

properly identify manually operated valves and switches.

*Inspection of Forging Machines, Guards, and Point-of-Operation Protection Devices (paragraphs (a)(2)(i) and (a)(2)(ii)).* Paragraph (a)(2)(i) requires employers to establish periodic and regular maintenance safety checks, and to develop and maintain a certification record of each inspection. The certification record must include the date of inspection, the signature of the person who performed the inspection, and the serial number (or other identifier) of the forging machine inspected. Under paragraph (a)(2)(ii), employers are to schedule regular and frequent inspections of guards and point-of-operation protection devices, and prepare a certification record of each inspection that contains the date of the inspection, the signature of the person who performed the inspection, and the serial number (or other identifier) of the equipment inspected. These inspection certification records provide assurance to employers, employees, and OSHA compliance officers that forging machines, guards, and point-of-operation protection devices have been inspected, assuring that they will operate properly and safely, thereby preventing impact injury and death to employees during forging operations. These records also provide the most efficient means for the compliance officers to determine that an employer is complying with the Standard.

*Identification of Manually Controlled Valves and Switches (paragraphs (c), (h)(3), (i)(1) and (i)(2)).* These paragraphs require proper and clear identification of manually operated valves and switches on presses, upsetters, bolthead equipment, and rivet-making machines, respectively. Marking valves and switches provide information to employees to ensure that they operate the forging machines correctly and safely.

## II. Special Issues for Comment

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed information collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA's estimate of the burden (time and costs) of the information collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for

example, by using automated or other technological information collection and transmission techniques.

## III. Proposed Actions

OSHA is requesting that OMB extend its approval of the information collection requirements contained in the Standard on Forging Machines (29 CFR 1910.218). The Agency is requesting to retain its current burden hour estimate of 187,264 hours associated with this Standard. The Agency will summarize the comments submitted in response to this notice and will include this summary in the request to OMB.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Title:* Forging Machines (29 CFR 1910.218).

*OMB Number:* 1218-0228.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profits.

*Number of Respondents:* 27,700.

*Frequency:* Biweekly.

*Average Time per Response:* Varies from 2 minutes (.03 hour) for an employer to disclose certification records to 8 minutes (.13 hour) for a manufacturing employee to conduct an inspection of each forging machine and guard or point-of-operation protection device biweekly.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 187,264.

*Estimated Cost (Operation and Maintenance):* \$0.

## IV. Public Participation—Submission of Comments on This Notice and Internet Access to Comments and Submissions

You may submit comments in response to this document as follows:

- (1) Electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal; (2) by facsimile (FAX); or (3) by hard copy. All comments, attachments, and other material must identify the Agency name and the OSHA docket number for the ICR (Docket No. OSHA-2008-0018). You may supplement electronic submissions by uploading document files electronically. If you wish to mail additional materials in reference to an electronic or facsimile submission, you must submit them to the OSHA Docket Office (see the section of this notice titled **ADDRESSES**). The additional materials must clearly identify your electronic comments by your name, date, and the docket number so the Agency can attach them to your comments.

Because of security procedures, the use of regular mail may cause a significant delay in the receipt of comments. For information about security procedures concerning the

delivery of materials by hand, express delivery, messenger, or courier service, please contact the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-2350 (TTY (877) 889-5627).

Comments and submissions are posted without change at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Therefore, OSHA cautions commenters about submitting personal information such as social security numbers and date of birth. Although all submissions are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download through this Web site. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. Information on using the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site to submit comments and access the docket is available at the Web site's "User Tips" link. Contact the OSHA Docket Office for information about materials not available through the Web site, and for assistance in using the Internet to locate docket submissions.

## V. Authority and Signature

Edwin G. Foulke, Jr., Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506 *et seq.*) and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 5-2007 (72 FR 31159).

Signed at Washington, DC, on June 12, 2008.

**Edwin G. Foulke, Jr.**

*Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.*

[FR Doc. E8-14074 Filed 6-20-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-26-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA-2007-0038]

#### Electrical Reliability Services, Inc. (Formerly Electro-Test, Inc.); Denial of Renewal of Recognition

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the Occupational Safety and Health Administration's final decision to deny the renewal of recognition of Electrical Reliability Services, Inc. (formerly Electro-Test, Inc.) (ETI) as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory under 29 CFR 1910.7.

