26–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA–2009–0021]

Benzene Standard; Extension of the Office of Management and Budget’s (OMB) Approval of Information Collection (Paperwork) Requirements

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Request for public comment.

SUMMARY: OSHA solicits public comment concerning its proposal to extend OMB approval of the information collection requirements specified in the Standard on Benzene (29 CFR 1910.1028).

DATES: Comments must be submitted (postmarked, sent, or received) by October 9, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Electronically: You may submit comments and attachments electronically at http://www.regulations.gov, which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Follow the instructions online for submitting comments.

Facsimile: If your comments, including attachments, are not longer than 10 pages, you may fax them to the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693–1648.

Mail, hand delivery, express mail, messenger, or courier service: When using this method, you must submit three copies of your comments and attachments to the OSHA Docket Office, Docket No. OSHA–2009–0021, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N–2625, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. Deliveries (hand, express mail, messenger, and courier service) are accepted during the Department of Labor’s and Docket Office’s normal business hours, 8:15 a.m. to 4:45 p.m., e.t.

Instructions: All submissions must include the Agency name and OSHA docket number for the Information Collection Request (ICR) (OSHA–2009–0021). All comments, including any personal information you provide, are placed in the public docket without change, and may be made available online at http://www.regulations.gov.

For further information on submitting comments see the “Public Participation” heading in the section of this notice titled SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

Docket: To read or download comments or other material in the docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov or the OSHA Docket Office at the address above. All documents in the docket (including this Federal Register notice) are listed in the http://www.regulations.gov index; however, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download through the Web site. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. You may also contact Todd Owen or Jamaa Hill at the address below to obtain a copy of the ICR.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent (i.e., employer) burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing information collection requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program ensures that information is in the desired format, reporting burden (time and costs) is minimal, collection instruments are clearly understood, and OSHA’s estimate of the information collection burden is accurate. The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (the OSH Act) (29 U.S.C. 651 et seq.) authorizes information collection by employers as necessary or appropriate for enforcement of the OSH Act or for developing information regarding the causes and prevention of occupational injuries, illnesses, and accidents (29 U.S.C. 657). The OSH Act also requires that OSHA obtain such information with minimum burden upon employers, especially those operating small businesses, and to reduce to the maximum extent feasible unnecessary duplication of efforts in obtaining information (29 U.S.C. 657).

The information collection requirements specified in Benzene Standard protect workers from the adverse health effects that may result