

prison, proposals may include one or a combination of targets, including visitors, vendors, volunteers, staff and inmates working in outside contact areas. Outcomes may be measured based on changes in pre- and post-inmate drug testing results, along with other measurements, such as reduced inmate on staff and inmate on inmate assaults. NIC is seeking a geographic and programmatic diversity which allows for duplication of results, befitting a demonstration project of this nature. NIC is also seeking projects that can be replicated in different jurisdictions if proven successful, as well as projects that show a specific added value to current policies and procedures. In this regard, linkages to other programs (e.g., between detection and treatment) will be an important part of a successful project.

Authority: Pub. L. 93-415.

Funds Available: Funding for this project is estimated at approximately \$4.2 million for several cooperative agreements to be awarded to States, the District of Columbia, and Territorial Departments of Corrections.

Funding Limits: The awards will be limited to a maximum of \$500,000 each (direct and indirect costs). *An individual project can not exceed three years in length.*

Funds can not be used for construction, or to acquire or build real property.

Deadline for Receipt of Application: 4:00 PM Eastern time on August 31, 1998. At The National Institute of Corrections, 320 First Street, NW, Washington, DC 20534. Applications shall be considered as meeting the deadline if they are either: (1) Received on or before the deadline date; or (2) postmarked on or before the deadline date and received in time for orderly processing. Applicants must obtain a legible dated receipt from a commercial carrier or the U.S. Postal Service in lieu of a postmark. Private metered postmarks will not be acceptable as a proof of timely mailing. Late applications will be returned to the sender.

Address and Further Information: Requests for the application kit, which also includes further details on the project's objectives, selection criteria, etc., should be directed to: Judy Evens, Control Office, National Institute of Corrections, 320 First Street, NW, Room 5007, Washington, DC 20534 or by calling 1-800-995-6423, ext. 159 or 202-307-3106, ext 159. E-Mail: jevens@bop.gov.

All technical and programmatic information under this program

announcement should be directed to: Allen Ault, Chief, Special Projects, National Institute of Corrections, 320 First Street, NW, Washington, DC 20543 or by calling 1-800-995-6423, ext. 125 or 202-307-3106, ext. 125. E-Mail: aault@bop.gov.

Eligible Applicants: An eligible applicant is any State, the District of Columbia, or Territorial Department of Corrections.

Review Consideration: Applications received under this announcement will be subject to a review process established by NIC.

Number of Awards: Approximately ten (10).

NIC Application Number: 98K46. This number should appear as a reference line on your cover letter and also in box 11 of Application for Federal Assistance (Standard Form 424). The cover letter should be addressed to Morris L. Thigpen, Director, NIC, 320 First Street, NW, Washington, DC 20543.

Other Information: Applicants are advised that the narrative description of their program, not including the budget and budget narrative, *should not exceed 12 double spaced pages.*

The Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance number is: 16-602.

Morris L. Thigpen,

Director, National Institute of Corrections.

[FR Doc. 98-19021 Filed 7-15-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-36-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

July 13, 1998.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICRs) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of each individual ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor, Departmental Clearance Officer, Todd R. Owen (202) 219-5096 ext. 143) or by E-Mail to Owen-Todd@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for BLS, DM, ESA, ETA, MSHA, OSHA, PWBA, or VETS, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 (202) 395-7316, within 30 days

from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- * Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- * Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- * Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- * Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

Title: Notice of Alleged Safety and Health Hazards.

OMB Number: 1218-0064 (reinstatement).

Form Number: OSHA-7 Form.

Frequency: On occasion.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Number of Respondents: 28,713.

Total Responses: 28,713.

Estimated Time per Respondent: 25 minutes for complaints received via fax or letter; 15 minutes for complaints received via telephone.

Total Burden Hours: 8,155.

Total annualized capital/startup costs: \$0.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0.

Description: Section 8(f)(1) of Public Law 91-596, the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (OSH Act), states that an employee or employee representative who believes that a violation of an occupational safety and health standard exists, or that an imminent danger exists, may request an inspection by notifying the Secretary *in writing*. The OSH Act requires that the notice set forth the ground for the complaint with "reasonable particularity" and that it be signed.

Agency: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy.

Title: The survey form of the National Agricultural Workers Survey.

OMB Number: 1225-0044 (Revision of currently approved collection).

Frequency: Annually (The survey is administered in three 10–12 week cycles each year. Approximately one third of the farmworker respondents are interviewed each cycle).

Affected Public: Farm employers and farm employees.

Number of Respondents: 6,000 (includes both farmworkers and farm employees).

Total Responses: 6,000.

Estimate Time per Respondent: 20 minutes for farm employers, one hour for farm employees.

Total annualized capital/startup costs: \$0.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0.

Description: The National Agricultural Worker Survey (NAWS) provides data to the public and private service programs and data analysts which are used for planning, implementing and evaluation of farmworker programs. Analysis provides an understanding of the manpower resources available to the U.S. agriculture and the importance of immigrants in the labor market. It is the only national source of data on the demographic and employment characteristics of farmworkers. This action also requests OMB approval to conduct a one year pilot with a larger sample size and an enhanced focus on occupational health.

Todd R. Owen,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 98–18984 Filed 7–15–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–26–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–34,475; NAFTA–02331]

Ocean Beauty, Astoria, Oregon; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated May 27, 1998, the company and the United Food and Commercial Workers Local 555 requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and NAFTA-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA-TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notices applicable to workers of the subject firm located in Astoria, Oregon, were signed on May 12, 1998. The TAA and NAFTA-TAA decisions were published in the **Federal Register**

on June 22, 1998 (63 FR 33958) and May 29, 1998 (63 FR 29431), respectively.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAT petition, filed on behalf of workers of Ocean Beauty, Astoria, Oregon, producing processed fish was denied because the “contributed importantly” group eligibility requirement of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The “contributed importantly” test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers’ firm’s customers. None of the Ocean Beauty customers responding to the survey reported purchases of imported processed fish during the relevant time period (1997–1998).

The NAFTA-TAA petition for the same worker group was denied because criteria (3) and (4) of the group eligibility requirements in paragraph (a)(1) of Section 250 of the Trade Act, as amended, were not met. There were no company or customer imports of processed fish from Mexico or Canada, nor was there a shift in production from the workers’ firm to Mexico or Canada.

In support of their application for reconsideration, the petitioners assert that some of the significant customers of Ocean Beauty were not surveyed concerning their import purchases of raw fish. An official of Ocean Beauty was contacted to respond to this allegation. Ocean Beauty has confirmed that customers identified by the petitioners were major customers, but they did not decrease their purchases of processed fish from Ocean Beauty during the relevant time period.

The petitioners provided U.S. Department of Agriculture import data for various fish to support their claim that increased imports of like products were significant enough to facilitate a reduction in market value of the finished product causing production expenses to exceed sales receipts. The Department, however, must examine the import purchases of processed fish by customers of the subject firm.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decisions. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 8th day of July, 1998.

Grant D. Beale,

Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 98–18981 Filed 7–15–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–34,658]

IRI International Corporation Formerly Cardwell International Limited, El Dorado, Kansas; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on June 15, 1998 in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at IRI International Corporation, El Dorado, Kansas.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose; and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 27th day of June 1998.

Grant D. Beale,

Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 98–18982 Filed 7–15–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–34,596]

Koehler Manufacturing Company (Marlborough, MA); Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on June 1, 1998 in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at Koehler Manufacturing Company, Marlborough, Massachusetts.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently,