

equipment and materials with selected suppliers; and (c) working with existing standard-setting organizations to ensure the establishment of appropriate technical standards.

Membership in I300I will be open to any individual or entity that supports the objectives of the organization and subscribes to its bylaws.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 96-23698 Filed 9-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Mobile Information Infrastructure for Digital Video and Multimedia Applications Joint Venture; Correction

In notice document 96-22087 appearing on page 45458 in the issue of Thursday, August 29, 1996, in the second full paragraph of the notice, the sentence "Membership in this joint venture remains open." should be deleted.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 96-23696 Filed 9-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—the Salutation Consortium

Notice is hereby given that, on July 19, 1996, pursuant to § 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. § 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), the Salutation Consortium has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, the changes are as follows: Kobe Steel, Ltd., Hyogo, JAPAN has been added to the venture.

No other changes have been made in the membership or the planned activity of the joint venture. Membership in the venture remains open and the Consortium intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 30, 1995, the Salutation Consortium, under the name SmartOffice Industry Consortium, filed its original notification pursuant to § 6(a) of the Act. The Department of

Justice published a notice in the Federal Register pursuant to § 6(b) of the Act on June 27, 1995 (60 FR 33233).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 96-23695 Filed 9-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Office of Justice Programs

Bureau of Justice Assistance; Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of information collection under review; Subgrant award report for violence against women Formula Grant Program;

Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval is being sought for the information collection listed below. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register and allowed 60 days for public comment. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments until October 17, 1996. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 Code of Federal Regulation, Part 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC, 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to 202-395-7285. Comments may also be submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division, Information Management and Security Staff, Attention: Department Clearance Officer, Suite 850, 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC, 20530. Additionally, comments may be submitted to DOJ via facsimile to 202-514-1534. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency/component, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies/components estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) Type of information collection. New collection.

(2) The title of the form/collection. STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Subgrant Award Report

(3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection. Form: None. Violence Against Women Branch, Crime Act Support Division, Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract. Primary: State, Local or Tribal Governments. Other: None.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond. 56 respondents, at approximately 10 responses each: At 1 hour per response

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection. 560 annual burden hours.

Public comment on this proposed information collection is strongly encouraged.

Dated: September 3, 1996.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 96-23707 Filed 9-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-21-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

Proposed Information Collection Request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed

and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration is soliciting comments concerning the proposed new collection of information to develop the economic analysis for a hexavalent chromium rulemaking that the Agency is undertaking.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee section below on or before November 18, 1996. The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

ADDRESSES: Comments are to be submitted to the Docket Office, Docket No. ICR-96-14, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-2625, 200 Constitution Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20010, telephone (202) 219-7894 (not a toll-free number). Written comments of 10 pages or less may also be transmitted by facsimile to (202) 219-5046.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) is currently developing a proposal for a revised standard for exposure to hexavalent chromium in response to a petition by the Oil, Chemical, and Atomic Workers Union (OCAW) and Public Citizen to issue an Emergency Temporary Standard under Section 6(c) of the OSH

Act. The petition asked OSHA to reduce the permissible exposure limit (PEL) for hexavalent chromium to 0.5 µg/m³ and to follow the Emergency Temporary Standard with a Section 6(b)(5) rulemaking. [The current OSHA PEL for chromium (VI) (Measured as CrO₃) is 100 µg/m³ as a ceiling limit (29 CFR 1910.1000 Table Z-2).]

OSHA has initiated a Section 6(b)(5) rulemaking in response to the OCAW petition. The scope of the rulemaking will cover the General Industry, Agriculture, Construction, and Maritime sectors. To meet the requirements of OSHA case law, Executive Order 12866 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act, OSHA must develop an economic analysis (EA) for the standard. Under the OSH Act, the Agency must also demonstrate the economic and technological feasibility of the proposed standard.

To support its technological feasibility conclusions, OSHA must gather information on technological solutions for controlling hexavalent chromium exposure, including information on engineering controls, chemical substitution, process modifications, work practice controls, and personal protection equipment. OSHA particularly needs information linking data on the exposure control measures in use at the time of sampling and the levels of worker exposure to hexavalent chromium achieved with these controls in a wise variety of industries and job categories within these industries. Information of this type is essential in order to determine the technological feasibility of alternative PELs and to estimate the associated costs of compliance. The Agency proposes to conduct as many as 50 site visits to affected employers and to contact and interview by phone as many as 150 firms, trade associations, labor organizations, or experts.

II. Current Actions

The proposed collection of information consists of site visits to as many as 50 establishments within industries affected by the proposed standard and phone interviews with as many as 150 employers, trade associations, labor organizations, or experts in the field. Information to be sought by these site visits will consist of identification of processes that have exposures to hexavalent chromium; a description of the production technology, controls and occupations of each process; occupational exposure levels of employees at those processes; potential new technologies or controls that may reduce exposures; estimates of costs of current technology as well as

technology that could reduce exposure levels; and other means used to control or reduce exposure levels, such as administrative controls or work practices.

Type of Review: New.

Agency: Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

Title: Hexavalent Chromium Site Visits.

OMB Number: None.

Agency Number: ICR-96-14.

Frequency: Once.

Affected Public: Private businesses, federal government.

Number of Respondents: 150.

Estimated Time per Respondent: 30 hours, on average, for site visits; ½ hour on average for phone interviews.

Total Estimated Cost: \$341,250.

For Further Information Contact:

Anne C. Cyr, Acting Director, Office of Information and Consumer Affairs, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-3647, 200 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20210. Telephone (202) 219-8148. Copies of the information collection request are available for inspection and copying in the Docket Office and will be immediately mailed to persons who request copies by telephoning Vivian Allen at (202) 219-8076. For electronic copies, contact OSHA's Web Page on Internet at <http://www.osha.gov/>.

Dated: September 11, 1996.

Marthe Kent,

Director, Office of Regulatory Analysis, Directorate of Policy, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor.

Collection of information sought by OSHA for industries potentially affected by the proposed hexavalent chromium rulemaking:

1. Identification of processes or operations that may result in exposures to employees.
2. A description of the production process, its technology, and control technology.
3. A description of activities by occupation that result in worker exposures. How are employees exposed? During what work activities? What is the length and frequency of exposure?
4. How many employees work in each process with exposures to the substance in question? How many people are in each occupation at that process?
5. What data is available of exposure levels of each occupation of the process? Is historical data available?
6. What technology or controls are capable of reducing exposures? What exposure levels could be achieved with other control technologies? Are there

substitutes for hexavalent chromium?
Are there other technologies employed by the industry?

7. Are the changes in administrative controls or work practices that could affect employee exposures?

8. Estimates of the cost of the various means of reducing occupational exposure levels. Estimates of the cost current controls.

9. General information from the establishment on number of employees, number of production employees, products and production levels.

10. Information about the technology, controls, and exposures for the rest of the industry.

11. What are the economic benefits of installing production technology that reduces exposures?

[FR Doc. 96-23783 Filed 9-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-M

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

List of Applicants for 1997 Competitive Grant Funds

AGENCY: Legal Services Corporation.

ACTION: Announcement of qualified applicants.

SUMMARY: The Legal Services Corporation (LSC or Corporation) hereby announces the name of the organizations that have qualified to compete for 1997 competitive grant funds.

ADDRESSES: Legal Services Corporation—Competitive Grants, Legal Services Corporation, 750 First Street N.E., 10th Floor, Washington, DC 20002-4250.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Merceria Ludgood, Director, Office of Program Services, (202) 336-8865.