**DATES:** The denial of recognition is effective on June 23, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** MaryAnn Garrahan, Director, Office of Technical Programs and Coordination Activities, NRTL Program, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room N-3655, Washington, DC 20210, or phone (202) 693-2110.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Notice of Final Decision**

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) is giving notice of the denial of renewal of recognition of Electrical Reliability Services, Inc. (formerly Electro-Test, Inc.) (ETI) as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL). OSHA is taking this action following its requirements under Subsection I.B. of Appendix A to 29 CFR 1910.7.

OSHA recognition of an NRTL signifies that the organization has met the legal requirements in Section 1910.7 of Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations (29 CFR 1910.7), OSHA's NRTL Program regulations. Recognition is an acknowledgment that the organization can perform independent safety testing and certification of the specific products covered within its scope of recognition and is not a delegation or grant of government authority. As a result of recognition, employers may use products in the workplace that are properly approved by the NRTL to meet OSHA standards that require testing and certification.

Subsection I.B describes the procedures that OSHA must use in deciding an NRTL's application for renewal of recognition. In order to approve such an application, the NRTL must meet all of the requirements for recognition in 29 CFR 1910.7. Subsection I.B sets out the steps OSHA must follow in reviewing each renewal application and provides the NRTL opportunities to correct or respond to any perceived failures to meet the requirements.

OSHA followed the process set forth in Subsection I.B and is denying renewal of ETI as an NRTL. OSHA found that ETI's ownership by Emerson Electric Company resulted in ETI's failure to satisfy the requirement of 29 CFR 1910.7 that NRTLs be independent of the manufacturers and vendors of the products for which OSHA requires certification. This failure constitutes a cause for non-renewal under OSHA's NRTL Program regulations.

OSHA has notified ETI of its final decision to deny its application for

renewal. The effective date of non-renewal is shown in the DATES section above. As of this date, the Agency no longer accepts product certifications done by ETI.

Docket No. OSHA-2007-0038 contains all public materials in the record concerning the recognition of ETI. You may obtain or review copies of these documents by contacting the Docket Office, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room N-2625, Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 13th day of June, 2008.

**Edwin G. Foulke, Jr.**

*Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.*

[FR Doc. E8-14072 Filed 6-20-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-26-P**

**FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION**

**Sunshine Act Meeting**

Date: June 19, 2008.

**TIME AND DATE:** 10 a.m., Wednesday, July 16, 2008.

**PLACE:** The Richard V. Backley Hearing Room, 9th Floor, 601 New Jersey Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

**STATUS:** Open.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** The Commission will consider and act upon the following in open session: *Secretary of Labor v. Spartan Mining Company*, Docket Nos. WEVA 2004-117-RM, *et al.* (Issues include whether the Administrative Law Judge properly found violations and assessed penalties for the following standards: 30 CFR 75.606 (requiring protecting cables); 30 CFR 75.511 (requiring locking and tagging out before electrical work); 30 CFR 75.1725(a) (requiring unsafe equipment to be removed from service); and 30 CFR 75.313(a)(3) (requiring withdrawal from a working section in mine fan outage)).

Any person attending this meeting who requires special accessibility features and/or auxiliary aids, such as sign language interpreters, must inform the Commission in advance of those needs. Subject to 29 CFR 2706.150(a)(3) and 2706.160(d).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jean Ellen (202) 434-9950/(202) 708-9300

for TDD Relay/1-800-877-8339 for toll free.

**Sandra G. Farrow,**

*Acting Chief Docket Clerk.*

[FR Doc. 08-1377 Filed 6-19-08; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 6735-01-P**

**THE NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES**

**Meetings of Humanities Panel**

**AGENCY:** The National Endowment for the Humanities.

**ACTION:** Notice of meetings.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), notice is hereby given that the following meetings of Humanities Panels will be held at the Old Post Office, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael P. McDonald, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, DC 20506; telephone (202) 606-8322. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Endowment's TDD terminal on (202) 606-8282.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The proposed meetings are for the purpose of panel review, discussion, evaluation and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by the grant applicants. Because the proposed meetings will consider information that is likely to disclose trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential and/or information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that these meetings will be closed to the public pursuant to subsections (c)(4) and (6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

1. *Date:* July 8, 2008.

*Time:* 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

*Room:* 421.

*Program:* This meeting will review applications for Challenge Grants,