1

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON CONSTRUCTION SAFETY AND HEALTH

(ACCSH)

Friday, August 23, 2013

Frances Perkins Building

Room C-5515

200 Constitution Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20210

Reported by: Natalia Thomas

Capital Reporting Company

2

1	PARTICIPANTS
2	EMPLOYEE REPRESENTATIVES
3	Sarah M. Coyne
4	Executive Assistant Director
5	International Union of Painters and Allied
6	Trades/Finishing Trades Institute
7	Roger Erickson
8	MOST Administrator
9	International Brotherhood of Boilermakers, Iron Ship
10	Builders, Blacksmiths, Forgers & Helpers
11	Walter A. Jones
12	Associate Director
13	Occupational S&H Laborers' Health and Safety Fund of
14	North America
15	Laurie A. Shadrick
16	S&H National Coordinator
17	United Association of Plumbers and Pipefitters
18	
19	EMPLOYER REPRESENTATIVES
20	Kristi Barber
21	President, Glenn C. Barber & Associates, Inc.
22	

3

1	PARTICIPANTS
2	(Continued)
3	Kevin R. Cannon
4	Director of Safety and Health Services
5	The Associated General Contractors of America
6	Thomas Marrero, Jr.
7	National Safety Director
8	Tradesmen International, Inc.
9	Donald L. Pratt
10	President & CEO
11	Construction Education and Consultation Services of
12	Michigan
13	Jerry Rivera
14	National Director of Safety
15	National Electrical Contractors Association
16	
17	STATE REPRESENTATIVES
18	Charles Stribling
19	OSH Federal-State Coordinator
20	Kentucky Labor Cabinet
21	Department of Workplace Standards
22	

	4
1	PARTICIPANTS
2	(Continued)
3	Steven D. Hawkins
4	Administrator
5	Tennessee Occupational Safety and Health
6	Administration
7	
8	PUBLIC REPRESENTATIVE
9	Jeremy Bethancourt
10	Co-Owner and Program Director
11	Arizona Construction Training Alliance
12	
13	FEDERAL REPRESENTATIVE
14	Matt Gillen
15	Deputy Director
16	Office of Construction Safety & Health
17	CDC-NIOSH, Office of the Director
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

			5
	1	PARTICIPANTS	
	2	(Continued)	
	3	DESIGNATED FEDERAL OFFICER	
	4	Dean McKenzie	
	5	Director	
	6	Office of Construction Services	
	7	Directorate of Construction	
	8		
	9	ALTERNATE DESIGNATED FEDERAL OFFICIAL	
	10	Jim Maddux	
	11	Director, Directorate of Construction	
	12	U.S. Department of Labor-OSHA	
	13		
	14	COMMITTEE SOLICITOR CONTACT	
	15	Sarah Shortall	
	16	ACCSH Counsel	
	17	Office of the Solicitor	
	18	Department of Labor	
	19		
	20	ADDITIONAL PARTICIPANTS	
	21	Troy Armstead	
	22	Department of the Air Force	
-1			

		6
1	PARTICIPANTS	
2	(Continued)	
3	ADDITIONAL PARTICIPANTS (Continued)	
4	Bob Biersner	
5	Office of the Solicitor	
6	Paul Bolon	
7	OSHA DOC	
8	Chris Brown	
9	Directorate of Standards and Guidance	
10	Christopher Cole	
11	Inside OSHA	
12	Dayton Eckerson	
13	OSHA DOC	
14	Chuck Harvey	
15	OSHA	
16	Eric Kampert	
17	OSHA	
18	Bruce Lundgren	
19	SBA Office of Advocacy	
20	Courtney Marud (ph)	
21	OSHA	
22		

		7
1	PARTICIPANTS	
2	(Continued)	
3	Rob Matuga	
4	National Association of Home Builders	
5	Michele Mihelic	
6	American Wind Energy Association	
7	Thad Nosal	
8	Insurance Services Office	
9	Michael Payne	
10	OSHA DOC	
11	Vernon Preston	
12	OSHA DOC	
13	Tom Ransdell	
14	OSHA	
15	Rebecca Reindel	
16	Directorate of Standards and Guidance	
17	Bruce Rolfsen	
18	BNA	
19	Scott Schneider	
20	Laborers' Health and Safety Fund	
21		
22		

		8
1	PARTICIPANTS	-
2	(Continued)	
3	Chris Williams	
4	Associated Builders and Contractors	
5	Lisa Wilson	
6	Office of the Solicitor	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

```
9
 1
                         TABLE OF CONTENTS
 2
                                                        PAGE
    Opening Remarks/Agenda Overview
                                                         16
 3
 4
    SIP IV - Replace the definitions of "employee"
 5
    and "employer" in 29 CFR 1926.32 with the
 6
    definitions of those terms found in
 7
    29 CFR 1910.2
 8
                                                         21
 9
10
    SIP IV - Clarify the rules that an employer
11
    must ensure that a physician or other licensed
   health care professional must use to make a
12
   determination that a hearing loss case is not
13
    work-related under 29 CFR 1904.10(b)(6)
                                                         36
14
15
    SIP IV - Update the Definition of "Potable
16
    Water" in 29 CFR 1926.51(a)(6) with the newer
17
18
    language found in the general industry
19
    standard at 29 CFR 1910.141(a)(2)
                                                         43
20
21
22
```

			10
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS		
2	(Continued)		
3		PAGE	
4	SIP IV - Standardize break-strength requirements		
5	for lanyards and lifelines throughout the		
6	construction and general industry standards		
7	of 29 CFR parts 1910 and 1926	43	
8			
9	SIP IV - Clarify the excavation requirements at		
10	29 CFR 1926.651(j)(1) and (2) keeping loose		
11	rock and soil, and equipment and materials, away		
12	from the edge of excavations	48	
13			
14	SIP IV - Remove requirements for chest x-rays		
15	in certain health standards, such as cadmium		
16	and inorganic arsenic, that may affect		
17	construction employees	64	
18			
19	SIP IV - Permit digital storage of x-rays		
20	(not just film)	64	
21			
22			

			11
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS		
2	(Continued)		
3		PAGE	
4	SIP IV - Replace the 29 CFR 1926.64 requirements		
5	for process safety management of highly hazardous		
6	chemicals with a cross-reference to the general		
7	industry regulations at 29 CFR 1910.119	68	
8			
9	SIP IV - Replace the outdated 29 CFR subpart W		
10	(Rollover Protective Structures; Overhead		
11	Protection) requirements with cross-references		
12	to appropriate consensus standards	71	
13			
14	SIP IV - Update the 29 CFR 1926.64 requirement		
15	to post emergency medical contact information		
16	in locations without 911 emergency services	79	
17			
18	SIP IV - Amend the 29 CFR 1926.250(a)(2)		
19	requirement to post maximum safe-load limits		
20	for buildings under construction to exempt		
21	single family dwellings	104	
22			

			12
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS		
2	(Continued)		
3		PAGE	
4	Decompression Tables	116	
5			
6	SIP IV - Clarify the rules that an employer		
7	must ensure that a physician or other licensed		
8	health care professional must use to make a		
9	determination that a hearing loss case is not		
10	work-related under 29 CFR 1904.10(b)(6)	125	
11			
12	SIP IV - Correct and reformat table at		
13	29 CFR 1926.55 (Threshold Limit Values) for		
14	clarity and consistency with its counterpart		
15	in the general industry standard at		
16	29 CFR 1910.1000	129	
17			
18	Temporary Worker Work Group Report	137	
19			
20	Training Outreach Work Group Report	154	
21			
22			

Γ

			13
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS		
2	(Continued)		
3		PAGE	
4	Open Public Meeting Motion and Discussion	155	
5			
6	Announcement from Jim Maddux	169	
7			
8	Adjourn	170	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

		14
1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	MR. JONES: Welcome, everyone. I would like	
3	to reconvene the ACCSH meeting for August 23rd. I'm	
4	Walter Jones. I represent the Laborers' Health and	
5	Safety Fund. I'm an Employee Rep. And I would first	
6	like the table here to introduce themselves, and then	
7	we'll do the folks on the phone, and then afterwards	
8	we'll do the folks in the audience.	
9	So if I could start with Tom.	
10	MR. MARRERO: Tom Marrero, Employer Rep.	
11	MR. GILLEN: Matt Gillen, NIOSH Rep.	
12	MS. COYNE: Sarah Coyne, Employee Rep.	
13	MR. McKENZIE: Dean McKenzie, OSHA DFO.	
14	MS. SHORTALL: Sarah Shortall, ACCSH Counsel.	
15	MR. BOLON: Paul Bolon. I'm in the	
16	Directorate of Construction, in the Office of	
17	Standards.	
18	MR. ECKERSON: Dayton Eckerson, also in the	
19	Directorate of Construction of OSHA.	
20	MS. SHADRICK: Hi. Laurie Shadrick, Employee	
21	Rep.	
22	MR. CANNON: Kevin Cannon, the Associated	

15

General Contractors of America, Employer Rep. 1 MR. RIVERA: Jerry Rivera, Employee Rep. 2 MR. STRIBLING: Good morning. Chuck 3 Stribling, Kentucky Labor Cabinet, State 4 Representative. 5 6 MR. JONES: Jeremy? 7 MR. BETHANCOURT: Good morning. Jeremy 8 Bethancourt, ACTA Safety, Public Representative. 9 MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson, MOST Programs, International Brotherhood of Boilermakers, Employee 10 Representative. 11 12 MS. BARBER: Good morning. Kristi Barber, Employee Representative. 13 MR. JONES: Steve? Okay. 14 15 Scott? MR. SCHNEIDER: Scott Schneider, Laborers' 16 17 Health and Safety Fund. 18 MS. WILSON: Lisa Wilson, the Office of the 19 Solicitor. 20 MR. COLE: Chris Cole, Inside OSHA. 21 MR. ARMSTEAD: Troy Armstead, Air Force 22 Safety.

16

MR. WILLIAMS: Chris Williams, Associated 1 2 Builders and Contractors. 3 MR. RANSDELL: Tom Ransdell, OSHA. MR. KAMPERT: Eric Kampert, OSHA. 4 MS. MIHELIC: Michele Mihelic, American Wind 5 Energy Association. 6 7 MR. MADDUX: Jim Maddux, with OSHA. 8 MR. HARVEY: I'm Chuck Harvey, with OSHA. 9 MR. PAYNE: Michael Payne, with OSHA. 10 MR. MARUD (ph): Courtney Marud, OSHA. 11 MR. NOSAL: Thad Nosal, the Insurance Services Office. 12 MR. ROLFSEN: I'm Bruce Rolfsen, with BNA, 13 Occupational Safety and Health Reporter. 14 15 MR. JONES: Okay. I want to thank everyone 16 for attending again today. We're just going to start with Paul Bolon. He's going to go over the SIPs 17 18 information for us that he supplied. I believe there 19 are handouts in the back of the information they're 20 supplying. Okay, back to you, Paul. 21 22 MS. SHORTALL: I just have a couple of quick

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2013

1 things to go over.

2	MR. JONES: Oh, I'm sorry.
3	MS. SHORTALL: One, I would like to mark and
4	put into the record as Exhibit Number 4 the OSHA
5	Training and Outreach Program Evaluation questions
6	developed by the ACCSH OTI Workgroup, and as Exhibit 5,
7	Introduction to OSHA Course Recommendations, also
8	developed by the OTI Workgroup.
9	And then on a personal, for those members who
10	have served with Daniel Zarletti on this committee, his
11	brother passed away very suddenly last month. I'm sure
12	all of you were regaled with the stories about his
13	brother's singing career. And if you want to send
14	condolences, just send me an e-mail because I have his
15	home address.
16	Thank you.
17	MR. BOLON: Good morning. I'm Paul Bolon.
18	Again, I'm in the Directorate of Construction in the
19	Office of Standards and Guidance. And next to me is
20	Dayton Eckerson. He is the main staff person who is
21	working on the Standards Improvement Project, Phase IV,
22	which is the full name of what we abbreviate as SIPs

17

1	IV. We're going to go through the SIPs candidate ideas
2	that are in the table that you've got, and so we'll
3	follow the order that's here. It's not exactly the
4	order that was in the agenda, but it has all the items.
5	And I'll just mention a couple of things
6	before we start out with the first one. At the end
7	this isn't on your table, but at the previous committee
8	meeting, we had presented an idea on replacing the
9	decompression tables in the underground construction
10	subpart, and we've been asked by the committee to
11	follow up on that, and so we're going to mention that
12	to you again, if the committee wants to make a
13	recommendation on that.
14	And also near the end of this table that you
15	have are a couple of items on x-rays, both of when
16	they're done and storage of them. Those were also
17	considered last time, and the committee had asked OSHA
18	to look at a few more things, so we're going to present
19	them again.
20	And, again, just to refresh everybody's
21	memory about what the Standard Improvement Projects
22	are, this is the fourth one, and they're not a full

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2013

19

1	OSHA 6B rulemaking. We usually don't get into risks,
2	we don't have new costs. It avoids that kind of
3	lengthy analysis. And so what we're trying to do and
4	this one is focused on the construction industry is
5	to simplify regulations, clarify them, allow new
6	methods or technology, reduce paperwork, reduce burdens
7	on employers so long as we're not reducing employee
8	safety. Some of the items generally are cost savings,
9	and as I said before, they're not new costs because we
10	don't get into a feasibility analysis and
11	determination. And sometimes we pick up things that
12	are more predictive that don't have new costs. So
13	those are the criteria.
14	And we published an RFI back in December. We
15	had a lot of ideas from there and a number of the ideas
16	in this table are from there, and also the ones that we
17	presented to ACCSH at the May meeting.
18	So with that, we have about 10 or 12 to go
19	through here, and we'll go through the table that
20	you've got.
21	The first candidate was to revise the
22	definitions of "employer" and "employee." And we

20

1	wanted to propose using simpler definitions of
2	"employer" and "employee" than the lengthy ones that
3	we've got, which are lengthy and confusing. The
4	definitions that we're proposing are consistent with
5	the OSH Act definitions and they're consistent with
6	what's in the general industry definition. And again
7	our intent here is just to simplify and make these
8	definitions consistent so that everyone understands who
9	is covered and what they need to do.
10	Are there any comments or any questions?
11	MR. JONES: Paul, how would you like us to
12	handle this? Would you like for us to go through the
13	entire document and then accept it in whole or make
14	motions on each change? It would probably be easier if
15	we went through one-by-one, I guess.
16	MR. BOLON: Yeah, but, you know, there might
17	be some that are not
18	MR. JONES: Controversial?
19	MR. BOLON: No, not controversial. You might
20	cover all of those in a recommendation, and if the ones
21	that are
22	MR. JONES: All right. That's what we'll do.

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2013

21 MR. BOLON: All right. 1 2 MR. JONES: Any comments? 3 Chuck? MR. STRIBLING: Good morning. 4 MR. JONES: Introduce yourself, Chuck. 5 6 MR. STRIBLING: Chuck Stribling, State Representative. The proposed definitions give me 7 8 significant concern, and I spoke to Mr. Hawkins, which 9 10 Are you on the line, Steve? 11 MR. JONES: No, he's not. MR. HAWKINS: I'm here. 12 13 MR. JONES: Oh, he is. MR. STRIBLING: And I also ran it by our 14 15 counsel, and they're very concerned about the proposed definitions here for "employee" and "employer." 16 So I 17 think maybe -- you know, I don't want to spend a lot of 18 time on this because I know we have a lot to cover, or 19 if you do want to spend a lot of time on it, it's 20 however you choose to proceed, but this is one that I 21 know I can't support, and I don't want to speak for 22 Steve, but he may not be able to as well.

22 MR. HAWKINS: Can you hear me, Chuck, okay? 1 2 MR. JONES: We hear you well, Steve. 3 MR. HAWKINS: Okay. I want to say hello to pretty Ms. Sarah there. 4 5 (Laughter.) 6 MS. SHORTALL: Hi, Steve. MR. HAWKINS: I'm sorry I don't get to be 7 8 there in person. I also would like to complement 9 Walter for the wonderful job he's done in Pete's place 10 there. 11 MR. JONES: Thank you, Steve. 12 MR. HAWKINS: You know, many of the states --13 some states do everything uniquely, and then some states like pretty much Tennessee and Kentucky pretty 14 15 much pattern Federal OSHA, and we adopt the OSHA 16 standards every 6 months. We have a procedure that we 17 follow and we do it twice a year to make sure that we 18 adopt all of the standards that OSHA adopts and that 19 we're always within 6 months of them because that's a 20 requirement of our grant. And so we do this in a 21 wholesale fashion. 22 And this section that is proposed to be

1	amended is a section that we adopt in this wholesale
2	manner, and the definition of "employer," because it
3	specifically says it doesn't include states and local
4	governments as well as the United States, is a problem
5	for us because if we adopt it in this wholesale manner
6	that we're accustomed to and I think many other states
7	are accustomed to, we could conceivably lose our
8	protection of state and local government employees.
9	And so I did raise it to Doug Kalinowski and
10	a couple of other folks in OSHPA, which is, of course,
11	you all know what that is, that's the Association of
12	State Plan States, but I couldn't help Chuck and I
13	discussed it, and we couldn't help but bring it up at
14	this opportunity in case this is like one of the few
15	opportunities we actually get to object to it, but that
16	is a problem for us because when we adopt that
17	language, it could give us some problem, and I think it
18	could give a lot of other states problems, and they may
19	not realize it at this point, and it would be nice to
20	get this fixed before it got too far. So thank you.
21	MR. JONES: Thanks, Steve.
22	Paul?

		24
1	MR. BOLON: Yeah. I'm not quite sure if this	
2	language is adopted, then it essentially would be	
3	giving you jurisdictional problems because it's taking	
4	you out of your own authority or something? Is that	
5	it?	
6	MR. HAWKINS: Well this is Steve Hawkins	
7	again every 6 months we adopt that language and we	
8	adopt the language that's in this standard number	
9	what is it? I think it's 1910.3 or 1904.3. I don't	
10	remember the exact number. I can't pull it up on my	
11	screen because I have you all on my screen, but	
12	whatever that standard number is, Paul, is included in	
13	the range of standards that we adopt every 6 months.	
14	And so if we adopted this let's say this change goes	
15	through and that standard does indeed change and it's	
16	worded like the proposal is worded, we would be	
17	adopting a document that says we don't have	
18	jurisdiction over state and local governments, and it's	
19	something that we do have jurisdiction over. So we	
20	don't understand why the necessity to have that	
21	language in there. I mean, if there is some compelling	
22	reason why it has to be, I guess we'll have to look at	

25

other ways around it, but in the long run, we're 1 2 concerned it could cause us some kind of legal trouble 3 with jurisdictional issues in the future. MR. BOLON: Lisa, do you -- Lisa Wilson is 4 from the Solicitor's Office. She is a solicitor on the 5 6 SIPs project. Do you have any --7 MR. JONES: One second, Lisa. 8 MS. WILSON: Thank you. Again, I'm Lisa 9 Wilson, with the Solicitor's Office. I understand the 10 state's concern. The proposed new language would be, 11 "'Employer' means a person engaged in a business 12 affecting commerce who has employees but does not 13 include the United States or any State or political subdivision." Obviously, that is language that is 14 15 applicable to the Federal OSHA but that would exclude 16 obviously state coverage. I think what OSHA is trying 17 to do here is this language does come from what's in 18 1910.2, which states have adopted as appropriately 19 adapted to meet -- okay, you have a different 20 I understand the concern the states definition. Okay. 21 want to adopt things without making changes. OSHA is trying to make this language consistent with the 22

1	general industry language and really fully make it
2	clear to employers the coverage of the Construction
3	Act. I think perhaps we could later explore a way to
4	make this clear within OSHA without burdening the state
5	
	plans because obviously you can't lose jurisdiction
6	over all the employees that you cover, and that was not
7	OSHA's intent obviously to deprive anyone of any
8	jurisdiction.
9	MR. GILLEN: Matt Gillen, from NIOSH. I
10	would just like to say that I looked up the BLS
11	statistics here and it shows that in 2011 there were 43
12	construction workers who worked for either the state,
13	local, or federal government who were killed, and so
14	this looks like it says that those workers don't get
15	protection from the OSHA construction standards in a
16	way if you read it, if they're not employers. That's
17	what I would worry about, is a change like that that
18	then excludes some workers that look like they deserve
19	protection because they're construction workers. And
20	so to me that's the fundamental question I have.
21	MR. JONES: Chuck.
22	MR. STRIBLING: Thank you. Your point is

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2013

26

1	well taken, and just for the matter of record, in the
2	Commonwealth of Kentucky, we do not adopt the general
3	industry definition for "employer" and "employee." We
4	would most certainly not adopt this proposed text. We
5	think it could be a problem. We do adopt the present
6	definition of "employer" and "employee," and we don't
7	run into that issue of an employer not knowing that
8	they're covered under the act, it's well settled, so
9	maybe we're not having the same problem you're having.
10	And with regard to the definition of
11	"employee," we talked yesterday about some issues with
12	regard to independent contractors, temporary workers,
13	day laborers, et cetera. The old definition makes it
14	pretty clear it includes everybody. The new definition
15	for "employee" makes it sound like you must be employed
16	by the employer, and in fact it would hurt us in our
17	enforcement efforts where we do consider employees to
18	be they're to be in an employer-employee
19	relationship, we go by the 13-part United States
20	Supreme Court test, but this sort of makes it look like
21	you must be employed, directly employed, by this
22	definition. So it's also a problem for us as well.

27

2.8

MR. JONES: Thank you. Any other comments? 1 2 MR. BOLON: So, I mean, you don't have any alternative language here to resolve the issue. Is it 3 more complicated than that or --4 MR. STRIBLING: More complicated than what? 5 6 MR. BOLON: Well, than just, you know, suggesting some alternative language here to what we 7 8 have or --9 MR. STRIBLING: Yeah. I mean, I would suggest the current definitions are well settled, and, 10 11 granted, they don't match up identical to general 12 industry, but they're two different industries. In 13 construction, we run into a much broader spectrum when it comes to the employer-employee relationship than we 14 15 typically do in general industry. So I applaud the 16 agency for trying to be as consistent as possible 17 between the two, but this may be an instance where well 18 is good as it is, and we can just leave it be because 19 it is different in construction. So, no, I don't have 20 a specific alternative to offer. 21 What I would do, if the agency would like an 22 alternative, is at the next OSHPA meeting, I would send

		29
1	this out and seek time on the agenda for all the states	
2	to address this since that's who I represent, and see	
3	if we could come to a consensus that the group would	
4	and also poll the states to find out how many currently	
5	do adopt the present definition and how many would not	
6	adopt the proposed text.	
7	MR. BOLON: Okay. When is the next OSHPA	
8	meeting?	
9	MR. STRIBLING: Steve?	
10	MR. HAWKINS: I think the 19th, 18th and 19th	
11	of October. Give me one second and I'll pull it up	
12	here, 22nd and 23rd of October in Nashville actually.	
13	MR. JONES: Steve, do you have any suggested	
14	language or addendums to this proposal that could make	
15	it more palatable?	
16	MR. HAWKINS: No, just as Chuck said, just to	
17	leave the language as it is because it's more broad	
18	than the amended language.	
19	MR. JONES: Thank you.	
20	MR. GILLEN: Matt Gillen, NIOSH. I don't	
21	have any language. It's hard work to do this, but I	
22	could think of four points that would be helpful to	
1		

1	think about if OSHA wants to consider changing it. One
2	would be to not exclude any workers currently covered
3	by the existing definition, you know, unless there is a
4	really compelling rationale, and, two, reflect the
5	current complex picture in construction with temporary
6	employees and independent contractors to try to have it
7	be as relevant as possible to today's construction
8	picture. Three, not create any unintended issues for
9	state plans. And, four, not create any inconsistency
10	with other key employee definitions used by the
11	Department of Labor or the IRS, because they're big on
12	this employee definition, that's a big issue there, so
13	just checking on all those other employee definitions,
14	it's really kind of complicated.
15	MR. BOLON: Yeah. Our intent was not to
16	rattle the jurisdictional precedence and fences, and it
17	certainly was not to remove protections from any
18	employee that's currently covered, but we appreciate
19	what we're hearing. And also what was the other?
20	MR. GILLEN: That was it.
21	MS. SHORTALL: I have a couple of questions
22	to ask, Mr. Stribling. Were you indicating then that

1	you would like OSHPA to consider this issue and they
2	independently would make a recommendation to the agency
3	or were you thinking that you wanted to get OSHPA's
4	viewpoints and then at the next ACCSH meeting bring it
5	up again?
6	MR. STRIBLING: Thank you. And just for the
7	record, I know that you weren't trying to do anything
8	like that excluding any of the states or anything like
9	that, it was just one of those unintended consequences,
10	things that we saw could be a problem. If the agency
11	felt strongly about changing these definitions, I would
12	go to OSHPA and get their feedback and then bring it
13	back to the next committee meeting if the agency wants
14	to proceed, but, I mean, I think what you have is good.
15	Just as a point and maybe your solicitor
16	here might be able to think about it it says an
17	"'Employer' means a person engaged in a business,"
18	well, our government's business. The word "business"
19	in and of itself is a problem. And then the whole
20	other part of the language becomes a problem. But the
21	current definition says a "contractor or
22	subcontractor," and our counsel has indicated to us

32

1	that it's well established that a government who has an
2	employee, they may not be a business, but they are
3	contracting with that person through employment, so
4	just the word "business" in and of itself is a problem.
5	MS. SHORTALL: And I also have a question for
6	Ms. Wilson. In the proposed language for employer, is
7	part of the rationale for the language about not
8	including the United States or any state or political
9	subdivision because this is giving information out to
10	not only state plan states but other states as well?
11	MS. WILSON: I'm sorry, Ms. Shortall, I
12	didn't quite understand the question.
13	MS. SHORTALL: Is this language here, "but
14	does not include the United States or any State or
15	political subdivision of a State," is that language
16	applicable to any state that doesn't have a state plan?
17	MS. WILSON: If a state doesn't have a state
18	plan, then federal OSHA is in that state doing the
19	enforcement.
20	MS. SHORTALL: All right. So this language
21	may have wanted to reflect both state plan and non-
22	state plan states. The mechanism you use to come out

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2013

from underneath this is you file for state plan status. 1 2 Okay. 3 MR. JONES: Jerry? MR. RIVERA: Jerry Rivera, Employer Rep. 4 Ι 5 guess I want to speak in support of the public representatives. Maybe we should afford some time for 6 that group to review the proposed language. You know, 7 8 I guess our intent is to help and not harm, and it 9 clearly has been articulated today that this could 10 potentially harm some of our public representatives. 11 So I would like to support that. 12 MR. STRIBLING: This is Chuck Stribling 13 again. Just thinking through what you were asking awhile ago, Ms. Shortall, I guess maybe if the agency 14 15 would let us know, let the Chair know, and he could let 16 the committee know, if they strongly feel that they 17 need to move forward with new definitions or if they're 18 comfortable leaving it like it is, and then we can 19 decide a course of action from there. 20 MR. BOLON: Yeah. I think we've heard enough 21 that I really think we should set this one aside, we 22 should table it, and OSHA should have a conversation

		34
1	with itself, and if we really think that the	
2	clarification is a good and strong thing to do, we	
3	should figure out the language that's necessary and	
4	then engage OSHPA, and they can consider an October	
5	meeting and get some feedback to us. But this one has	
6	gotten snagged, which certainly wasn't our intention.	
7	So we need to look at it and think about it some more.	
8	MR. STRIBLING: Thank you.	
9	MR. JONES: Scott?	
10	MR. SCHNEIDER: I was just wondering. I	
11	mean, it even says employer means a person engaged in a	
12	business, and employers aren't necessarily people,	
13	corporations aren't people necessarily, or people	
14	aren't necessarily corporations.	
15	MR. JONES: All right. Next?	
16	Do you want to start?	
17	MR. ECKERSON: Yeah. This next one, it's	
18	Number 2 in the handout here. It's regarding the	
19	definition of work-relatedness with respect to	
20	recordkeeping in terms of hearing loss. Specifically	
21	Section 1904.10(b)(6), as it currently is, is worded	
22	does leave arguably a little bit of ambiguity in terms	

		35
1	of which standards you apply. We actually don't think	
2	that there is a very good case for saying there is any	
3	ambiguity there at all, but for purposes of removing	
4	any possibility of ambiguity, we are proposing to add	
5	this additional language that specifically points to	
6	the standards set out in 1904.5 regarding the criteria	
7	for work-relatedness so there is no doubt in anybody's	
8	mind with respect to what criteria you use when you	
9	determine if a particular hearing loss is work-related.	
10	MR. JONES: Any comments?	
11	Kevin?	
12	MR. CANNON: Thank you.	
13	MR. JONES: Introduce yourself, Kevin.	
14	MR. CANNON: Kevin Cannon, Employer Rep. I	
15	think the first part where you're identifying the rules	
16	to be followed is good and it pretty much maintains	
17	what's in the existing response to the question, but I	
18	do and I'll say in consulting with my members, we	
19	have a problem with the second half of what's being	
20	inserted in the highlighted, and the way we read and	
21	interpret this is pretty much saying that you're	
22	ignoring any other contributing factors, whether it's	

		36
1	hobbies, hunting, riding bikes, or whatever the case	
2	may be, you're just totally dismissing that, and we all	
3	know that noise is not the only source of	
4	MR. GILLEN: Did you mean work?	
5	MR. CANNON: Yeah. Well, I'm sorry?	
6	MR. GILLEN: Did you mean work?	
7	MR. CANNON: No, sorry. Work is not the only	
8	source of high noise exposure, and this pretty much	
9	says regardless of any event, it's the employer's fault	
10	for an employee suffering some sort of hearing loss.	
11	MR. BOLON: Well, not exactly. It just means	
12	that it's recordable.	
13	MR. CANNON: Then we own it.	
14	(Laughter.)	
15	MR. CANNON: Then we own it. Once it goes on	
16	the log, it's yours.	
17	MR. BOLON: But all of that that's in the	
18	second part there about, "If an event," that's in the	
19	standards now, that's in 1904.5 now. This isn't new.	
20	There is nothing new here. It is just what it is	
21	somewhere else.	
22	MR. CANNON: Current regulatory text in the	

37 left and then proposed, so to me that sounds like it's 1 2 being expanded upon. 3 MR. BOLON: But it's already in 1904.5. Ιt is the language that's already in here. I understand 4 5 your concern, I really do. I know this is a complicated area that's fraught with problems, but it's 6 7 new here, but it's in 1904.5 now. MR. JONES: It's in the standard already. 8 9 MR. STRIBLING: Yeah. 10 MR. JONES: Go ahead, Chuck. 11 MR. STRIBLING: Chuck Stribling. And to Mr. 12 Cannon's point, I hear you loud and clear. I hear you 13 loud and clear, but that's how we enforce it, and what this is doing, it's just letting an employer see that 14 15 when they read this paragraph, and so if they missed it 16 over on this paragraph, and they thought, well, I 17 didn't know I had to record it because he already had a hearing loss, but then they had more threshold shift, 18 19 this lets them see that if it contributed, it's like 20 Mr. Bolon said, it's already in the standard. 21 MR. CANNON: Well, this states that it comes from the compliance directive and not the standard. 22

38

MR. BOLON: Yeah, I apologize for that. 1 Ιt 2 really is also in the standards. Can we find it, 3 Dayton? 4 MR. ECKERSON: Yeah. I mean, essentially it is condensing what is in the standard now. 5 If you look at the standard 1904.5, they've got a flowchart and 6 they've got about three pages of text, but this 7 8 essentially captures what that means. 9 MR. BOLON: Yeah, right in 1904.5(a), it gives this language, and the last part of that says, 10 "Work- relatedness is presumed for injuries and 11 illnesses resulting from events or exposures occurring 12 13 in the work environment unless there is an exception," and there is a list of exceptions, which we don't 14 15 really need to get into. But it's a very low bar. So 16 if the licensed health care professional who is doing 17 this, they're supposed to evaluate the work-relatedness 18 of standard threshold shift, and there is a strong 19 presumption that if there was a contribution from 20 employment, then it becomes recordable. 21 MR. ECKERSON: And there is a table there 22 that have specific exclusions for exposures that are

outside the scope of the employee's duties. 1 2 MR. BOLON: Yeah. MR. ECKERSON: You see this table here that 3 has a very explicit list of exactly the types of things 4 5 you were expressing concerns about. 6 MR. CANNON: And what I want to point out and if you give me time, I would like to find this language 7 8 in here where it says it is not necessary for work to 9 be the sole cause, the predominant cause, or even a substantial cause of the hearing loss, any contribution 10 from work makes the case work-related, and I think 11 that's where we're having the issues. 12 MR. BOLON: Yeah, I can understand that, but 13 I would only say it is in the standards now. There is 14 15 a presumption that if there is a contribution from work 16 exposure, that that would make it recordable. 17 MR. HAWKINS: This is Steve Hawkins. 18 MR. JONES: Go ahead, Steve. 19 MR. HAWKINS: We have been actually kind of 20 in the throes of this of late with a couple of 21 employers, and I did really discover through the work 22 we've done that just because something goes on the log,

1	at least in Tennessee, does not make it compensable
2	under the workers' comp statute. As a matter of fact,
3	the workers' comp law in Tennessee was recently
4	reformed, and now for something to be compensable, it
5	has to be a preponderance of the evidence. So in this
6	issue, we discussed at length recently with a case and
7	whether or not we kind of got into the workers' comp
8	around a little bit, and while if it contributes to
9	if a hearing loss contributed if work exposure
10	contributed to hearing loss, it would go on the log,
11	but in Tennessee, for it to be a compensable injury
12	under workers' compensation, the employee would have to
13	be able to demonstrate that it was preponderance of the
14	evidence, which I guess you could sum as more than
15	likely, 51 percent, the evidence would have to show 51
16	percent that it was caused by work.
17	And so the bar for going on the log is much
18	lower than the bar for being compensable under the
19	workers' comp statute. And I think we all know this,
20	workers' comp statutes are state laws, and they vary
21	considerably from state to state, and our state just
22	recently reformed theirs. As far as I'm aware, there

		41
1	is not any federal statute that oversees workers' comp,	
2	you don't seek federal approval, it's a state law and a	
3	state program, and so just to add that to the	
4	discussion, just because it goes on your log doesn't	
5	necessarily mean it's compensable under the workers'	
6	comp statute.	
7	MR. JONES: Thank you.	
8	Any further comment?	
9	(No audible response.)	
10	MR. JONES: Do you want to go to the next	
11	one, Paul?	
12	MR. BOLON: Okay. The next one, down at the	
13	bottom, mentions the potable water issue. We had a	
14	number of people recommend that we fix this in the	
15	construction regs, but it actually was already fixed in	
16	SIPs III, so we don't need to take it up again.	
17	Then with Item Number 3 in your table, it	
18	deals with the strength of lifelines, lanyards.	
19	MR. ECKERSON: Yes. We were specifically	
20	looking at 1926.104(c). This particular regulation	
21	really is a holdover from the pre-Subpart M Fall	
22	Protection standard, and for the break-strength	

		42
1	requirement, it uses 5,400 pounds instead of the 5,000	
2	pounds. So we're proposing given that the Fall	
3	Protection standard across the board has 5,000 pounds	
4	as their break-strength requirement, that ANSI and ASSE	
5	standards have across the board the 5,000 pound break-	
6	strength requirement, and also the fact that we've	
7	looked through a bunch of supply catalogs, and	
8	virtually everybody puts out their lanyard	
9	specifications, and they're all 5,000 pounds. So I	
10	think if you were even trying to find a lanyard that's	
11	5,400 pounds instead of 5,000 pounds, you probably	
12	wouldn't be able to buy one. So for that reason, we are	
13	proposing for sake of consistency to change this one	
14	section to 5,000 pounds.	
15	MR. BOLON: Any comment? Questions?	
16	(No audible response.)	
17	MR. JONES: I want to entertain a motion to	
18	accept this recommendation.	
19	MR. STRIBLING: So moved.	
20	MR. JONES: Where is Sarah?	
21	MR. RIVERA: Second.	
22	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Ms. Shortall.	

43

MR. JONES: We'll come back in a bit. 1 2 MS. SHORTALL: I do apologize. We're going to get that on the record. 3 (Laughter.) 4 MS. SHORTALL: I do apologize. 5 MR. JONES: No problem. Yeah, like I say, 6 we're going to come back to a couple of the ones that 7 8 we still may want to debate. Let's try to get the ones 9 that we are in full agreement on out of the way. Ιt would appear to me that we might be in some sort of 10 11 agreement on Number 3. I don't want to be 12 presumptuous, so I've asked for a call to motion on accepting SIPs Number 3. 13 MS. SHORTALL: He already made that motion. 14 15 MR. JONES: Yeah, he already did. You were 16 otherwise disposed. I'm trying to get you caught up. 17 MS. SHORTALL: I'm sorry. Thank you, Mr. 18 Rivera. 19 MR. JONES: Or, no, it was Chuck, he 20 proposed, and I think Jerry seconded? 21 MS. SHORTALL: Okay. 22 MR. JONES: All right. So now we're

discussing. Any comment? 1 2 (No audible response.) MR. JONES: All in favor? 3 (Chorus of ayes.) 4 MR. JONES: All against? 5 6 (No audible response.) 7 MR. PRATT: This is Don Pratt on the phone. 8 I accept that. 9 MS. SHORTALL: You have to poll the people on 10 the phone. 11 MR. JONES: Okay. I'm new at this here. So I'm told that I have to poll the people on the phone 12 separately. So I'm asking everyone on the phone, all 13 in favor of accepting SIPs recommendation for a motion 14 15 Number 3. MR. HAWKINS: This is Steve Hawkins. I 16 17 accept that. I agree. 18 MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson, MOST Programs. 19 I accept. 20 MS. BARBER: Kristi Barber. I accept. 21 MR. BETHANCOURT: Jeremy Bethancourt. Aye. 22 MR. JONES: Okay. Is Don Pratt on?

45

MR. PRATT: Yes. I accept. 1 MR. JONES: Okay. Good. So I think that's 2 3 so moved and passed. Right? All right, let's move on. Number 4? 4 MR. BOLON: Okay. Number 4 looks at the 5 table of permissible exposure limits in the 6 7 construction standard. And what we're doing here, it 8 really just had some things that were language errors, 9 like we still had threshold limit value, which is a 10 holdover from the ACGIH term where it's really permissible exposure limits. We were eliminating some 11 12 language that sounds advisory, we were eliminating some 13 confusing language, and correcting a couple of the footnote errors in the table. So again there is 14 15 nothing substantive here. We're trying to make the 16 language that should be there be there and correct some 17 of the terms. We're not changing any PELs or --18 MR. JONES: I'm going to go to Chair 19 privilege and table that one for now. We're going to 20 move on to Number 5. 21 MR. BOLON: Okay. 22 MR. ECKERSON: Yes. This next one relates to

		46
1	the excavation standard, specifically 1926.651(j)(1).	
2	This particular standard actually, the origin of the	
3	standard dates back to 1971, and it was pretty clear	
4	there that the burden was on employers to keep loose	
5	dirt and fill materials away from the edge of	
6	excavations. And in 1989, there were some revisions of	
7	this particular provision, and it did create some	
8	ambiguity in that it made it appear that not only did	
9	the employer in order to have a violation of this	
10	section, not only did OSHA need to show that there was	
11	loose fill or rock soil but also that OSHA needed to	
12	show that there was a hazard created by that.	
13	So what we're proposing to do in this	
14	revision is to go back to the idea of the excavation	
15	standard as it stood originally in 1971 and make it	
16	clear that there is not a separate hazard finding that	
17	specifically needs to be made, that any loose soil or	
18	material near the excavation is a violation of this	
19	particular provision.	
20	MR. JONES: Any comments?	
21	MR. BETHANCOURT: This is Jeremy Bethancourt.	
22	So is the reason that you're doing that because it's	

47

implied that the loose soil is a hazard? Is that why 1 2 you're making the clarification? MR. BOLON: That's basically it. 3 We're trying to clarify that any equipment or loose fill next 4 to an excavation is a hazard because the language as it 5 is, is somewhat ambiguous. 6 7 MR. BETHANCOURT: I understand. Thank you. 8 MR. HAWKINS: This is Steve Hawkins. 9 MR. JONES: Go ahead, Steve. MR. HAWKINS: You know, not to pick at words 10 here, but the language is really not -- I guess it 11 12 doesn't appear to me to be ambiguous. It says if it's 13 a hazard, you have to protect, and the revision makes the assumption that any loose rock or soil is a hazard 14 15 and that you have to do something to protect the 16 employees from it. Do we have any basis for that or 17 who made that call or what we're kind of basing that 18 assumption going from the wording in the original, 19 which says adequate protection has to be provided to 20 protect employees from loose rock or soil that could 21 fall -- cause a hazard by falling into the face, to 22 this one that says for loose rock or soil, employers

1	must scale it and, Don, I've got a separate question
2	about that is there some background information that
3	you could explain to the committee about how we made
4	that change right there? I don't want to call it a
5	leap, but let's call it that step in that direction?
6	MR. BOLON: Well, it's not based on research
7	or empirical. I mean, we went back and looked at what
8	the original version was, and we come across
9	enforcement cases, and there is confusion about whether
10	because the language is ambiguous as to whether
11	something could or could not pose a hazard, and we
12	looked at the original language that we had, and we
13	thought it was much clearer, that we thought all
14	materials should be kept back from the edge of an
15	excavation, that we don't expect the employer to sit
16	there and make an evaluation case-by- case, you know,
17	in this particular case, yeah, we can just stack the
18	spoil pile right next to the excavation or equipment,
19	have equipment, right next to the excavation. We just
20	think putting pressure on the side walls of an
21	excavation does create a hazard and we want to take the
22	ambiguity out of the language.

1	MR. JONES: Jerry?
2	MR. HAWKINS: Paul I'm sorry.
3	MR. JONES: No, go ahead, Steve.
4	MR. HAWKINS: Paul, I would submit that the
5	one we're talking about here doesn't have anything to
6	do with the extra weight. It's talking about the loose
7	soil or rock that might be on the face of the
8	excavation, and we still have the 2-foot requirement
9	that we're going to talk about next, I guess, right
10	here, but I don't think that this has anything to do
11	with the extra weight on the side wall, the one we're
12	talking about now, does it?
13	MR. ECKERSON: Well, the soil and loose
14	material would put extra weight. It doesn't talk about
15	equipment, that's a separate issue, but to the extent
16	that loose soil weighs anything, it does put extra
17	weight. I don't think that's arguable.
18	MR. BOLON: Yeah. I don't think it's the
19	loose soil or rocks that are in the face, I mean, it's
20	what's up on the edge of the excavation.
21	MR. HAWKINS: No. The original wording
22	that's in there now says, "Adequate protection shall be

50

provided to protect employees from loose rock or soil 1 2 that could pose a hazard by falling or rolling into the 3 excavation --MR. BOLON: Oh, you're right, from an 4 excavation face. 5 6 MR. HAWKINS: From an excavation face. So we're just really talking about the face of the 7 8 excavation, right? We're not talking about on top. 9 We're talking about the face, right? 10 MR. BOLON: Right. You're right. MR. BETHANCOURT: That's the way I -- that 11 This is Jeremy Bethancourt. 12 was my thought. Steve, 13 you're right on what some of my thoughts were about why we're changing that. 14 15 MR. HAWKINS: And, you know, I guess I'm a 16 little bit concerned about this in that anytime you dig 17 an excavation, a person could pretty well always argue 18 that there is some amount of loose dirt, soil 19 particles, on the face of that excavation. In other 20 words, when you dig it, unless you're somewhere way 21 down in Georgia with really, really cohesive clay, you 22 could argue that there is always -- when you disturb

1	soil, there is always some amount of loose soil on the
2	face of that excavation. I mean, you could dig a
3	trench, especially in dry weather like, you know, in
4	the summertime, and you could take a stick or something
5	and wipe across the face of that excavation, and there
6	would always be some amount of soil that would fall.
7	So are we a little bit concerned that if we
8	change this wording like this, it would mean you would
9	have to always put some kind of barrier on the face of
10	that excavation possibly because now we haven't said
11	you know, if it's in cohesive clay, there is going to
12	be some amount of loose soil there, but not enough that
13	it's going to cause you in most cases, not enough
14	that it's going to cause a hazard to an employee
15	because the amount that could fall in is like I'm
16	talking cupfuls here, I'm not talking about a big chunk
17	because you already have to have protection from
18	collapse, so we're not talking about collapse here,
19	we're just talking about rocks or soil that might be
20	present that could fall in, into that excavation. If
21	it's a very small amount of dirt, a cupful or two that
22	might just sprinkle off the side, that's not really a

1 hazard to the employees.

2	Let's say that it's also got a small amount
3	of river gravel mixed in on the side that could fall
4	in, but the particles are so small that they're not
5	going to cause a hazard to an employee, but if we
6	change this wording, the hazard consideration goes out,
7	and it just says for any amount of loose rock or soil,
8	you have to scale it or put up a protective barrier to
9	keep it from falling in no matter how small if you read
10	that in the strictest terms. That looks like that
11	might could be problematic somewhere down the line to
12	me.
13	MR. BOLON: Do you have less problem with
14	protection from the rocks?
15	MR. HAWKINS: Well, what we've done, if we go
16	up to an excavation and there's and this happens
17	sometimes in Tennessee, Tennessee really varies a lot
18	
	from one of the state. We go from the Mississippi
19	from one of the state. We go from the Mississippi Delta in Memphis all the way to the Smoky Mountains, so
19 20	
	Delta in Memphis all the way to the Smoky Mountains, so

1	an excavation a lot of times, and it will be one of two
2	ways. You'll have to blast it and you'll have just
3	pretty much it's homogenous, and so it's all rock, or
4	you can be digging in an area where the rock is very
5	weathered and you can have large rocks sticking out of
6	the side of the excavation. When we see that, we will
7	cite this standard and tell the employer, even though
8	you have laid this back on the required angle, and that
9	angle, even a 45 actually looks pretty steep when
10	you're standing there even though it will measure to be
11	a 45, and if we see a large rock that doesn't appear to
12	be, from what you can see, connected to anything and
13	it's sticking out, we tell the employer, "You've got to
14	move that rock, you can't leave that rock because that
15	rock weighs several hundred pounds, and if that rock
16	comes loose from this bank, it's going to fall on
17	employee and could kill him." And so we would require
18	that employer to remove that rock. Now, if the
19	employer is sitting there and he grabs ahold to the
	emproyer is sitting there and he graps about to the
20	rock with his backhoe and starts winching and pulling
20 21	

		54
1	it's not going to fall, but a lot of times it's just a	
2	big chunk rock like, say, oh, that might fill up a	
3	pickup bed on like a mini truck, a little Nissan or	
4	whatever, and so if it's a rock like that and it's	
5	sticking out of the side, we tell the employer, "You	
6	have to move that," but when you take away this	
7	requirement for the hazard what if it poses a	
8	hazard? then you're talking about what if it's just	
9	the loose soil that's on the face of an otherwise	
10	cohesive material on the face of that excavation? are	
11	we saying every one of those has to be or only if it's	
12	enough sufficient dirt to pose a hazard to the	
13	employees?	
14	I understand why you know, what's	
15	ambiguous I would say, Paul, is not the language, what	
16	can be ambiguous is whether or not that soil poses a	
17	hazard or not. The wording I don't think is ambiguous;	
18	making the decision may be, but the wording itself is	
19	not in the original standard.	
20	MR. BOLON: Okay.	
21	MR. JONES: Thank you, Steve.	
22	Jerry?	

		55
1	MR. RIVERA: Jerry Rivera, Employee Rep. I	
2	speak in opposition for the proposed language. In many	
3	regards, I'm looking at the language that's existing,	
4	and I think it provides more direction on what is	
5	expected especially when there is a hazard, and based	
6	on the conversation that we've heard so far, it leads	
7	me to believe that again the original language provides	
8	further clarity versus the proposed language.	
9	MR. JONES: Thank you, Jerry.	
10	Any further comments?	
11	MR. HAWKINS: Walter, this is Steve again. I	
12	hate to keep going on this. I agree with what Jerry	
13	said. What I actually like better is a combination of	
14	the old language and the new language. I think we	
15	should recommend to OSHA that they keep the language	
16	about whether or not it's a hazard or not because	
17	that's really true. OSHA pretty much always has to	
18	prove there is a hazard to issue a citation anyway, so	
19	that's kind of implied no matter which way the language	
20	goes. I like the proposed clarification in the bottom	
21	about must use scaling to remove the loose material and	
22	provide barricades on the face to stop any material or	
1		

1	use other methods that provide equivalent protection.
2	I like the clarifications that are made there with
3	those additional words that are highlighted in yellow,
4	but I personally think we should keep the thing that
5	says the first part of the old one that says if it
6	presents a hazard. I kind of like some kind of
7	marriage between those two that keeps the hazard
8	language and goes about that says, okay, once you
9	determine it's a hazard, you have to do these things,
10	scale it to remove loose material, put barricades up on
11	the face to stop it from falling in or some equivalent
12	protection like driving piles or something.
13	MR. BETHANCOURT: This is Jeremy Bethancourt.
14	Steve, I appreciate all that you've said because that's
15	kind of what I was thinking about when I asked, are we
16	assuming it's a hazard? And so I like the fact that
17	or I like if we would include the language with the old
18	language, so I would have to support your thoughts on
19	that.
20	MR. JONES: Is that a motion, Steve?
21	MR. HAWKINS: Well, you know, Walter, to
22	really put it in a motion, I would have to think a

1	little more about how to state that, but if you started
2	with the original wording in the old standard, the
3	standard that's in place now, "Adequate protection
4	shall be provided to protect employees from loose rock
5	or soil that could," and I like the word "could," I
6	don't think it must "that could pose a hazard by
7	falling or rolling from an excavation face," and then
8	go to "such protection" right there kind of switch to
9	the other proposed wording, and then it says even if
10	you just went with that, where it says "such
11	protection," if you went, "for loose," and you go to
12	the proposed one, "for loose rock or soil, employers
13	must use scaling to remove loose material, install
14	protective barricades at intervals as necessary on the
15	face to stop and contain falling material or use other
16	means that provide equivalent protection." I think it
17	would be helpful if that were what followed the first
18	sentence of the existing standard.
19	MR. JONES: We have Ms. Sarah working on that
20	right now.
21	MR. HAWKINS: That's a good thing.
22	(Laughter.)

58

MR. JONES: Chuck. 1 2 MR. STRIBLING: Thank you. Chuck Stribling. I would just also suggest change the word "must" to 3 "shall." 4 MR. HAWKINS: "Shall" is much more consistent 5 with the standard. That's a good -- I agree with that, 6 7 Chuck. 8 MS. SHORTALL: Actually, the agency has been 9 moving to using the word "must" in recent years. 10 MR. JONES: And that's the direction standard organizations are now moving towards, away from "shall" 11 and towards "must." 12 What about the second -- before we move on 13 this, as Sarah puts it together, the second 14 15 recommendation for Number 5? Is there any comment on 16 that? 17 I'm sorry. Jerry? 18 MR. RIVERA: Jerry Rivera, Employer Rep. I'm 19 okay with that second language. You know, right now as 20 it's worded, it sounds funny, so I guess there is some 21 editing that needs to happen, but I'm okay overall. 22 I'm okay with it.

1	MR. JONES: So I'm looking for a motion to
2	accept OSHA's recommendations for Number 5 on the SIPs,
3	and amending their proposal for 1926.651(j)(1) to
4	MS. SHORTALL: I'm not what I'm suggesting
5	here is that ACCSH recommends retaining the original
6	language at the start of 1926.651(j)(I) (sic), along
7	with the changes OSHA proposes for the remainder of the
8	section.
9	MR. HAWKINS: Yeah, and, Ms. Sarah, I agree
10	exactly what you said. It's actually just the first
11	sentence of the original standard that we're talking
12	about, but that would make it a little more clear,
13	retain the first sentence and then add what OSHA is
14	proposing.
15	MR. JONES: I need a second.
16	MR. PRATT: Yeah, this is Don Pratt. I would
17	second that.
18	MR. JONES: Discussion?
19	MS. SHORTALL: Do you want me to reread that
20	motion then? Steve Hawkins moves that ACCSH recommends
21	retaining the original language in the first sentence
22	of 1926.651(j)(I) (sic) along with the changes OSHA
1	

60 proposes for the remainder of the section. 1 2 MR. PRATT: Sarah -- this is Don Pratt again -- that's not an "I," that's a "1." 3 MS. SHORTALL: I'm sorry, excuse me. "1." 4 Thank you. 5 6 MR. JONES: All in favor on the phone. 7 MR. BETHANCOURT: This is Jeremy Bethancourt. 8 Aye. 9 MR. PRATT: Don Pratt. Aye. 10 MS. BARBER: Kristi Barber. Aye. 11 MR. HAWKINS: Steve Hawkins. Aye. 12 MR. JONES: All in favor at the table -- oh, I'm sorry. No, Kristi said aye. 13 All in favor at the table, denote by saying, 14 15 "Aye." 16 (Chorus of ayes.) 17 MR. JONES: All opposed? 18 (No audible response.) 19 MR. JONES: Abstains? 20 (No audible response.) 21 MR. JONES: All right. Let's go to Number 6. 22 MS. SHORTALL: Did we actually pass (j)(2)?

61 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: 1 No. 2 MS. SHORTALL: You haven't done --3 MR. JONES: We didn't do -- I thought I included that. No? 4 MR. STRIBLING: That was (j)(1). She 5 specifically read (j)(1). 6 7 MR. JONES: I thought -- all right. Okay. 8 All right. We'll pull back. 9 I would like to entertain a motion to accept the second proposal by OSHA for Number 5 for 10 1926.651(j)(2) to accept that recommendation. I'm 11 entertaining a motion. 12 13 MR. STRIBLING: So moved. MR. JONES: A second? 14 15 MR. PRATT: Don Pratt. Second it. 16 MR. JONES: All in favor on the phone? 17 MR. BETHANCOURT: Jeremy Bethancourt. Aye. 18 MR. PRATT: Don Pratt. Aye. 19 MS. BARBER: Kristi Barber. Aye. 20 MR. HAWKINS: Steve Hawkins. Aye. 21 MR. JONES: All in favor at the table, please denote by saying, "Aye." 22

62

(Chorus of ayes.) 1 2 MR. JONES: All opposed? 3 (No audible response.) MR. JONES: Abstentions? 4 (No audible response.) 5 MR. JONES: All right. So moved. 6 7 Let's move to Number 6. I think we have 8 guests for this one? 9 MR. BOLON: Yeah. Mr. Chairman, Rebecca Reindel and Chris Brown from the Directorate of 10 11 Standards and Guidance need to come up and address. We 12 need to skip to Number 10, I believe, on the cancer 13 screening. So they'll come up and walk us through there. 14 15 MR. BROWN: Hi. My name is Chris Brown. I'm from the Directorate of Standards and Guidance. 16 17 MS. REINDEL: I'm Rebecca Reindel. I'm also 18 from the Directorate of Standards and Guidance. MR. BROWN: We previously presented to the 19 20 committee on OSHA's plans for revising a number of 21 standards where chest x-ray requirements were included in either initial or periodic medical evaluation 22

provisions in the standards. The committee requested that we consult with NIOSH on this issue before moving forward. Since the last meeting, we held discussions with NIOSH and believe that we have come to a consensus on the issue. We just wanted to provide you a brief update.

7 We intend to revise regulatory text for five 8 standards: cadmium, cadmium in construction, coke oven 9 emissions, acrylonitrile, and inorganic arsenic, the 10 last of which, plus the construction cadmium standard 11 are the two that are of interest to the committee. We're going to revise those and remove both initial and 12 13 periodic chest x-ray requirements, so employers will not be required to provide chest x-rays to employees 14 15 upon initial job placement or during annual medical 16 exams. We are also going to be revising our asbestos 17 standards, and Rebecca will update you on that. 18 MS. REINDEL: So the asbestos standard will 19 be a little different. Instead of removing the chest 20 x-ray requirement completely, we would revise the 21 asbestos standard to explicitly recognize digital 22 radiography as an alternative to traditional chest x-

1	rays. And this would also allow the digital reference
2	images from the ILO to be included as references for
3	the digital radiography.
4	And this proposal would also discuss and seek
5	comment on the use of CAT scans as a screening tool for
6	lung cancer, but OSHA would not necessarily propose to
7	allow them, it would just open the discussion for that.
8	And one other item is storage of chest x-rays
9	that would already exist, and there is a standard,
10	1910.1020, and this requires employers to maintain all
11	medical records including records of chest x-rays
12	previously administered, and this requirement even
13	if the requirement is removed from the standards, so
14	even if chest x-rays are not required anymore for
15	initial and periodic exams, they would still be
16	required to be any previous ones that have been
17	taken would be required to remain stored.
18	And that's about it.
19	MR. JONES: Any comments?
20	Chuck?
21	MR. STRIBLING: A question from our
22	distinguished colleague from NIOSH. Are we good here?

		65
1	MR. GILLEN: Sure. We had some good	
2	discussions and it turns out that some of the evidence	
3	about the chest x-rays is that they really aren't that	
4	good at picking up some of these things, and so the	
5	science sort of pushes us in that direction.	
6	MR. STRIBLING: Thank you.	
7	MR. JONES: I entertain a motion to accept	
8	recommendation Number 10.	
9	MR. BETHANCOURT: Jeremy Bethancourt. Move.	
10	MR. JONES: I'm looking for a second.	
11	MR. MARRERO: I'll second it. Tom Marrero.	
12	MR. JONES: All in favor on the phone?	
13	MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson	
14	MS. BARBER: Aye.	
15	MR. PRATT: Don Pratt. Aye.	
16	MR. HAWKINS: Steve Hawkins.	
17	MR. JONES: All in favor at the table signify	
18	by saying, "Aye."	
19	(Chorus of ayes.)	
20	MR. JONES: All opposed?	
21	(No audible response.)	
22	MR. JONES: Abstentions?	

(No audible response.) 1 2 MR. JONES: So moved. 3 All right. Thank you. 4 MR. BROWN: Thank you. 5 MS. REINDEL: Thank you. MR. JONES: Paul, where do you want to go 6 7 next? 8 MR. BOLON: I think we'll go back to the next 9 item that was coming up after the loose soil, and that's the standard on Process Safety Management. 10 Once upon a time, before there was the internet, the agency 11 12 tried to put all of the possible standards that might affect construction in 1926. The Process Safety 13 Management standard I think was published in '92 or 14 15 '93. It has some effect on construction. By far the 16 great effect is when construction employers go into a 17 site that is a process safety site, like a refinery or 18 a chemical manufacturer, and do construction 19 activities. It's extremely rare for a construction 20 employer to actually be a PSM employer. 21 So we wanted to reduce and simplify our regs 22 by just putting a reference over to the Process Safety

		67
1	Management standard in 1910. We do this for other	
2	standards. As I think we mentioned here, the PPE	
3	standard we do this for. The PSM standard completely	
4	applies to 1926, it's just that it is so rare and	
5	unusual for a construction employer to be an actual PSM	
6	employer, to have a site, their own site, that is a PSM	
7	site, that it just doesn't seem very useful to have it	
8	here.	
9	MR. JONES: Thank you, Paul.	
10	Any comments?	
11	(No audible response.)	
12	MR. JONES: I entertain a motion to accept	
13	the recommendation.	
14	MR. MARRERO: I'll motion.	
15	MR. JONES: Thank you, Tom.	
16	Second? Thank you, Sarah.	
17	All in favor on the phone for accepting this	
18	recommendation?	
19	MR. PRATT: Don Pratt. Approved.	
20	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Yes.	
21	MR. JONES: That's two.	
22	MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson. Yes.	

		68
1	MS. BARBER: Kristi Barber. Yes.	
2	MR. HAWKINS: Steve Hawkins. Yes.	
3	MR. JONES: All at the table, please signify	
4	by saying, "Aye."	
5	(Chorus of ayes.)	
6	MR. JONES: All in oppose, opposition, say,	
7	"Nay."	
8	(No audible response.)	
9	MR. JONES: Abstentions?	
10	(No audible response.)	
11	MR. JONES: So moved. That was beautiful.	
12	MS. SHORTALL: I'm assuming that accepting	
13	the recommendation, what you intend by that is that	
14	OSHA recommends that OSHA proceed with that particular	
15		
16	MR. JONES: ACCSH.	
17	MS. SHORTALL: That ACCSH excuse me	
18	MR. JONES: Recommends.	
19	MS. SHORTALL: That's what you're	
20	MR. JONES: Yeah.	
21	MS. SHORTALL: Okay. That's what the motion,	
22	the language of the motion, will reflect then.	

MR. JONES: Okay. Now Number 6 is it? 1 Seven. Number 7. This is Vernon 2 MR. BOLON: Preston from the DOC staff. He's the main staffer 3 that's on the revision to the Rollover Protection 4 Standard, or ROPS. 5 6 MR. PRESTON: Good morning. My name is Vernon Preston. With regards to Subpart W, Rollover 7 8 Protective Structures, there is a lot of technical 9 information in the standard that is really more 10 relevant to manufacturers than employers, so what we 11 would like to do is remove a lot of that technical 12 language and replace it with a consensus standard, 13 particularly ISO 3471 Earthmoving Machinery Rollover Protective Structures, Laboratory Tests, and 14 15 Performance Requirements. This way we would be 16 replacing a significant portion of the standard with a 17 reference to the consensus standard that is more up to 18 date and covers we think the same information that we 19 currently do have in the standard. 20 So just to add a little bit, we MR. BOLON: 21 would keep -- there are two source standards for the 22 current ROPS provisions, and we would keep those two

1	references for all existing equipment, and we would
2	recommend applying the existing ISO standards to
3	equipment, to new equipment, that is acquired after the
4	standard takes effect. I think the ISO requirement, I
5	believe they're at least in their second generation. I
6	think they've been around for at least 10 or 12 years.
7	The earlier standards, the source standards, they've
8	actually been withdrawn, and if you go on the website
9	and look for them, they direct people to go to the ISO
10	standards as well.
11	Then there is one other small issue. I think
12	in 2009, ACCSH considered and it deals with the
13	scope. Right now, skid-steer loaders and compactors are
14	not within the scope of the ROPS. I know in I think
15	it's in our notes here I think in 2009, ACCSH
16	recommended that we pick up equipment, especially
17	was it compactors?
18	MR. PRESTON: Yes, compactors and rubber-
19	tired skid-steer loaders.
20	MR. BOLON: That we pick these up and have
21	them covered under ROPS. So that is another thing that
22	the committee could consider, whether we should also

1 expand the scope.

2	So there are really three parts here. There
3	is removing all the manufacturer specification data and
4	replacing it with the source standards. The second
5	thing is picking up ROPS for new equipment with the ISO
6	standards. And then the third thing is expand the
7	scope to include skid-steer loaders and compactors.
8	I'll just mention one more thing. In 2009,
9	when the ACCSH considered this, there was a report, and
10	I'm pretty sure it was from NIOSH, and what it did was
11	collect there were a great number of rollover
12	accidents with skid-steer loaders, and that was one
13	reason that the committee recommended that we pick up
14	skid-steer loaders in the ROPS scope.
15	MS. SHORTALL: I have a question here, and
16	that is, do you have proposed reg text for this third
17	issue about expanding the scope?
18	MR. BOLON: I don't. We don't have reg text
19	here. We would just
20	MS. SHORTALL: Okay. But you would be
21	including it in the scope section of 1910, that
22	language in the scope of 1926.1000(a)(2)?

		72
1	MR. BOLON: Yes. Right now there is actually	
2	kind of a placeholder paragraph in there that says that	
3	when ROPS were devised that apply to skid-steer	
4	loaders, it's anticipated that we will pick them up.	
5	So we would just add the term "skid-steer loader and	
6	compactors" to the scope.	
7	MR. JONES: Any comments or questions?	
8	(No audible response.)	
9	MR. JONES: All right. I would like to take	
10	these in three parts then. I'll start with the last	
11	one. Is the committee prepared to propose a	
12	recommendation that OSHA that we recommend to OSHA	
13	they consistent with the recommendations of prior	
14	efforts of this committee to expand the scope to	
15	include skid loaders and compactors?	
16	MR. GILLEN: Yes.	
17	MR. JONES: Second?	
18	MS. COYNE: Second.	
19	MR. JONES: Second? All in favor on the	
20	phone of expanding the scope to include skid loaders	
21	and compactors?	
22	MR. BETHANCOURT: Jeremy Bethancourt. Aye.	

			73
1		MR. JONES: All against on the phone?	
2		MR. HAWKINS: Steve Hawkins. Aye.	
3		MS. BARBER: Kristi Barber. Aye.	
4		MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson. Aye.	
5		MR. JONES: Thank you.	
6		All at the table	
7		UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Don Pratt?	
8		MR. JONES: Oh, Don Pratt? I thought he said	
9	yeah.		
10		MR. PRATT: Sorry about that. I had it on	
11	mute.		
12		(Laughter.)	
13		MR. JONES: Oh. We heard you anyway.	
14		(Laughter.)	
15		MR. JONES: I'm sorry.	
16		All in favor at the table, aye?	
17		(Chorus of ayes.)	
18		MR. JONES: All opposed?	
19		(No audible response.)	
20		MR. JONES: Abstentions?	
21		(No audible response.)	
22		MR. JONES: All right. So the last we	

just recommended that OSHA expand the scope. 1 2 Now, your other two requests here, you're asking us to accept your language to remove the 3 manufacturer reference information and just reference 4 the ANSI -- or the ISO standards. 5 6 MR. BOLON: We're going to just reference -there are actually two source standards in the subpart 7 8 now, and rather than listing out all the contents of those source standards, we're just going to reference 9 the standards, and then there are a couple of 10 provisions in there which do not apply to 11 12 manufacturers, and we would keep those, which says -- I know one of them is if you take off the rollover 13 protection, you have to put back as good a roll -- so 14 15 there are just a couple of things that don't apply to 16 manufacturers, but all the ones that do specifically 17 apply -- and, again, these just tell the manufacturers 18 the testing procedures, and there is no other direct 19 thing on construction employers. 20 MR. JONES: All right. I'm looking for a 21 motion to accept the recommendation to remove that information and reference the source --22

1	MR. BOLON: The source standards.
2	MR. JONES: Right.
3	MR. GILLEN: So moved.
4	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Second.
5	MR. JONES: Second? All right.
6	All in favor on the phone?
7	MS. BARBER: Kristi Barber. Aye.
8	MR. PRATT: Don Pratt. Aye.
9	MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson. Aye.
10	MR. HAWKINS: Steve Hawkins. Aye.
11	MR. JONES: Thank you. All in favor oh,
12	I'm sorry.
13	Jeremy?
14	MR. BETHANCOURT: Jeremy Bethancourt. Aye.
15	MR. JONES: All right. All in favor at the
15 16	MR. JONES: All right. All in favor at the table signify by saying, "Aye."
16	table signify by saying, "Aye."
16 17	table signify by saying, "Aye." (Chorus of ayes.)
16 17 18	table signify by saying, "Aye." (Chorus of ayes.) MR. JONES: All opposed?
16 17 18 19	<pre>table signify by saying, "Aye." (Chorus of ayes.) MR. JONES: All opposed? (No audible response.)</pre>
16 17 18 19 20	<pre>table signify by saying, "Aye." (Chorus of ayes.) MR. JONES: All opposed? (No audible response.) MR. JONES: And abstentions?</pre>

76

Now, the last that you're asking of us is 1 ROPS for new equipment? 2 3 MR. BOLON: Right. MR. JONES: How should I propose that in --4 is it just as the language is proposed here? 5 MR. BOLON: It would be very close. I mean, 6 because it says for equipment manufactured on or after 7 the effective date of revised schedule -- of revised 8 9 standard, we need some other -- there are a few phrases 10 missing here that these are the standards that the 11 equipment must meet when they're tested, but --12 MR. JONES: I need language. Okay, so then I will be entertaining a motion to what exactly? 13 MS. SHORTALL: ACCSH recommends OSHA proceeds 14 15 with revising Subpart W to add requirements for compliance with ISO standards for new equipment. 16 MR. McKENZIE: Specifically ISO 3471 and 17 18 3449. 19 MS. SHORTALL: I can put those specific ones 20 in here. 21 MS. COYNE: So moved. 22 MR. JONES: A second. I need a second.

		77
1	MS. SHADRICK: Second.	
2	MR. JONES: Sarah made the motion. Laura	
3	made the second.	
4	All in favor on the phone?	
5	MR. BETHANCOURT: Jeremy Bethancourt. Aye.	
6	MR. PRATT: Don Pratt. Aye.	
7	MS. BARBER: Barber. Aye.	
8	MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson. Aye.	
9	MR. HAWKINS: Steve Hawkins. Aye.	
10	MR. JONES: All in favor at the table?	
11	(Chorus of ayes.)	
12	MR. JONES: And with that, I'm going to put	
13	us on break. We'll come back at 11:35.	
14	(Break.)	
15	MR. JONES: Back on record now. Okay. I	
16	hope to start off where we left off, Paul, and I think	
17	that would be on Number 8?	
18	MR. BOLON: That's right. We're going to do	
19	Number 8, which is 911 service, Number 9, low limits	
20	posting, then the decompression table, and then I think	
21	we're coming back to the hearing loss issue, Number 2.	
22	MR. JONES: Right.	

MR. ECKERSON: Yes. With regard to the 911 1 2 service, we are seeking to update this provision here. Currently, the provision provides that in areas where 3 911 service is not available, the telephone numbers of 4 the physicians, hospitals, or ambulances shall be 5 6 conspicuously posted. There is virtually no place in 7 the United States now where landlines don't have 911 8 service; however, with the advent of wireless 9 technology, at many construction sites it's the cell 10 phones that are the vehicle to contact emergency 11 information, and there is an ongoing problem with 911 12 service with respect to wireless, and particularly with 13 respect to areas that are very rural or very heavy forestation, and we've looked pretty extensively at 14 this issue, and FCC has been wrestling with how to deal 15 16 with this issue for the last several years, and what 17 they have come down with is that they require all cell 18 carriers who are processing 911 calls to provide to the 19 emergency responder, emergency response office, the 20 exact location of the origination of the call. 21 Now, the problem is in very rural and 22 forested areas in the country, there is not an ability

1	for the cellular companies to triangulate, they don't
2	have enough cell towers to be able to triangulate with
3	any certainty the exact location of the call
4	originator, so in those cases the FCC has created a
5	registry and created a process whereby cellular
6	providers in those areas that don't have the physical
7	capabilities of giving exact information to apply for
8	an exemption from this requirement and then they can
9	apply either for an entire county or a portion of a
10	county, and in that instance, that provider is exempted
11	from having to provide the emergency information.
12	So when we were looking at how we would
13	reword this, essentially we've tied into the FCC
14	process and said whatever communication system is used
15	by an employer to make an emergency 911 call must
16	ensure that it's effective providing contact
17	information for the ambulance service, and in those
18	instances where it's a particular location that has
19	-
	been exempted by the FCC from providing exact location
20	
20 21	been exempted by the FCC from providing exact location
	been exempted by the FCC from providing exact location information, that the employer in those particular

		80
1	landline that has 911 service that can provide the	
2	exact location of the originating call. So if you look	
3	at the language, that's kind of the concept we've	
4	captured in trying to update this whole regulation with	
5	respect to contacting emergency 911 service.	
6	MR. JONES: What did we do before cell	
7	phones?	
8	MR. ECKERSON: Before cell phones, we relied	
9	on the landline 911 service, and	
10	MR. JONES: In rural areas, what did we do?	
11	MR. ECKERSON: Oh. We said in areas where	
12	911 service wasn't available, such as rural areas, that	
13	they had to provide they had to post the telephone	
14	numbers of physicians, hospitals, or ambulances.	
15	MR. BOLON: So you had to get to a landline.	
16	MR. ECKERSON: Yeah.	
17	MR. JONES: Kevin?	
18	MR. CANNON: The only thing I would say	
19	and it's kind of along the lines when you're working in	
20	some of these areas, is if you could potentially expand	
21	just beyond longitude and latitude if there is a	
22	physical address available and some of our contractors	

1	who do highway work, you know, they're constantly
2	moving, and some way of identifying their precise
3	locations where the work is being performed.
4	Some of the things that we kicked around, and
5	I understand that they're not available in all areas,
6	you know, mile markers or things of that nature when
7	you're doing highway work, but as I've heard from our
8	members, sometimes when they're working in the most
9	remote places, they don't have but just giving other
10	options besides just longitude and latitude here.
11	MR. ECKERSON: Okay. So we could add
12	language saying or other identifying information that
13	will adequately provide
14	MR. CANNON: Yes.
15	MR. ECKERSON: Okay.
16	MR. JONES: Chuck?
17	MR. STRIBLING: Thank you. Yeah, I would
18	echo that. Some of them won't know their latitude and
19	longitude. If I don't have a GPS on me, I may not know
20	it. And the other thing is right now the proposed text
21	is when an employer uses a combination system for
22	contacting necessary ambulance service, I'm just sort

82

of questioning, why is it just ambulance service? 1 2 MR. JONES: EMS, right? MR. STRIBLING: Pardon? 3 MR. JONES: Are you thinking EMS? 4 MR. STRIBLING: Well, I'm thinking you're 5 trying to call 911. 6 7 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Emergency service. 8 MR. STRIBLING: Yeah, so it's an emergency 9 service. They might be trying to call the county 10 rescue squad or the fire department or something like 11 12 that. 13 MR. BOLON: So just for necessary emergency services? Something like that? 14 15 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Mm-hmm. 16 MR. JONES: Jerry, did you have something? 17 MR. RIVERA: No. 18 MR. JONES: Matt? 19 MR. GILLEN: No. 20 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: The phone? 21 Anybody on the phone? 22 MR. JONES: You folks on the phone, any

1 comment?

2 MR. PRATT: Yeah. This is Don Pratt. I'm concerned about this. I think that a lot of our guys 3 that are building in rural areas, obviously, they're 4 not going to have latitude and longitude available to 5 them, just like was already mentioned. Obviously, for 6 our members, a physical address is probably going to be 7 8 the best way to find somebody. However, if you're 9 working on a road crew or something like that, it could 10 So I don't know that we can blanket this be a problem. 11 whole thing with one statement. I think we have to spend more time in looking at, what do we really want 12 13 to accomplish and how do we get there the best way? MR. HAWKINS: This is Steve Hawkins. 14 Just 15 certainly I understand what OSHA is trying to do here, 16 and it does -- I mean, we understand it, it makes 17 sense, but really when I'm starting to think about 18 remote worksites, logging some things like that, in 19 Tennessee and I think probably certainly out West, I 20 think there are lots of places where there is no cell phone service. I know there is in Tennessee. I know 21 22 places right now where I go where I just don't have

1	service, and they're not as small, they're not little
2	pockets like you might think, sometimes it's a pretty
3	big area. What are we expecting of an employer who
4	might be working in an area where there is not cell
5	phone service and certainly they're working in an area
6	where there is not a landline, you can't tap into a
7	landline, what do we actually expect of that employer
8	right now?
9	MS. SHORTALL: I think it depends upon the
10	standard. Login you just specifically mentioned,
11	that's one of the reasons why they require login, that
12	all persons be trained and certified to provide first
13	aid.
14	MR. HAWKINS: Right, and we've got that same
15	standard in the construction industry, too, basically,
16	right? That you've got to have people there to render
17	first aid. I guess we do. I never really thought
18	about it. I'm assuming that we do. But as far as
19	summoning you know, first aid is one thing, but
20	that's not the same as having an ambulance, you know,
21	medical professionals there on their way. I guess if
22	that's the case, there is nothing we can really do

1 about it.

2 MR. JONES: Steve, I was in Palm Spring desert about, I don't know, 3 or 4 weeks ago on a big 3 solar farm, and what our contractor was doing there was 4 5 giving workers stickers with their longitude and 6 latitude GPS numbers on their hard hats because the big issue was snakes, and every trench we built, every 7 8 pallet we sat down created shade, which would then 9 create homes for critters, and so they would put these on the hard hats because you only have about 30 to 45 10 11 minutes for rescue. So when the helicopter was coming out, we could pinpoint employees, and that's what we 12 13 were using out in Palm Springs, but we were relying on cell phones to call the helicopter, the Flight for Life 14 15 helicopter service.

16 MR. HAWKINS: Okay. So I think the comment 17 that was made earlier about using latitude or longitude 18 or if you actually had a street address, the street 19 address is probably more useful to the EMS 911 folks in 20 that county than maybe your latitude and longitude is. 21 So I quess we need to open up the language, like was 22 said earlier, where it's whatever the most effective

1	method would be, whether it's having the address or
2	because I think all the cities in Tennessee, I think
3	Tennessee has this now, where every municipality had to
4	go through and decide what the name of all the roads
5	were, which would be a bigger job than you might think
6	because some people called a road one thing all their
7	life, and now the city has named it something else, put
8	up road signs, and assign house numbers. And so if you
9	were in a rural area where you didn't have cell phone
10	service but you did have a physical address, that would
11	be probably the method that would bring you quicker
12	service than the latitude and longitude possibly, but
13	then out West, like Walter is talking about, the
14	latitude and longitude is probably for sure the best
15	way there, right?
16	MR. JONES: Yeah, it was the only way.
17	MR. HAWKINS: Yeah.
18	MR. ECKERSON: Okay. If I may take a stab
19	then at addressing that, I would say that on Page 9
20	where we say, "Conspicuously post the latitude and
21	longitude of the workplace," I propose we add, "or
22	other identifying information which will enable

87

emergency responders to readily locate the worksite." 1 2 MR. HAWKINS: Yeah. Something like that seems like it might be a good idea. Since these 3 opportunities to fix stuff like this only come around 4 so often, and that might be some good wording there. I 5 think that sounds good. 6 7 MR. JONES: Can you reread that, please? 8 MR. ECKERSON: So, "Conspicuously post the 9 latitude and longitude of the workplace or conspicuously post other identifying information which 10 will enable the emergency responders to readily locate 11 the worksite," the workplace, I guess. 12 13 MR. McKENZIE: Dean McKenzie, with OSHA. Should we add something like "effective" or any 14 15 alternative, post an effective means, whether it's a 16 radio, whatever it is, you have an effective means to 17 reach your emergency services that is tested? 18 MR. PRATT: This is Don Pratt. What if you 19 don't have an effective means? 20 MR. JONES: Get one. 21 MR. STRIBLING: Well --22 MR. JONES: Chuck?

		88
1	MR. STRIBLING: This is Chuck Stribling.	
2	This standard only applies when it it says when an	
3	employer uses a communication system. If you're out in	
4	the boonies and you don't have the service, you don't	
5	have the service. You're not using a communication	
6	system. You might simply get on the CB radio, which is	
7	still done in parts of Eastern Kentucky, and local	
8	police monitor that. There's an emergency channel,	
9	Channel 9. So it only applies when you use a	
10	communication system, as proposed.	
11	MR. JONES: Kevin?	
12	MR. CANNON: I just had a question because I	
13	have not had this experience, but how do you know when	
14	you're in one of these areas?	
15	MR. STRIBLING: You don't have cell phone	
16	service.	
17	MR. BOLON: You don't have any bars.	
18	(Laughter.)	
19	MR. BOLON: Actually, that's not quite true.	
20	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Or it might say	
21	no service.	
22	MR. BOLON: For a cell phone, for them to	

89

locate you --1 2 MR. JONES: Yeah, they're talking about the locator portion. 3 MR. BOLON: -- they need -- I believe they 4 need either two -- three? Two or three cell tower 5 fixes on where you're at to fix you. Otherwise, I 6 mean, you might get voice, but you wouldn't get --7 8 MR. CANNON: So conceivably you could have 9 cell phone use but not the locator capabilities. 10 MR. BOLON: Yeah. Yeah. MR. CANNON: So that's what I'm saying, how 11 do you know when you're in that? 12 MR. ECKERSON: The FCC maintains a registry 13 of all those locations in the country that are exempted 14 15 from this, so to find that out, you would have to go to 16 the FCC. 17 MR. BOLON: But to find out where you are, 18 you can get a handheld GPS. They work everywhere, and 19 they don't cost that much. 20 MR. STRIBLING: To answer Kevin's question, 21 when you call 911, the dispatcher will instantly know where you're calling from or not, and if they don't --22

1	MR. CANNON: Then you know you're in
2	MR. STRIBLING: They'll ask. And even when
3	they do know, they'll ask to confirm.
4	MR. JONES: Sarah?
5	MR. BETHANCOURT: This is Jeremy Bethancourt.
6	I think to the point that was just mentioned about the
7	handheld GPSs, and I don't know how if it would even
8	be something that included any language, but, I mean,
9	our darn it our GPSs for our automobiles, the
10	handheld ones, those, even when they don't have any
11	roads or anything like that, or maps, they still know
12	where the longitude and latitude is. At least I found
13	out here in Arizona where I sometimes don't get cell
14	service, as was said by Steve. So there we are, that's
15	what I had to say about that.
16	MS. COYNE: This is Sarah. On the very last
17	part of your rewrite, could you read the very last
18	sentence again, please?
19	MR. ECKERSON: Okay, "or other effective
20	identifying information which will enable the emergency
21	responders to readily locate the workplace."
22	MS. COYNE: Is that what we want it to say?

	91
Because the job sites I've been on have been huge, so	
should there be additional language or a comma,	
workplace and employee?	
UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Or injured party?	
MS. COYNE: Or injured party?	
MR. CANNON: But that's such an unknown. You	
don't know where it might happen.	
MR. JONES: What she's saying is that you	
want to locate the employee, you don't want them to	
locate the worksite. You can get to the worksite and	
it still be a 5-mile worksite, but you need to find the	
employee.	
MR. CANNON: The incidents are unpredictable,	
so how are you going to because it has to be posted.	
You see where I'm going?	
MS. COYNE: I've been on worksites where the	
ambulances showed up and the jobsite was so big, it	
took forever. I mean, the ambulance showed up on the	
jobsite like that; locating the worker is what was	
difficult. And I would imagine that the challenge would	
be 10 times more difficult in an area, rural, that	
we're referring to.	
	<pre>should there be additional language or a comma, workplace and employee? UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Or injured party? MS. COYNE: Or injured party? MR. CANNON: But that's such an unknown. You don't know where it might happen. MR. JONES: What she's saying is that you want to locate the employee, you don't want them to locate the worksite. You can get to the worksite and it still be a 5-mile worksite, but you need to find the employee. MR. CANNON: The incidents are unpredictable, so how are you going to because it has to be posted. You see where I'm going? MS. COYNE: I've been on worksites where the ambulances showed up and the jobsite was so big, it took forever. I mean, the ambulance showed up on the jobsite like that; locating the worker is what was difficult. And I would imagine that the challenge would be 10 times more difficult in an area, rural, that</pre>

		92
1	MR. CANNON: I mean, I do totally understand,	
2	but I'm saying as far as posting. This is saying you	
3	have to post it, and then being able to post where	
4	MS. COYNE: So noted.	
5	MR. CANNON: Do you see what I mean?	
6	MR. BOLON: I think this provision mostly is	
7	directed I mean	
8	MS. COYNE: So noted.	
9	MR. BOLON: I think your point about	
10	finding the employee is good, but I guess the original	
11	thing is just directed towards getting the emergency	
12	service there.	
13	MS. COYNE: So noted. Thank you.	
14	MR. JONES: Jerry?	
15	MR. RIVERA: Yes. Jerry Rivera, Employee	
16	Rep. I think a lot of the questions as far as locating	
17	the actual employee could be best addressed maybe in	
18	the emergency action plan, and this is more broad in	
19	nature.	
20	The other aspect, more or less my comment is	
21	on the latitude, you know, it was interesting to hear	
22	the discussion of, well, the only way you find out	

1	about whether you have the ability for longitude or
2	latitude is if you call 911 and they tell you or they
3	ask you, or just because you have three bars, it
4	doesn't mean you have it. I guess my challenge here
5	is, how can we expect that employer to be able to
6	identify that? I mean, if I know construction folks,
7	they say, "I've got a signal, I'm good to go, I'm in
8	compliance," when in reality they might not be. So I
9	just want to make sure that the language you are
10	proposing, it's kind of tough to articulate it, but we
11	don't want to put them in a place where they don't know
12	where the virtual boundaries are at.
13	MR. BOLON: Could you reference the FCC
14	registry in some form or fashion?
15	MR. ECKERSON: Yeah. It is referenced on
16	Page 8. Maybe we can provide a link to that site or
17	something, or I don't know if we can do that in reg
18	text, but we say in counties or portions of counties
19	where the U.S. Federal Communications Commission
20	exempts wireless carriers. There is like a docket
21	number or
22	MS. SHORTALL: I guess I have a question to

1	ask concerning that, and that is, is the FCC going to
2	long term keep those exemption areas, or is that as
3	there are more cell towers going up, is that being
4	revisited? In other words, are you trying to get at
5	the FCC ones or are you just trying to get at for
6	whatever reason there is no 911 service?
7	MR. ECKERSON: Well, for cellular phones,
8	everyone every carrier has to have 911 service
9	unless they apply to this FCC registry and are accepted
10	and are listed on a publicly available location.
11	MS. SHORTALL: I realize that, but
12	MR. ECKERSON: Over time, I don't know what
13	period of time FCC revisits that, but I would imagine
14	that each year the area that doesn't have 911 service
15	shrinks probably pretty significantly. So I imagine
16	this whole issue will be moved in 5 years or so.
17	MS. SHORTALL: Okay. And so is it important
18	
	that we reference FCC here or just reference the notion
19	that we reference FCC here or just reference the notion that there isn't currently 911 service or just period?
19 20	
	that there isn't currently 911 service or just period?
20	that there isn't currently 911 service or just period? MR. ECKERSON: The reason I think it's

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2013

911 service in a particular area. 1 2 MS. SHORTALL: Is that language that is mostly put into regulations or language that you would 3 normally find in a preamble to more fully explain a 4 regulation? 5 6 MR. ECKERSON: Yeah, that probably would be appropriate, more appropriate, in preamble language. 7 8 MR. JONES: That's not -- then do you believe 9 it's necessary in this case then, or is this --10 MR. ECKERSON: No, I was just addressing the 11 point about the evolution of 911 service. 12 MR. JONES: Yeah, okay. I think what language we have 13 MR. ECKERSON: here we would believe it would be best to be in the reg 14 15 text, but any talk about what happens in 5 years from 16 now with the increase in cellular technology, I think 17 we could address that in preamble language. 18 MR. JONES: Don, where are you at on this? 19 I'm not sure. Where are you at on this? Have the 20 responses made you more comfortable? 21 MR. PRATT: Are you asking me? 22 MR. JONES: You said you had some strong

concerns about this. 1 2 MR. PRATT: Yeah. I think if we give an option to the employer, I think that that will take 3 care of most of the concern that I have. 4 MR. JONES: Okay. All right. Then I'm 5 looking for a motion --6 7 MS. SHORTALL: What you've got to do at this 8 point is you've got several motions that are going to 9 be necessary. One is going to be the language you're 10 discussing here about expanding and identifying information that you can use. The second one is going 11 to be if you want to suggest that there be something 12 broader used than "ambulance." And then third, whether 13 you support the notion of revising or recommending that 14 15 OSHA proceed with revising this particular section of 16 1926. 17 MR. JONES: All right. Let's take the first one, expanding the language here. 18 19 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: So moved. 20 MR. JONES: So we're looking at expanding it 21 to include other location identifiers? 22 MS. SHORTALL: Other types of identifying

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2013

97

information employers may use where there is no 911 1 service. 2 3 MR. ECKERSON: Right. MR. JONES: One moment. 4 Solicitor? 5 6 MS. SHORTALL: But this, we need a motion for 7 it. 8 MR. JONES: Before I entertain a motion, I 9 would like to hear a comment from the audience. 10 MS. WILSON: I apologize, Ms. Shortall. Just in your summary, the other means of providing the 11 location and identifying information, that does apply 12 in situations where there is 911 service, it's simply 13 that the phone does not automatically provide the 14 15 location to the service providers. MS. SHORTALL: Okay. Then what about if we 16 17 just were to have a motion that said expand the types 18 of identifying information employers may use in an 19 emergency situation? Would that be broad enough? 20 MR. ECKERSON: Beyond latitude and longitude 21 you mean, that issue. MS. SHORTALL: Uh-huh. 22

98 MR. ECKERSON: Yeah, I think that would 1 2 capture it. 3 MR. JONES: To include or beyond? All right. Okay. Are we good? So moved by --4 MS. SHADRICK: So moved. 5 6 MR. JONES: All right. Second? 7 MR. PRATT: Second. Don Pratt. Second. 8 MR. JONES: All right. All in favor? 9 (Chorus of ayes.) 10 MR. McKENZIE: The phone. 11 MR. JONES: On the phone, all in favor? 12 MR. BETHANCOURT: Aye. 13 MR. PRATT: Don Pratt. Aye. MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson. Aye. 14 15 MR. JONES: Kristi? 16 MR. HAWKINS: Steve Hawkins. Aye. 17 MR. JONES: Steve Hawkins. I didn't hear 18 Kristi. 19 All not in favor on the phone? 20 MS. BARBER: I had my mute on. Dang it. Kristi Barber. Aye. 21 22 MR. JONES: Aye. Okay. All right, at the

```
99
   table here --
 1
              UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: You didn't have
 2
 3
   Jeremy Bethancourt.
             MR. JONES: Oh. Jeremy, are you in or out?
 4
             UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: He said aye I
 5
   think.
 6
 7
             MR. BETHANCOURT: I said aye. Jeremy
 8
   Bethancourt. Aye.
 9
             MR. JONES: I'm sorry. That's what I
   thought. Okay. Back to the table here, all in favor
10
   say, "Aye."
11
12
              (Chorus of ayes.)
            MR. JONES: All opposed.
13
             (No audible response.)
14
15
             MR. JONES: Abstentions?
              (No audible response.)
16
             MR. JONES: Okay, so moved to expand
17
18
   information sources.
19
             MS. SHORTALL: And then Roger Erickson I
20
  believe was the one who brought up the issue of
21
   ambulance, or was it someone else?
22
            MS. SHADRICK: Chuck.
```

		100
1	MS. SHORTALL: It was what?	
2	MS. SHADRICK: Chuck.	
3	MS. SHORTALL: Oh, Chuck. Okay.	
4	MR. ERICKSON: It was not me. I'm Roger.	
5	MS. SHORTALL: Oh, sorry.	
6	Chuck, you brought up the ambulance. Do you	
7	have a motion you would like to make?	
8	MR. JONES: Emergency services?	
9	MR. STRIBLING: Yeah. I would suggest or	
10	make a motion that the agency change that text to read	
11	something along the lines broader than ambulance	
12	service.	
13	MR. JONES: Second?	
14	MR. CANNON: I'll second it.	
15	MR. JONES: Thank you. All in favor on the	
16	phone?	
17	MS. BARBER: Kristi Barber. Aye.	
18	MR. PRATT: Don Pratt. Aye.	
19	MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson. Aye.	
20	MR. BETHANCOURT: Jeremy Bethancourt. Aye.	
21	MR. HAWKINS: Steve Hawkins. Aye.	
22	MR. JONES: Thank you, guys.	

101

All in favor at the table? 1 2 (Chorus of ayes.) 3 MR. JONES: Opposed? (No audible response.) 4 MR. JONES: Abstentions? 5 6 (No audible response.) 7 MR. JONES: All right. So moved. And now the third motion would be what, Ms. 8 9 Sarah? 10 MS. SHORTALL: ACCSH recommend that OSHA proceed with revising Section 1926.50(f) with the 11 12 revisions ACCSH has adopted. MR. JONES: I'm looking for a motion to 13 14 accept. 15 MS. COYNE: So moved. 16 MR. JONES: Okay. Second? 17 MS. BARBER: Second. 18 MR. JONES: Kristi seconds. 19 All in favor on the phone? 20 MR. PRATT: Don Pratt. Aye. 21 MR. BETHANCOURT: Jeremy Bethancourt. Aye. 22 MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson. Aye.

102 MS. BARBER: Kristi. Aye. Sorry, Steve. 1 2 MR. HAWKINS: Sorry, Kristi. I thought I was waiting until the last. Steve Hawkins. Aye. 3 MR. JONES: Okay. All in favor at the table 4 5 say aye. 6 (Chorus of ayes.) 7 MR. JONES: I think that's all of us. 8 Okay. Now we're going to move down to Number 9, I believe it is, Paul? 9 10 MR. ECKERSON: Right. Yeah, this last one --11 MR. BOLON: It's not last. 12 (Laughter.) 13 MR. ECKERSON: I'm sorry, the last -- near the last. I'll say Number 9 is 1926.250(a)(2) 14 15 requirement presently requires the posting of safe-load 16 limits on floors within buildings and structures in 17 pounds per square foot. The intent of this regulation 18 is to ensure that contractors do not put unsafe loads 19 of materials and machinery on portions of the building 20 which could not withstand that load. We are proposing 21 in this particular provision to exempt this requirement 22 to post the load limits in single-family residences

1	under construction. The main reason for that is that
2	most of the almost the overwhelming majority of
3	situations where this is an issue are not the single-
4	family residences, it's the larger construction sites
5	that have curing cement issues and have much larger
6	pieces of equipment and much heavier building supplies.
7	So in single-family residences, this really is a non-
8	issue and we think for that reason we're proposing to
9	put in the exemption for single-family houses.
10	MR. BETHANCOURT: This is Jeremy Bethancourt.
11	I have a comment regarding the single-family residence.
12	I would just think that it might be more prudent and
13	Don can chime in here if we define single-family
14	residence. And not to get into minutia, or maybe
15	perhaps we might reference a current definition because
16	I think that this definition would also or might also
17	be inclusive of some light commercial type structures,
18	what the industry recognizes as light commercial, which
19	is the standard means and methods, and I would just
20	want to make sure that there is not ambiguity in that.
21	So I don't know if less is more in this instance, and I
22	would be interested to hear what Don might think about

1 that.

2 MR. PRATT: Yeah. This is Don Pratt. Yeah, there are definitions of what a single-family dwelling 3 would be primarily in the code structure. There is 4 also -- I believe that we have one for OSHA on what a 5 single- family dwelling is, or maybe I'm thinking about 6 the proposal that we made for fall protection and what 7 8 would be defined as a single-family dwelling, and I 9 would probably like to go back to that one, that 10 definition. I would have to look it up, I don't have it here at my fingertips, but it's very clear and it 11 does include a lightweight -- a light framing for some 12 13 commercial buildings, too. So that would probably be a 14 good suggestion. 15 MR. JONES: Okay. Is that -- any other 16 comments? 17 Jerry? Kevin? 18 MR. CANNON: No, I'm good. 19 MR. BOLON: I'm a little concerned about 20 light commercial just because that could be poured 21 concrete floors or steel or something. I tend to think 22 you might tend to have some materials that might be

		105
1	pretty heavy that could be stored in there. I mean, I	
2	just don't know, whereas single-family homes	
3	MR. JONES: Yeah, I agree, I agree, but the	
4	definition of is the definition of a single-family	
5	home inclusive of light commercial, Jeremy?	
6	MR. BETHANCOURT: Well, I guess it wasn't	
7	that I was trying to be more inclusive and make it	
8	apply, I just think it should be we just shouldn't	
9	have any doubt, and on this particular issue, there	
10	seems to be a continuing dialogue as to what actually	
11	applies. Now, in my thoughts, I mean, if we're to	
12	utilize the compliance directive that I think Don was	
13	referencing that was put out in 2011, it does define a	
14	single-family residence under the criteria for fall	
15	protection, and that may assist industry in	
16	understanding where this should apply and where it	
17	shouldn't, not necessarily to light commercial, but	
18	it's more of what is the construction material that's	
19	very important, as your concern seems reasonable that,	
20	yeah, some things could be quite heavy.	
21	I guess where I see that there could be an	
22	issue, for example, I hate to bring up the State of	

		106
1	Arizona, where we're having some issues with that	
2	currently still, but there is that extension that is	
3	now into large apartment type structures that are	
4	predominantly wood frame, clearly not a single-family	
5	residence, it's a multi-family residence. So if that's	
6	going to be the differentiation, then I guess this	
7	definition that we have here would be okay, but there	
8	is that discussion that's currently out there. And	
9	maybe Don can add his thoughts in there, too. I just	
10	think we really want to make sure, what is the	
11	definition? And I don't know that we have that other	
12	than from several different sources, as Don was trying	
13	to think of what those words were, I think.	
14	MR. PRATT: Yeah. This is Don again. Yeah,	
15	I agree. I mean, if we're going to put this in here, I	
16	think we really need to define what a single-family	
17	residence is. I'm not trying to be difficult, but we	
18	really need to get our arms around that.	
19	MR. BETHANCOURT: Or at the very least,	
20	reference it as it's already written somewhere else.	
21	MR. PRATT: Yes.	
22	MR. BETHANCOURT: You know? I mean, I just	

		10
1	think we should do that. We owe it to the employers	
2	that are going to want to make sure that they're	
3	compliant, to be able to say, "Okay, you're saying	
4	this, so what's the definition? Oh, okay, you're	
5	telling me to go look here for that definition." Then	
6	it's done, you know.	
7	MR. PRATT: Yeah. This is Don again. As an	
8	example, in townhouse construction, depending on where	
9	the break is in the firewalls, each one of those	
10	townhouses could be considered to be a single-family	
11	dwelling, although we don't look at it that way, we	
12	don't think about that. Okay? But a townhouse	
13	obviously is no different than a single-family home	
14	when it comes to this.	
15	MR. BETHANCOURT: And that's why I would be	
16	concerned about ambiguity, so, yeah, I think Don and I	
17	would and maybe others, I think we want it to be	
18	clear, not in my case, not that I'm objecting to it,	
19	but I would want it to be clear for everybody.	
20	MR. BOLON: Well, I think where we're at	
21	right now is there is general approval for doing this	
22	for at least what most of us commonly think of single-	

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2013

		108
1	family homes, but we're hearing that we need a clear	
2	definition for it, and I guess a couple of people have	
3	suggested that it should be broader.	
4	MR. JONES: Well, I think what I'm hearing	
5	and I agree, Paul, and correct me if I'm wrong, is this	
6	is fine as long as we define what single-family	
7	dwelling is, right?	
8	MR. BOLON: Uh-huh.	
9	MR. PRATT: Yeah, I'm not saying it should be	
10	broader or more restrictive, I'm just saying it should	
11	be defined clearer.	
12	MR. JONES: Yeah, that's what I thought.	
13	Chuck, jump in.	
14	MR. STRIBLING: Thank you. Defining a	
15	single- family residence has implications in other	
16	parts of the 1926 standards. I would most certainly	
17	want to see any proposed definition before it came out	
18	as a proposed rule.	
19	MR. PRATT: Sure enough.	
20	MR. STRIBLING: And the reference to where it	
21	might be defined in other you're talking about the	
22	CPL. Not all states adopt that CPL. Some states don't	

109 recognize that definition, so that necessarily would --1 2 MR. JONES: Can you explain CPL for some of us that don't know? 3 MR. STRIBLING: Compliance directive. So 4 that definition necessarily wouldn't work for all the 5 state plan partners. 6 7 MR. JONES: So I'm looking at two 8 alternatives here. One, we could table it and come back to this at another meeting when we have a better 9 definition of single-family dwelling. Or we could go 10 forward with this, inserting "single-family dwelling." 11 12 Is that what we're saying? Without defining it. 13 MR. BOLON: Yeah. I think that's where we're 14 at. 15 MR. JONES: Okay. 16 MR. BOLON: The next ACCSH meeting is in 17 December? 18 MR. JONES: Oh, I don't know. We've had 19 four. We may not get another one. 20 MR. BOLON: November, December. 21 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: It's a new fiscal 22 year.

110 MR. JONES: It is a new fiscal year, right. 1 So I'm looking for some rope here, some help. 2 3 MR. McKENZIE: We've got enough rope. (Laughter.) 4 MR. JONES: What do we want to do? Do we 5 want to table this or do we want to go forward without 6 the definition? 7 8 MS. COYNE: I make a motion to table it. 9 MR. JONES: We have a motion to table this. 10 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Second. 11 MR. PRATT: This is Don Pratt. Let me answer the question. Go ahead. What was that? 12 13 MR. JONES: Excuse me. What did you say, Don? 14 15 MR. PRATT: Well, I was just going to say that if we went ahead and approved it with single-16 17 family, what's the process that it would take to add a 18 definition or to change this at some date in the future 19 if in fact we needed to expand this? 20 MR. JONES: Well, we would only be able to 21 recommend --MR. PRATT: Well, I understand that. 22

MR. JONES: Okay. 1 2 MS. SHORTALL: Well, it does sound like Mr. Stribling would want to see any definition of single-3 family residence before he would want to --4 MR. JONES: Vote in the affirmative. 5 6 MS. SHORTALL: -- vote on this provision. MR. STRIBLING: Yeah. I think the states 7 8 would, yes, ma'am. 9 MR. BOLON: In terms of timing, I would hope our proposal would be nearly finished by the time the 10 11 next ACCSH meeting has, but I think we could bring that 12 definition to you and try and slip it in under the wire 13 at the next one. MR. BETHANCOURT: This is Jeremy Bethancourt. 14 15 I guess I have a question for Chuck. If we approve it 16 as it is written right now, I am thinking I am 17 understanding that it's ambiguous enough so that the 18 other states can interpret it under however their 19 language is? 20 MR. BOLON: They could --21 MR. BETHANCOURT: If I'm understanding, that's kind of what my thought is as well. We don't 22

112

want it to be exclusive or inclusive, you know, it 1 should be on its face value and everybody should know 2 what that is for their particular area. 3 MR. BOLON: Right. I'll just remind 4 everybody, we're just moving to a proposal. We will 5 have a comment period, so we'll almost undoubtedly be 6 changing things subject to comment. So this is not 7 your last shot, your last crack, at this. 8 9 MR. PRATT: Okay, well, this is Don Pratt. Then let's leave it the way it is and then we can 10 address this in the comment period. 11 12 MR. JONES: Okay. On the table, right now on the table we have a motion to table this motion. 13 I did not get a second. Do you want to pull that and we go 14 to a vote on the original? 15 16 MS. COYNE: Yes. MR. PRATT: Yes. 17 18 MR. JONES: All right. So that's been 19 rescinded. 20 Okay. Now we're going to go to a -- I want to entertain a motion to accept the support and 21 22 recommend that OSHA move forward with Number 9, and I

113 guess the caveat, if there is such, that you'll come 1 back to us with a definition on single-family housing. 2 MR. PRATT: Yeah. This is Don Pratt. I 3 would so move. 4 MR. BETHANCOURT: And I would second that. 5 This is Jeremy. 6 MS. SHORTALL: Provided that motion comes 7 8 back, or it's just --9 MR. JONES: It will. MR. BOLON: Yeah, this is something that we 10 would obviously at least have to explain this in the 11 preamble, provide a definition, if not in the req text. 12 MS. SHORTALL: Okay. So proceed with 13 revising 1926.250(a)(2). 14 15 MR. JONES: Okay. Second? No, I've already 16 had a second. Let's go to a vote on the phone. 17 MR. PRATT: Don Pratt. Aye. 18 MR. BETHANCOURT: Jeremy Bethancourt. Aye. 19 MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson. Ave. 20 MS. BARBER: Kristi Barber. Aye. 21 MR. HAWKINS: Steve Hawkins. Aye. 22 MR. JONES: All right. All at the table, all

in favor say, "Aye," please. 1 2 (Chorus of ayes.) 3 MR. JONES: And opposed? Nays? (No audible response.) 4 MR. JONES: Abstentions? 5 6 (No audible response.) 7 MR. JONES: So moved. 8 All right. Paul, before we go to 2 and 5, I 9 believe --10 MR. BOLON: Actually, we were going to take up quickly I hope the --11 12 MR. JONES: Decompression? 13 MR. BOLON: The decompression table. MR. JONES: That's where I want to go. 14 15 MR. BOLON: Okay. 16 MR. JONES: Okay. Is it 4? 4 is PELs. 17 Yeah, we're coming back to that definitely because 18 you've got to insert --MR. GILLEN: It's 2 and 4, not 2 and 5. 19 20 MR. PRESTON: I'm Vernon Preston, from OSHA. 21 With regards to the decompression tables, we spoke 22 about this at the last ACCSH meeting, and we decided to

		11
1	table it to give us time to check out the availability	
2	of the decompression tables, and I just wanted to	
3	update you guys on that. First I'll say that NIOSH has	
4	a website with regards to decompression illness, and on	
5	their website they have a copy of the NIOSH tables, the	
6	French tables, and the U.K. tables that we would like	
7	to refer to in our underground construction standard.	
8	There was another table, the German tables,	
9	that we have had some trouble getting ahold of I've	
10	spoken to some representatives from NIOSH. They know	
11	that they exist, they know that they have them, but	
12	they are I think old and it's difficult for them to	
13	make them out, so they told me that they would look	
14	into trying to find more up-to-date version of the	
15	tables, and if they could do that, we would work on	
16	including those also in the standard as well.	
17	I think that's about it as far as the	
18	availability goes. What I think our approach would be	
19	is to include them in our standards by referring to	
20	them in Appendix A of Subpart S, Underground	
21	Construction, and giving the industry the opportunity	
22	to use any of the tables that would be appropriate for	

115

the work that they would be doing. 1 2 MR. BOLON: Yeah. Just to add a bit, recently we also thought there was a Brazilian table, 3 and we found that not to be the case. I think Vernon 4 found they were using the U.K. table. 5 6 MR. PRESTON: That's correct. MR. BOLON: And again just a reminder, the 7 8 context here is that the current OSHA table is really 9 not sufficiently protective, and the other tables that are available are all more protective, and this has 10 11 been discussed in the literature. So what we're 12 proposing to do is to remove the OSHA table and at least for a proposal to permit the use of any of the 13 other four tables, which we found I think are all 14 15 available. They're available publicly. MR. JONES: What is industry currently using? 16 17 MR. BOLON: My understanding is that most 18 commonly and most of the variances are for the French 19 table. 20 Go ahead. 21 MR. JONES: Microphone? 22 MR. BIERSNER: I'm Bob Biersner, with the

		117
1	Solicitor's Office. And we just issued a variance to	
2	Traylor Brothers to do the Blue Plains construction	
3	project here in Washington, D.C., digging a tunnel	
4	under the Anacostia and the Potomac Rivers. We just	
5	issued them a it's actually an interim order, which	
6	is preliminary to issuing a variance, and in that	
7	interim order we adopted the French tables, and OSHA	
8	feels extremely comfortable with those tables as being	
9	highly protective, and there is a great deal of	
10	evidence available in the research literature to	
11	support those tables. And I would encourage you I	
12	mean, we're going to be issuing a proposed application	
13	for this variance in the near future, and I would	
14	recommend that you pay attention to that, and I would	
15	highly recommend that at this point we look	
16	particularly at the French tables.	
17	MR. JONES: I would like to follow that up.	
18	What is the difference between the U.K. and the French	
19	and is it that big of a difference? And why don't	
20	we just go with the French? Or is that problematic?	
21	Can someone spell that out for me?	
22	Chuck?	

		118
1	MR. BIERSNER: I would like to say, too, that	
2	Washington State Bob Biersner again Washington	
3	State, Oregon, California, all state plan states, have	
4	also adopted the French tables for tunneling work.	
5	MR. JONES: Are they proprietary?	
6	MR. BIERSNER: They are proprietary, and we	
7	will look into that, but	
8	MR. JONES: But that's no different than	
9	ANSI, right?	
10	MR. BIERSNER: Yeah, right, it's the same	
11	thing.	
12	MR. JONES: Okay. Chuck?	
13	MR. STRIBLING: Just as a point of	
14	information, Kentucky has not adopted the French table,	
15	but anyhow, it has to do with work under pressure is	
16	the reason for the updated tables. And I	
17	wholeheartedly agree the updated tables are much more	
18	protective. So I think I brought this up at the last	
19	meeting, I don't have an issue with replacing the	
20	table, but how will the employer see the table? If we	
21	tell them to go to a website	
22	MR. BOLON: I think we would well, we'll	

have to make provision for that. 1 2 MR. STRIBLING: Yeah. MR. BOLON: I mean, one alternative is to 3 post it on our website if that's possible. 4 MR. JONES: But don't we do that already with 5 ANSI standards? We're always referencing ANSI 6 standards for ACGIH and they're all copyrighted --7 8 MR. STRIBLING: Right, I understand, but now 9 you're taking away something that's in the standard that they can see and you're telling them, "Well, there 10 is something else, but go over here and find it." So 11 would there be a way that it could be included in the 12 13 appendix? 14 And just so you know, I think I found the 15 German table in a U.K. study last night. 16 MR. JONES: One second. 17 Dean? 18 MR. McKENZIE: Dean McKenzie, with OSHA. 19 There are French national tables that are available 20 publicly which are better than the OSHA tables. You 21 know, some of the other tables that Vernon has identified are publicly available, and you can look at 22

		120
1	them. Now, the ones that will be an issue for us to	
2	a question for us to answer prior to publication will	
3	be the George's Gordone (ph) proprietary French tables.	
4	How would we reference those? Because they are for sale	
5	and they come with George's Gordone services as a	
6	company that sells them. We would have to address the	
7	issue of OSHA recommending a single source provider.	
8	Or it would be like us saying you've got to use	
9	DBI/SALA fall protection equipment. We'll have to look	
10	into that. But the publicly available tables could be	
11	there. We do need to address how we handle the	
12	proprietaries.	
13	MR. JONES: That answers my question.	
14	Any other comments? The phone?	
15	(No audible response.)	
16	MR. JONES: I would like to entertain a	
17	motion to accept recommend OSHA proceeds with	
18	updating the decompression tables to remove these	
19	outdated ones we've been relying on I guess I	
20	shouldn't say that and go forward with the French	
21	public tables. And is there another the U.K.	
22	tables?	
1		

		121
1	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: And NIOSH tables.	
2	MR. JONES: And NIOSH tables.	
3	MS. SHORTALL: Updated tables, if you want to	
4	specify that.	
5	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Say updated.	
6	MR. JONES: Updated tables.	
7	MR. STRIBLING: Question on	
8	MR. JONES: Go ahead, Chuck.	
9	MR. STRIBLING: You said remove the table.	
10	Is OSHA intending to remove the table entirely so the	
11	tables what table would there be for when you're	
12	working not at pressurized, you're just doing regular	
13	non-pressurized work?	
14	MR. PRESTON: It's my understanding that the	
15	tables that we currently have for decompression are for	
16	pressurized work, so yeah.	
17	MR. STRIBLING: So for non-pressurized work,	
18	what table would you use?	
19	MR. JONES: Do you need a table?	
20	MR. BOLON: Do you need a table? I mean	
21	MR. JONES: Do you need a table for non-	
22	pressurized work, like right today?	

122 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: We say not in 1 2 pressurized work. MR. JONES: Yeah. 3 MR. STRIBLING: Okay. Okay. Never mind. 4 I'm thinking --5 6 MR. JONES: Yeah. 7 MR. STRIBLING: Okay. 8 MR. BOLON: Again I just also mention a 9 couple things. There was an article published which we think is pretty authoritative that compared the tables 10 about 8 or 10 years ago, and again the conclusion was 11 that the new tables are better than the current OSHA 12 table, that they all are. We were hoping not to try 13 and differentiate between the table because that would 14 15 be difficult, and since they're all better, we want to 16 get them all in. But since this will be a proposal and 17 have comment, if there are better tables, we can sort 18 that out in comment and rulemaking, so --19 MR. JONES: I need a second for that, my 20 motion. 21 MR. STRIBLING: Second. 22 MR. JONES: All in favor on the phone.

		123
1	MR. PRATT: Don Pratt. Aye.	
2	MS. BARBER: Kristi Barber. Aye.	
3	MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson. Aye.	
4	MR. BETHANCOURT: Jeremy Bethancourt. Aye.	
5	MR. HAWKINS: Steve Hawkins. Aye.	
6	MR. JONES: All in favor at the table?	
7	(Chorus of ayes.)	
8	MR. JONES: And all against?	
9	(No audible response.)	
10	MR. JONES: So moved.	
11	So now we'll go to Number 2. And where are	
12	we left off on that one?	
13	MR. ECKERSON: I think in speaking during the	
14	break with Mr. Cannon, I think we've got a proposal	
15	that we can live with and that is acceptable to him;	
16	namely, if you look at Page 2 of the table, what we	
17	would amend that proposal is we would keep the specific	
18	we're looking at the middle box here in the table on	
19	Page 2 we would keep the language which cross-	
20	references specifically the 1904.5 criteria, but then	
21	we would not include the language, the rest of the	
22	language, that we were proposing to add after the	

		124
1	sentence that ends with the OSHA 300 log. So what	
2	we're proposing is that it now read that the amended	
3	language, the first sentence, would read, "If a	
4	physician or other licensed health care professional	
5	determines, following the rules set out in 1904.5, that	
6	the hearing loss is not work-related or has not been	
7	significantly aggravated by occupational noise	
8	exposure, you are not required to consider the case	
9	work related or to record the case in the OSHA 300	
10	log." End of provision.	
11	MR. JONES: Jerry?	
12	MR. RIVERA: I can support that.	
13	MS. SHORTALL: Was that your motion then?	
14	MR. RIVERA: Yes.	
15	MR. JONES: Second?	
16	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: I second it.	
17	MR. RIVERA: I motion to accept the language	
18	as currently proposed.	
19	MR. JONES: Comments?	
20	MR. BETHANCOURT: Do you need a second? This	
21	is Jeremy.	
22	MR. JONES: We got a second. For our	

125

discussion, I'm a little amazed here. 1 2 All in favor on the phone? 3 MR. BETHANCOURT: Jeremy Bethancourt. Aye. MR. PRATT: Don Pratt --4 MR. JONES: Hold on, hold on. Let's back up. 5 We still have a question. 6 7 MR. GILLEN: So in your discussion during the 8 break, there was no language you could agree to? 9 Because it kind of talks about not -- if it's not workrelated and sort of left on balance there is nothing 10 about if it is work-related, it just talks about not. 11 So there is nothing to balance that at all. 12 13 MR. CANNON: Well, as I understand it, the second portion of what's highlighted was pretty much 14 15 summarizing what's already in 1904.5, so just leaving 16 the reference or guiding the health care professional 17 back to 1904.5 takes care of that because 1904.5 is --18 what is it titled? "Determining Work-Relatedness." 19 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Yes. 20 MR. BOLON: Yeah, the way this question is --21 MR. GILLEN: You could just say that, just end after 1904.5. 22

		126
1	MR. BOLON: Yeah. The question in bold at	
2	the first actually it's asking the negative. What do I	
3	do if it's not work-related?	
4	MR. GILLEN: Okay.	
5	MR. BOLON: It really just speaks to that.	
6	MR. GILLEN: All right. Thanks.	
7	MR. JONES: All right. I'm sorry. We're	
8	going to go back to the vote. Everyone in favor on the	
9	phone say, "Aye."	
10	MR. PRATT: Don Pratt. Aye.	
11	MS. BARBER: Kristi Barber. Aye.	
12	MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson. Aye.	
13	MR. BETHANCOURT: Jeremy Bethancourt. Aye.	
14	MR. HAWKINS: Steve Hawkins. Aye.	
15	MR. JONES: Okay. Before I say the table,	
16	could you just reread that again for me? Because I	
17	think I was walking when you said it the first time.	
18	MR. ECKERSON: Okay, the sentence the	
19	answer now reads this is 1904.10(b)(6) the answer	
20	is, "If a physician or other licensed health care	
21	professional," and then it's the acronym PLHCP in	
22	parens, "determines, following the rules set out in	

127 1904.5, that the hearing loss is not work-related or 1 has not been significantly aggravated by occupational 2 noise exposure, you are not required to consider the 3 case work-related or to record the case on the OSHA 300 4 log." End of provision. 5 6 So in essence, we're only adding the words, the phrase, "following the rules set out in 1904.5." 7 8 That's substantively the only change we're making to 9 the first sentence. 10 MR. JONES: Okay. All in favor at the table signify by saying, "Aye." 11 12 (Chorus of ayes.) MR. JONES: All not in favor? 13 (No audible response.) 14 15 MR. JONES: Abstentions? 16 (No audible response.) 17 MR. JONES: All right. So moved. All right. Let's go to Number 4. I shut you 18 19 off in mid-discussion on this. Can we just take it 20 from the top, please, Paul? 21 MR. BOLON: We can take it from the top. All of the changes that we're proposing are really -- they 22

		128
1	are only editorial in nature because some of the terms	
2	some of the language and some of the footnotes	
3	really they have editorial errors. We're changing	
4	the phrase "Threshold Limit Values" because that is a	
5	phrase that ACGIH uses, it's the American Conference on	
6	Government Industrial Hygienists. And the table is	
7	really full of OSHA PELs, Permissible Exposure Limits.	
8	There is some language in there that makes it sound	
9	like meeting the PELs is only advisory. That's	
10	ambiguous and we would like to get rid of it. There is	
11	some confusing language about root of exposure and	
12	there are some errors in the footnotes. So we're not	
13	changing any of the PELs, we're really just correcting	
14	errors, I consider them editorial errors, in the table.	
15	MR. ECKERSON: And also making it in the same	
16	format that exists in the general industry tables.	
17	MR. JONES: Was any thought given to maybe an	
18	opportunity of updating PELs through this process or	
19	(Laughter.)	
20	MR. BOLON: I started working on updating the	
21	PELs in '93 and I think I've been through two teams on	
22	it, and everyone is always searching for the "Holy	

129 Grail," the good direct way to do it. So I don't think 1 2 that's going to make it. 3 MR. JONES: All right. Do I have any comments? 4 5 MR. GILLEN: Yeah, I would like to make some comments on this. The language you came up with I 6 think is fine, but there is one thing that it does that 7 8 I think is really highly objectionable, and that is it 9 removes the reference to 1970 because these exposure 10 limits are 43 years old, and that's pretty old, and unfortunately they're going to probably make it to 50, 11 12 and, you know, that at least tells somebody, an 13 interested employer or interested worker that these are old and could be a little bit out of date, and without 14 15 that, they don't know that. So, you know, even though 16 we prefer to be talking about updating the PELs every 17 10 years and things like that, we should be 18 transparent, we should let people know that these are 19 old and we shouldn't remove language that does that. 20 And just to give you a few examples, I just 21 took a quick look at some of the 1970 TLVs and compared them to the most recent 2013 TLVs just to see, and, you 22

		130
1	know, these are just some examples, it's not like there	
2	aren't some of them that are the same, but there are	
3	lots of examples, like carbon monoxide in 1970 that	
4	limits 50 parts per million, and 25 parts per million	
5	in 2013; or in hexane, which is a solvent, 500 parts	
6	per million in 1970, it's 50 parts per million, 10	
7	times lower. Hydrogen sulfide, 10 parts per million in	
8	1970; 1 part per million today.	
9	So, you know, the reason they changed these	
10	is because evidence came out showing that those other	
11	limits, health effects could happen at those limits.	
12	Do you see what I mean? And so there really they're	
13	not protective. And so we should let people know.	
14	And so we just talked we just reviewed a	
15	standard that said note to Paragraph (f)(2) was part of	
16	the discussion about the wireless, and so I would like	
17	to suggest that we have a similar note and would say	
18	note to Paragraph A, and it would say these required	
19	limits originally provided by the American Conference	
20	of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, ACGIH, date from	
21	1970. Current ACGIH and NIOSH exposure limits for many	
22	of these substances are now lower, and the 1970 limits	

		131
1	may not be sufficiently protective of worker health.	
2	Employers are encouraged but are not required to	
3	consider more up-to-date limits when taking steps to	
4	control construction worker exposures. I think that	
5	just sort of gives people that honest message that	
6	these are kind of old and that they may in good	
7	practice, if they're having a problem with a chemical,	
8	that they should get another opinion and look about	
9	that. It's not at all to change the requirements but	
10	just to be more transparent about the fact that these	
11	are pretty old and many of the individual limits are	
12	out of date.	
13	So that's the proposal I would like to put	
14	out.	
15	MR. JONES: Is there a second?	
16	MS. SHADRICK: I'll second it. Laurie	
17	Shadrick. I second it. I think it's a wonderful idea	
18	to put that note in there. And, again, these tables	
19	are from 1970. Everybody should be aware of that.	
20	That's a great suggestion, Matt.	
21	MR. JONES: And the studies for these are	
22	probably from the '50s, right?	

132 MR. GILLEN: Some of them are, yeah. 1 2 MR. BOLON: If there were studies. 3 (Laughter.) MR. JONES: Okay. So I guess we're in the 4 discussion phase of this question. I still have --5 there were two issues you brought up. There is the 6 1970s and your note. Your motion is only dealing with 7 8 the note. 9 MR. GILLEN: The motion would provide a note that would remedy -- it would just go on the end of the 10 11 language they have, and it reinstates the fact that 12 they're from 1970. 13 MR. JONES: Okay. All right. I just wanted 14 to make sure we got that. 15 MR. GILLEN: And it just explains it a little 16 more explicitly what it means. 17 MR. JONES: So our recommendation is on -- I mean, the recommendation is on the floor. Those in 18 19 favor on the phone, please signify by saying, "Aye." 20 MS. BARBER: Kristi Barber. Aye. 21 MR. PRATT: Don Pratt. Aye. 22 MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson. Aye.

		133
1	MR. BETHANCOURT: Jeremy Bethancourt. Aye.	
2	MR. HAWKINS: Steve Hawkins. Aye.	
3	MR. JONES: At the table, all in favor say,	
4	"Aye."	
5	(Chorus of ayes.)	
6	MR. JONES: Opposed?	
7	(No audible response.)	
8	MR. JONES: I didn't see that one coming.	
9	All right. Good deal. I did not see that coming.	
10	MS. SHORTALL: This was the motion for	
11	including that.	
12	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Right.	
13	MS. SHORTALL: And now we come to the motion	
14	for the whole recommendation.	
15	MR. JONES: Oh, yeah. That's true, too.	
16	(Laughter.)	
17	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: And I'll oppose	
18	that one.	
19	(Laughter.)	
20	MR. JONES: I'm looking for a motion to	
21	accept this recommendation from OSHA and to support it	
22	and OSHA move forward on cleaning up this rule.	

		134
	MS. SHORTALL: With ACCSH's accepted	
	language.	
	MR. JONES: Including the proposed language.	
	MS. SHADRICK: So moved.	
	MR. JONES: Second?	
	MR. GILLEN: Second.	
	MR. JONES: All in favor on the phone?	
	MS. BARBER: Kristi Barber. Aye.	
	MR. PRATT: Don Pratt. Aye.	
1	MR. BETHANCOURT: Jeremy Bethancourt. Aye.	
1	MR. HAWKINS: Steve Hawkins. Aye.	
1	MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson. Aye.	
1	MR. JONES: All right. All in favor at the	
1	table, signify by saying, "Aye."	
1	(Chorus of ayes.)	
1	MR. JONES: And all opposed?	
1	(No audible response.)	
1	MR. JONES: That was awesome. That was the	
1	best stuff we did this week, seriously.	
2	Okay. Where are we at now?	
2	MR. ECKERSON: Excavation.	
2	MR. JONES: Thank you, Paul. It was	

1	fantastic working with you guys on this one. I'm
2	elated, as you can see, at the outcome. I did not
3	think we were going to get what we got.
4	MR. BOLON: Yeah, I think this will be the
5	last batch of SIPs candidates we bring before ACCSH.
6	We might have something to follow up on the single-
7	family home residence definition. I've worked on most
8	of the SIPs projects, and I don't think any of them
9	have gone through a review by ACCSH or somebody
10	outside. I just think it's been very beneficial to
11	have ACCSH review these and provide comment. I think
12	it's helped us quite a bit. So thank you for that.
13	MR. JONES: Thanks, Paul. Great.
14	Okay. What I want to go to next is our work
15	group before I lose Thomas. I only got him for a
16	couple of minutes, I guess. Thomas and Jeremy, Thomas
17	Marrero and Jeremy Bethancourt, are the co-chairs of
18	the Temporary Worker Work Group, and they have a work
19	group report to present to us.
20	Thomas, before you go, do you want to jump
21	up?
22	MR. MARRERO: Okay. Jeremy Bethancourt and I

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2013

	-
1	had several discussions yesterday regarding some
2	suggestions we would pose to ACCSH for discussion as
3	well as eventually to make OSHA as the agency moves
4	forward with the temporary worker initiative.
5	First, we believe the initiative should be
6	more inclusive of issues which are prevalent in the
7	industry insofar as how workers are brought onto work
8	sites and viewed by host and controlling employers.
9	Second, we believe that the committee has a
10	greater responsibility and opportunity to assist more
11	workers in the industry by furthering OSHA's definition
12	of the host employer relationship and thereby
13	responsibility to worker safety by establishing a
14	guidance document. We suggest the language of the
15	document can be crafted over the next few ACCSH work
16	group meetings. As a starting point for a guidance
17	document, we could integrate select portions of the IRS
18	Darden Factor language. The only differences that we
19	suggest that the language be inclusive regarding
20	relationship of host employers versus exclusive as it
21	relates to employees versus independent contractors.
22	If the whole point of the initiative is to

		13
1	increase worker safety through the delegation of	
2	responsibility among several employers, then we must	
3	define the true relationship in no uncertain terms	
4	between the exposing and controlling employers. It is	
5	well-known across industry and a common practice that	
6	the employees of any subcontractor can be removed by	
7	any controlling contractor and thereby under the	
8	control of the general contractor. Accordingly, should	
9	not the safety responsibility for any such employees	
10	also be shared by the controlling employer irrespective	
11	of any indemnity language imposed by general	
12	contractors to subtier contractors?	
13	Attached on the following page is some of the	
14	language that we believe is a starting point for	
15	guidance language for this initiative.	
16	So our motion to the committee is as follows:	
17	That ACCSH recommend that OSHA expand its temporary	
18	worker safety initiative to be more inclusive whereby	
19	all employees are provided protection under the	
20	continuing trend of our Nation's employers to utilize a	
21	temporary style/like workforce. The focus of the	
22	initiative should be not only on employees assigned to	

		138
1	host employers through staffing firms but also those	
2	employees employed to jobs via alternative work	
3	arrangements to include, but not limited to, the use of	
4	independent contractors, construction contractors and	
5	subcontractors, part-time employees, and other work	
6	arrangements that are a variation from the standard	
7	workday, workweek, or work location, and the standard	
8	employer-employee relationship.	
9	MR. JONES: Okay. Let's do two things. I	
10	want to get a motion to accept the Work Group report	
11	first.	
12	MS. BARBER: Motion.	
13	MR. JONES: All right. Second?	
14	MR. GILLEN: I second it.	
15	MR. JONES: All right. All in favor say,	
16	"Aye."	
17	MS. BARBER: Aye.	
18	MR. GILLEN: Aye.	
19	MR. JONES: All in favor on the phone say,	
20	"Aye."	
21	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Aye.	
22	MR. BETHANCOURT: Jeremy Bethancourt. Aye.	

