to determine whether or not an employer performed the required training at the necessary and appropriate frequencies.

II. Special Issues for Comment

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed information-collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency’s functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA’s estimate of the burden (time and cost) of the information-collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated or other technological information-collection and -transmission techniques.

III. Proposed Actions

OSHA proposes to decrease the existing burden-hour estimate, and to extend OMB approval, of the collection-of-information requirements specified by the Standard. In this regard, the Agency is proposing to decrease the current burden-hour estimate from 73,106 hours 3,192 hours, a total reduction of 69,914 hours. The Agency will summarize the comments submitted in response to this notice, and will include this summary in its request to OMB to extend the approval of this information-collection requirement.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved information-collection requirement.


OMB Number: 1218–0198.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit; not-for-profit institutions; Federal government; State, local or tribal governments.

Number of Respondents: 14,000.

Frequency of Response: Annually; occasionally.

Average Time per Response: Either 2 minutes (0.03 hours) or 5 minutes (.08 hours) depending on type of training.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 2,940.

Estimated Cost (Operation and Maintenance): $0.

IV. Authority and Signature

R. Davis Layne, Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor and Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506), Secretary of Labor’s Order No. 3–2000 (65 FR 50017).


R. Davis Layne,
Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc. 01–11023 Filed 5–1–01; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

[Docket No. ICR–1218–0099 (2001)]

Respiratory Protection Standard;
Extension of the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Approval of the Information-Collection (Paperwork) Requirements

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice of an opportunity for public comment.

SUMMARY: OSHA solicits comments concerning its proposal to decrease the existing burden-hour estimates, and to extend OMB approval of the collection-of-information requirements, of the Respiratory Protection Standard (29 CFR 1910.134).

DATES: Submit written comments on or before July 2, 2001.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Todd Owen, Directorate of Policy, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–3641, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693–2444. A copy of the Agency’s Information-Collection Request (ICR) supporting the need for the information collections specified in the Respiratory Protection Standard is available for inspection and copying in the Docket Office, or by requesting a copy from Todd Owen at (202) 693–2444. For electronic copies of the ICR contact OSHA on the Internet at http://www.osha.gov/compliance/requests.html, and select “Information Collection Requests.”

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent (i.e., employer) burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing information-collection requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA–95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program ensures that information is in the desired format, reporting burden (time and cost) is minimal, collection instruments are understandable, and OSHA’s estimate of the information-collection burden is correct.

The Respiratory Protection Standard’s (§ 1910.134; hereafter, “Standard”) information-collection requirements require employers to: Develop a written respirator program; conduct employee medical evaluations and provide follow-up medical evaluations to determine the employee’s ability to use a respirator; provide the physician or other licensed health care professional with information about the employee’s respirator and the conditions under which the employee will use the respirator; and administer fit-tests for employees who will use negative or positive-pressure, tight-fitting facepieces. In addition, employers must ensure that employees store emergency-use respirators in compartments clearly marked as containing emergency-use respirators. For respirators maintained for emergency use, employers must label or tag the respirator with a certificate stating the date of inspection, the name of the individual who made the inspection, the findings of the inspection, required remedial action, and the identity of the respirator.

The Standard also requires employers to ensure that cylinders used to supply breathing air to respirators have a certificate of analysis from the supplier stating that the breathing air meets the requirements for Type 1—Grade D breathing air; such certification assures employers that the purchased breathing air is safe. Compressors used to supply breathing air to respirators must have a tag containing the most recent change date and the signature of the individual authorized by the employer to perform the change. Employers must maintain this tag at the compressor. These tags provide assurance that the compressors are functioning properly.

II. Special Issues for Comment

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed information-collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency’s functions, including whether the information is useful;
NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION

Paperwork Reduction Act

AGENCY: National Indian Gaming Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Indian Gaming Commission (NIGC), in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, intends to submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and extend approval for information collection activities prescribed by the following NIGC regulations: (1) Annual Fees; (2) Issuance of Certificates of Self-Regulation to Tribes for Class II Gaming. As to each information collection activity, the NIGC solicits public comment on: the need for the information, the practical utility of the information and whether the information is necessary for the proper performance of NIGC functions; the accuracy of the burden estimate; and ways that the NIGC might minimize this burden including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. When providing comment, a respondent should specify the particular collection activity to which the comment pertains.

DATES AND ADDRESSES: Comments for the NIGC’s evaluation of the information collection activities and its request to OMB to extend or approve the information collections must be received by June 29, 2001. Send comments to Ms. Cindy Altimus, National Indian Gaming Commission, 1441 L Street, NW, Suite 9100, Washington, DC 20005. The NIGC regulations to which the information collections pertain are available on the NIGC website, www.nigc.gov, by written request to the NIGC (Attn: Ms. Cindy Altimus), 1441 L Street NW, Suite 9100, Washington, DC, 20005, or by telephone request at (202) 632-7003. There are no toll-free numbers. All other requests for information should be submitted to Ms. Altimus at the above address for the NIGC.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Annual Fees Payable by Indian Gaming Operations.

OMB Number: 3141–0007.

Abstract: The Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, 25 U.S.C. § 2701 et seq., authorizes the NIGC to establish a schedule of fees to be paid to the NIGC by each gaming operation under the jurisdiction of the NIGC. Fees are computed using rates set by the NIGC and the assessable gross revenues of each gaming operation. The total of all fees assessed annually cannot exceed $8,000,000. Under its implementing regulation for the fee payment program, 25 C.F.R. Part 514, the NIGC relies on a quarterly statement of gross gaming revenues provided by each gaming operation that is subject to the fee requirement. The required information is needed for the NIGC to both set and adjust fee rates and to support the computation of fees paid by each gaming operation.

Respondents: Indian tribal gaming operations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 320.

Estimated Annual Responses: 1,280.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours per Respondent: 8.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 10,240 hours.

Title: Petitions for Certificates of Self-Regulation for Class II Gaming Operations.

OMB Number: 3141–0008.

Abstract: The Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, 25 U.S.C. § 2701 et seq., allows any Indian tribe that has conducted class II gaming for at least three years to petition the NIGC for a certificate of self-regulation for its class II gaming operations. The NIGC will issue the certificate if it determines from available information that the tribe has conducted its gaming activity in a manner which has resulted in an effective and honest accounting of all revenues, a reputation for safe, fair, and honest operation of the activity, and an enterprise free of evidence of criminal or dishonest activity. The tribe must also have adopted and implemented proper accounting, licensing, and enforcement systems and conducted the gaming operation on a fiscally and economically sound basis. The implementing regulation of the NIGC, 25 C.F.R. Part 518, requires a tribe interested in receiving the certificate to file a petition with the NIGC describing the tribe’s gaming operations, its regulatory process, its tribal revenue allocation plan, and its accounting and record keeping systems for the gaming operation. The tribe must also provide copies of various documents in support of the petition. Submission of the petition and supporting documentation is voluntary. The NIGC will use the information submitted by the respondent tribe in making a determination on whether to issue the certificate of self-regulation.

Respondents: Indian tribes conducting class II gaming.

Estimated Number of Potential Respondents: 200.

Estimated Annual Voluntary Responses: 5.