Service area	Applicant name	Service area	Applicant name
NAZ-2 ...	Community Legal Services, Inc.	CO-2	Colorado Rural Legal Services, Inc.
NAZ-3 ...	Papago Legal Services, Inc.	CO-3	Legal Aid Society of Metropolitan Denver, Inc.
NAZ-4 ...	Southern Arizona Legal Aid, Inc.	CO-4	Colorado Rural Legal Services, Inc.
NAZ-5 ...	DNA-People's Legal Services, Inc.		Pikes Peak Legal Services.
MAZ	Community Legal Services, Inc.		Pueblo County Legal Services, Inc.
AR-1	Pinal & Gila Counties Legal Aid Society.	NCO-1 ...	Colorado Rural Legal Services, Inc.
AR-2	Ozark Legal Services.	MCO	Colorado Rural Legal Services, Inc.
AR-3	Legal Services of Northeast Arkansas, Inc.	CT-1	Statewide Legal Services of Connecticut, Inc.
AR-4	Western Arkansas Legal Services, Inc.	NCT-1 ...	—No Applicant—
AR-5	East Arkansas Legal Services.	MCT	Statewide Legal Services of Connecticut, Inc.
MAR	Center For Arkansas Legal Services.	DE-1	Legal Services Corporation of Delaware, Inc.
CA-1	Center For Arkansas Legal Services.	MDE	Legal Aid Bureau, Inc.
CA-2	California Indian Legal Services, Inc.	DC-1	Lawrence & Associates Legal Group.
CA-3	Greater Bakersfield Legal Assistance, Inc.		Neighborhood Legal Services Program of the District of Columbia.
CA-4	Jones and Kramer, LLC.	FL-1	Central Florida Legal Services, Inc.
	Central California Legal Services.	FL-2	Legal Aid Service of Broward County, Inc.
	California Legal Foundation.	FL-3	Florida Rural Legal Services, Inc.
CA-5	Legal Aid Foundation of Long Beach.	FL-4	Jacksonville Area Legal Aid, Inc.
CA-6	Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles.	FL-5	Legal Services of Greater Miami, Inc.
CA-7	Legal Aid Society of Alameda County.	FL-6	Legal Services of North Florida, Inc.
CA-8	Channel Counties Legal Services Association.	FL-7	Greater Orlando Area Legal Services, Inc.
CA-9	Oxnard Legal Clinic, Inc.	FL-8	Bay Area Legal Services, Inc.
CA-10 ...	San Fernando Valley Neighborhood Legal Services, Inc.	FL-9	Withlacoochee Area Legal Services, Inc.
CA-11 ...	California Legal Foundation.	FL-10 ...	Three Rivers Legal Services, Inc.
CA-12 ...	Legal Services Program for Pasadena and San Gabriel-Pomona Valley.	FL-11 ...	Northwest Florida Legal Services, Inc.
CA-13 ...	Legal Aid Society of San Mateo County.	FL-12 ...	Gulfcoast Legal Services, Inc.
CA-14 ...	Contra Costa Legal Services Foundation.	MFL	Florida Rural Legal Services, Inc.
CA-15 ...	Inland Counties Legal Services Inc.	GA-1	Atlanta Legal Aid Society, Inc.
CA-16 ...	Legal Services of Northern California Inc.	GA-2	Georgia Legal Services Program.
CA-17 ...	Legal Aid Society of San Diego, Inc.	MGA	Georgia Legal Services Program.
CA-18 ...	Legal Aid Society of San Diego, Inc.	GU-1	Guam Legal Services Corporation.
CA-19 ...	California Rural Legal Assistance, Inc.	HI-1	Legal Aid Society of Hawaii.
CA-20 ...	Leroy George Siddell.	NHI-1 ...	Native Hawaiian Legal Corporation.
CA-21 ...	San Francisco Neighborhood Legal Assistance Foundation.	MHI	Legal Aid Society of Hawaii.
CA-22 ...	Legal Aid of Marin.	ID-1	Idaho Legal Aid Services, Inc.
CA-23 ...	Community Legal Services, Inc.	NID-1 ...	Idaho Legal Aid Services, Inc.
NCA-1 ...	Legal Aid Society of Orange County, Inc.	MID	Idaho Legal Aid Services, Inc.
MCA	Legal Aid for the Central Coast.	IL-1	Cook County Legal Assistance Foundation, Inc.
CO-1	Leroy George Siddell.	IL-2	Legal Assistance Foundation of Chicago.
	Tulare/Kings Counties Legal Services, Inc.	IL-3	Land of Lincoln Legal Assistance Foundation, Inc.
	Legal Aid for the Central Coast.	IL-4	Prairie State Legal Services, Inc.
	Redwood Legal Assistance.	IL-5	West Central Illinois Legal Assistance.
	California Indian Legal Services, Inc.	MIL	Legal Assistance Foundation of Chicago.
	California Rural Legal Assistance, Inc.	IN-1	Legal Services of Maumee Valley, Inc.
	Oxnard Legal Clinic, Inc.	IN-2	Legal Services of Northwest Indiana, Inc.
	Pikes Peak Legal Services.		