respect to schedules, commenters may wish to address whether the schedule could be modified, particularly in final phases of investigations or full five-year reviews, in order to ease the burden on parties, to permit additional briefing in order to clarify salient issues, or to add to the time available after the Commission's hearing. With respect to opinions, commenters may wish to address the organization, format, and content of opinions. The above items are provided as examples only, and are not intended to limit the topics that may be addressed in the comments. Commenters should indicate whether their comments pertain to original investigations, five-year reviews, or both. Comments should be limited to issues of form and procedure and, to the extent possible, should avoid discussion of the substance of particular determinations.

The Commission anticipates that it will hold a public hearing concerning the topics raised in comments submitted pursuant to this notice, although the need for and procedures of a hearing will depend on the comments submitted. The Commission would publish notice of a hearing in the **Federal Register**, and post notice on the Commission's Web site.

Sample questionnaires for original investigations and five-year reviews can be found at http://info.usitc.gov/oinv/ invest/oinvinvest.nsf, and http:// info.usitc.gov/oinv/sunset.nsf, respectively. Copies of the generic report outline for both original investigations and five-year reviews are available upon request. Comments must comply with Commission rule 19 CFR 201.8(a)–(d).

This notice is issued pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1335 and the Commission's Strategic Plan for fiscal years 2001–2006.

Issued: November 27, 2002. By Order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 02–30655 Filed 12–3–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

November 27, 2002.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation, contact Darrin King on (202) 693–4129 or E-Mail: *King Darrin@dol.gov.*

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for OSHA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395–7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

• Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

• Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Âgency: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).

Title: OSHA Strategic Partnership Program for Worker Safety and Health.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection. *OMB Number:* 1218–0244.

Affected Public: Business or other for-

profit; not-for-profit institutions; Federal government; and State, local, or tribal government.

Type of Response: Reporting. Frequency: On occasion and annually. Number of Respondents: 4,410. Annual Responses: 4,410. Average Response Time: 11 hours. Annual Burden Hours: 49,254. Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs: \$0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/ maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0.

Description: OSHA requires employers participating in the strategic Partnership Program to assess their impact on worker safety and health. An OSHA Strategic Partnership aspires to have a measurable, positive impact on workplace safety and health that goes beyond what has historically been achievable only through traditional enforcement methods.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 02–30659 Filed 12–3–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–26–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Emergency Review; Comment Request

November 27, 2002.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following (see below) information collection request (ICR), utilizing emergency review procedures, to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). OMB approval has been requested by December 23, 2002. Attached is a copy of the proposed application procedures for the National Emergency Grants for Trade Health Insurance Assistance. A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation and application procedures, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation, contact Darrin King on 202-693-4129 or e-mail: kingdarrin@dol.gov.

Comments and questions about the ICR listed below should be forwarded by December 20, 2002 to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Employment and Training Administration, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503. The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments which:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

• Enhance the quality, utility, and clarify of the information to be collected; andminimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other