139 MR. JONES: Very good. 1 2 MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson. Aye. MR. JONES: I got that right. Okay. 3 I am now looking at a motion from the Temporary Work Group. 4 I am not going to reread it. It's as stated verbatim I 5 quess, as Tom just said, at the bottom of the paper 6 7 that we're looking at. 8 Sarah, is that fine? 9 MS. SHORTALL: Sure. 10 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Will the 11 committee discuss that? 12 MR. JONES: Yeah, I'm going to definitely do 13 that. I'm looking for a second to Thomas' motion. 14 15 MS. SHADRICK: I'll second it. 16 MR. JONES: Laurie seconds. 17 Let's talk about it. 18 Dean? Kevin? 19 MR. CANNON: No, Dean first, please. 20 MR. MCKENZIE: Dean McKenzie, with OSHA. The 21 initiative to expand this into every subcontractor all these other tiers on an initiative level is a whole 22

		140
1	different creature than what the agency is set out to	
2	right now, and defining those relationships has been a	
3	work in progress for 43 years to do. To suggest that	
4	we're going to do this through this motion is	
5	optimistic at best. I would like to see the work group	
6	consider that and try to put a little bit better	
7	definition to that.	
8	MR. JONES: I'll be right with you, Kevin.	
9	When you say that, Dean, what do you find	
10	problematic? Independent contractors? Construction	
11	contractors? Day laborers? What exactly are you	
12	saying?	
13	MR. McKENZIE: Expanding its temporary worker	
14	initiative to be more inclusive, and then when you go	
15	on down beyond staffing firms, but also alternative	
16	work arrangements but not limited to these, or	
17	independent contractors, construction contractors, and	
18	subcontractors, you've just included all your	
19	construction.	
20	MR. CANNON: Exactly. That's where I was	
21	going, that right there is everybody. You're calling	
22	everybody a temporary	

		141
1	MR. McKENZIE: That's how construction works.	
2	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Right.	
3	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Yeah, I	
4	underlined it.	
5	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Yeah.	
6	MR. JONES: Kevin?	
7	MR. CANNON: I've said it. I mean, I'm	
8	pretty much to the point that Dean was making, that	
9	when you include the second half of the second	
10	sentence, that's pretty much calling everybody a	
11	temporary worker.	
12	MR. MARRERO: How would you revise it? Do	
13	you have any suggestions or	
14	MR. CANNON: I don't have any suggestions	
15	right now, I'm just commenting. I think we just leave	
16	it to the definition that we were provided yesterday.	
17	MR. JONES: What was that definition?	
18	MR. CANNON: Oh, geez. You would.	
19	MR. JONES: Sorry.	
20	MR. STRIBLING: I'm sorry.	
21	MR. JONES: Chuck?	
22	MR. STRIBLING: Chuck Stribling. That was	

142

just for temporary workers, wasn't it? 1 2 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Yeah. MS. SHORTALL: The definition was paid by a 3 staffing agency --4 MR. STRIBLING: Staffing, right. 5 6 MS. SHORTALL: -- and working at a host employer worksite. 7 8 MR. JONES: Yeah, I don't recall a 9 definition. I do remember the point of emphasis was going after staffing agencies. 10 11 MR. McKENZIE: The point -- Dean McKenzie, with OSHA. The existing temporary worker initiative 12 includes temporary workers paid by a staffing agency 13 physically working at a host employer. 14 15 MR. JONES: Yeah. That's what I mean. They were aimed at staffing agencies, and what you are 16 17 saying, you want them to expand that beyond staffing 18 agencies. 19 MR. MARRERO: As Jeremy Bethancourt pointed 20 out yesterday, too, with the piece workers, any type of 21 alternative work arrangement, in essence it's temporary work. The Bureau of Labor and Statistics data that was 22

		143
1	included in the initial memorandum that went out stated	
2	that there were 562 fatalities in 2011 of temporary	
3	workers when in actuality, when it comes down to	
4	staffing, that's less than 1 percent of staffing. So	
5	if that makes sense. There was only, I believe, like	
6	27 fatalities out of that 562, and for the agency to	
7	put focus on the staffing industry, I think we're	
8	limiting the resources when the problem is much bigger,	
9	so	
10	MR. JONES: How would you define it if you	
11	had to I guess you've already done it. You're	
12	defining the whole construction industry.	
13	MR. MARRERO: I would say any type of	
14	variation from a standard employer-employee	
15	relationship.	
16	MR. GILLEN: Is it fair to say that	
17	independent contractor is the biggest piece of that	
18	that would be useful to discuss?	
19	MR. JONES: Or is day laborers an issue?	
20	MR. MARRERO: I would say so, yes.	
21	MR. CANNON: I guess the other question is,	
22	aren't some folks that are classified independent	

144 contractors really independent contractors? 1 2 MR. GILLEN: Yes, some are. 3 MR. CANNON: Yeah. MR. BETHANCOURT: Some are. 4 MR. GILLEN: Some are misclassified. 5 6 MR. CANNON: I understand that as well, but -7 8 MR. STRIBLING: Mr. Chair? 9 MR. JONES: Yes, Chuck, I'm sorry. 10 MR. STRIBLING: Would the co-chairs be 11 acceptable to maybe making a recommendation for the committee to consider that maybe OSHA take a look at 12 13 expanding the scope of their initiative and then maybe report back to us at the next ACCSH meeting on the 14 15 feasibility of that? 16 MR. MARRERO: I would be okay with that. 17 MR. BETHANCOURT: This is Jeremy Bethancourt. 18 I think that would be great. 19 MR. JONES: Yeah. I think that's what most 20 of us are feeling. We feel that we don't know where 21 this should go. We know it should be broader than just staffing. Day laborers, independent contractors, is 22

		145
1	where we see a lot of the issue, and how that could be	
2	addressed, I don't know if any of us at this table	
3	know, but we would like OSHA to address that. So	
4	working with Ms. Sarah and I, does anyone have a motion	
5	we could throw on the table?	
6	MS. SHORTALL: Well, I have that Mr.	
7	Stribling is moving that ACCSH recommend that OSHA I	
8	guess take a look or revisit the definition of	
9	temporary work.	
10	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Put the mic on.	
11	MR. JONES: I'm sorry.	
12	MS. SHORTALL: That ACCSH recommends that	
13	OSHA take a look at expanding the definition to	
14	temporary worker and report back to ACCSH at its next	
15	meeting.	
16	MR. STRIBLING: Well, two things. I wasn't	
17	making that motion, I was asking if the co-chairs would	
18	be okay with such a motion. I would let them make the	
19	motion since it's their work group. And I really	
20	didn't mean definition, I don't think if that's what	
21	I said, I'm sorry I meant maybe look at expanding	
22	the scope of the initiative.	

MS. SHORTALL: The scope. Okay. 1 2 MR. BETHANCOURT: This is Jeremy Bethancourt. And after discussing with Tom quite a while yesterday, 3 I wouldn't be opposed with that. I think really the 4 whole point of our motion, being that OSHA could either 5 accept it or not accept it anyway, was simply to get 6 them to look at it beyond the temp agency relationships 7 8 because more and more it is prevalent that what would 9 be classified as a subcontractor are really in fact acting in the same manner as the traditional temp 10 11 worker agency relationship, and so they're actually 12 supplying a workforce in the construction industry in a similar manner. 13 So, Tom, I have no issue with that. If Tom 14 thinks that that sounds reasonable, as do I. 15 16 MR. MARRERO: Yeah, I think that's 17 reasonable, Jeremy. 18 MS. SHORTALL: Which is reasonable? Chuck's 19 suggested --20 MR. MARRERO: Yes. 21 MS. SHORTALL: Okay. So --22 MR. MARRERO: With expanding the scope.

146

147 MR. JONES: Do we have to rescind his first 1 2 motion? MS. SHORTALL: No. 3 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: We have a motion. 4 Do we have to --5 MS. SHORTALL: If the maker and the seconder 6 of the motion will simply accept that, we don't have to 7 8 do anything else. 9 MR. MARRERO: I would accept that. 10 MS. SHORTALL: All right. And the seconder. 11 MR. BETHANCOURT: Thank you. MS. SHORTALL: All right. 12 13 MR. JONES: So we're back to --14 MS. SHADRICK: I accept that. 15 MR. JONES: Oh, okay. MS. SHORTALL: You made the motion? 16 17 MR. JONES: No --18 MS. SHADRICK: No, I seconded it. 19 MS. SHORTALL: Okay. I'm sorry. Got it. 20 Okay. Got it. 21 MR. JONES: Jeremy just didn't know he was on the line. 22

148 MS. SHORTALL: All right. 1 2 MR. JONES: All right. So the new motion is 3 MS. SHORTALL: Tom Marrero moves that ACCSH 4 recommend that OSHA take a look at expanding the scope 5 of the temporary worker initiative and report back to 6 ACCSH at their next meeting. 7 8 MR. JONES: Discussion. 9 MR. BETHANCOURT: Tom? 10 MR. MARRERO: Yes. 11 MR. BETHANCOURT: You know, I sort of like where that's going, but my thought is that we recommend 12 to OSHA that they look into expanding it to include to 13 be more reflective of how the industry currently 14 15 utilizes workforce. And I don't know if that's just 16 minutia at that point or if that's implied in what the 17 motion says. 18 I guess, Ms. Sarah, is that what your 19 thoughts are on that? 20 MR. JONES: I'll tell you my thoughts. I 21 would say that's kind of what's implied, but I don't 22 know for sure. That's the gray area that becomes

1 difficult.

2 Any other thoughts? 3 MR. STRIBLING: Yeah. OSHA is in the room and I think they're hearing our discussion, so they 4 hear this, and I would hope when they report back to 5 the committee they would include what we're talking 6 about here. But you've got to remember the staffing in 7 8 this initiative, its in its infancy, barely in its 9 infancy. There is still data maturing, and so I expect and I fully support what you're saying here, but for 10 the agency to take on a broader scope on this, they've 11 got to plan this out a little bit, so I would like to 12 13 give them some time to think about if they can come up with an effective strategy before we tell them to go do 14 15 it, you know, they're --16 MR. JONES: Yeah, that's where I'm at because 17 I have a couple of thoughts on this. One, I don't know

18 when we look at some of our union halls, if they didn't 19 have labor up front, how different would that look at a 20 staffing agency in many cases? And on and on and on. 21 And then, as Kevin pointed out, our original motion 22 pretty much says all of construction, and I'm not sure 149

		150
1	that I'm ready for that either. I think David Michaels	
2	and his crew have had a pretty good handle on this	
3	temporary issue since its emergence, and I like the	
4	guidance that we've talked through in the last 15	
5	minutes. I don't know that we need to get ahead of	
6	ourselves, in my opinion. So I'm happy with the	
7	motion. I would like to hear from Steve and Matt.	
8	Well, you guys are our guys.	
9	MR. GILLEN: Okay. My view is that the nice	
10	thing about what OSHA is doing now is there are	
11	specific groups that they can talk to, the staffing	
12	agencies, and they're having good discussions with	
13	them, whereas it might be different groups involved	
14	with expanding the concept. So I think they should	
15	continue having those discussions with those groups to	
16	make more progress because it sounds like they're doing	
17	pretty good, but then they should build upon that to	
18	maybe expand this issue because this issue isn't going	
19	away as far as flexibility and working arrangements.	
20	It's going to be an issue with us for years and years	
21	and years, and so they should be thinking about that,	
22	and we can encourage them to do that.	

151 MR. JONES: Hey, Steve, do you have any 1 2 comments? 3 MR. HAWKINS: I pretty much agree with what Matt said really. 4 MR. JONES: Okay. So let's go to a vote --5 do we need to go to a vote on this motion? Okay, so 6 we're going to go to a vote. Do you folks on the phone 7 8 need to hear it again? 9 MR. BETHANCOURT: Yes, please. Well, I think -- this is Jeremy. We're keeping it basically as Sarah 10 11 originally -- we're not going to expand it, and that 12 was really all my point was, is just to have the 13 discussion, do we need to or is it good enough? And I think Chuck basically addressed that. I guess if you 14 15 could restate it, that would be helpful. 16 MS. SHORTALL: The motion is Tom Marrero 17 moves that ACCSH recommend OSHA take a look at 18 expanding the scope of the temporary worker initiative 19 and report back to ACCSH at the next committee meeting. 20 MR. BETHANCOURT: Tom, are you all right with 21 that? 22 MR. MARRERO: Yeah, I'm all right with that.

152 MR. JONES: And we got a second, Laurie, 1 2 already. All in favor on the phone, please signify by 3 saying, "Aye." 4 MS. BARBER: Kristi Barber. Aye. 5 6 MR. PRATT: Don Pratt. Aye. 7 MR. BETHANCOURT: Jeremy Bethancourt. Aye. 8 MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson. Aye. 9 MR. HAWKINS: Steve Hawkins. Aye. 10 MR. JONES: At the table, all in favor say, 11 "Aye." 12 (Chorus of ayes.) MR. JONES: All disagree say, "Nay." 13 (No audible response.) 14 15 MR. JONES: Abstentions? 16 (No audible response.) 17 MR. JONES: All right. I think we are just -18 19 MS. SHORTALL: We've got another group. 20 MR. JONES: Oh, that's right. We do. I'm sorry, Jerry. We have another -- we do have -- we have 21 22 a group report here.

Bring us up to date, Jerry Rivera, where 1 2 we're at and what group you're with and who your co-3 chairs are. MR. RIVERA: Mr. Chairman, Jerry Rivera, with 4 5 Employee Group, and our work group is the Training Outreach Work Group composed of Kevin Cannon and Roger 6 Erickson. I would like, Mr. Chairman, based on the 7 8 information that we heard here yesterday from the 9 stakeholders, ACCSH, and some of the ACCSH members as well, I would like to make the motion that the group 10 11 integrate some of the recommendations that were heard 12 here from the stakeholders, and in the interim, for us to make this information accessible to the stakeholders 13 for input via virtual access. I think we heard from 14 15 Lisa London, that group, we failed to capture a great 16 portion of those instructors and that valuable input. 17 Now that the recommendations are out there, we might 18 get some significant input. So I move a motion to 19 bring this back to the next ACCSH committee meeting. 20 MR. CANNON: And if I can expand on that --21 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Separately. 22 MR. CANNON: Okay. Never mind.

153

154 MR. JONES: Wait. I'm lost. When you say a 1 2 motion to take it back? MR. RIVERA: To continue working --3 MR. JONES: Oh, we don't need a motion for 4 5 that. That was just your report. Okay. 6 MR. RIVERA: That's right. MR. JONES: And you're going to submit that 7 8 to Sarah so she can -- at some point. 9 MS. SHORTALL: That will be in the minutes. 10 MR. JONES: In the minutes. All right. 11 MR. RIVERA: Yeah, we don't have any --12 Okay. And, Chuck? MR. JONES: MR. STRIBLING: Yeah. I have a motion I 13 would like to bring before the committee to consider. 14 15 I would move that all ACCSH work group meetings, 16 whether held in person, electronically, or by 17 telephone, be open to all ACCSH members and members of 18 the public. 19 MR. JONES: Is there a second? 20 MR. RIVERA: Second. 21 MR. JONES: Discussion? When you say "be 22 open," what does that mean? Do you mean like everyone

155 is told that there is a work group meeting? So you 1 want to say that maybe instead, that whenever there is 2 a work group meeting that all other ACCSH members are 3 informed? 4 MR. GILLEN: Informed by e-mail? 5 MR. JONES: Yeah. Go ahead. 6 7 MR. GILLEN: Informed by e-mail? 8 MS. SHORTALL: I think that's probably 9 implied by what "public" means. Public open meeting or meeting that is open to the public implies that you've 10 had to let the public know that the meeting is 11 12 occurring. 13 MR. JONES: Okay. Then that's clear. That's fine. 14 15 MS. SHORTALL: I mean, clearly, you would 16 have to understand that since, as Mr. Maddux said 17 yesterday, FACA does not specifically cover committee 18 meetings -- excuse me, subcommittee meetings, that 19 making something public would not require publishing a 20 Federal Register notice announcing a meeting, it would 21 be something like putting -- something on a webpage or using e-mail for people who are interested. 22

MR. JONES: Okay. That's fine. 1 2 Kevin, please? 3 MR. CANNON: I'm sorry. Kevin Cannon. And, Ms. Sarah, if you could further help me understand. So 4 5 what we've done between May and now has been Jerry, Roger, and myself with a few OSHA staff. Now if we 6 open this up and we invite Walter, Matt, Sarah, you 7 know, the rest of the ACCSH members, how does that --8 9 MS. SHORTALL: Well, it would be less -- I mean, it could be an invitation, but it also could be 10 11 just letting the public know, like people who were here 12 yesterday know, that a telephonic meeting would be held 13 and they could participate, and this is how they would 14 participate, usually by contacting Mr. Bonneau or Mr. 15 McKenzie so that they could get the pass code 16 information that was necessary. But I don't think it 17 would be anything beyond e-mailing people who had 18 indicated an interest, which, of course, would include 19 all ACCSH members, and then maybe putting something on 20 OSHA's ACCSH webpage. 21 MR. JONES: Okay. Go ahead. 22 MS. COYNE: So what you're recommending is

		157
1	open dialogue with everyone, or would the public just	
2	be hearing only what the work group was doing? Or are	
3	you saying that whoever is on the call has input?	
4	MS. SHORTALL: I may be wrong. I mean, were	
5	you anticipating people could participate in the	
6	meeting? Of course, OSHA regulations, as well as FACA	
7	regulations, would not permit any member of the public	
8	to vote on any recommendation that came out of a work	
9	group. The only persons who could vote would be	
10	members of ACCSH to send something to the committee,	
11	but I guess it would just be so you would hear their	
12	input, you know, sort of like the work group meetings	
13	that are held in public, it would be held in person.	
14	MS. COYNE: Yeah. I understand.	
15	MR. PRATT: This is Don Pratt. I would	
16	highly, highly recommend that they only participate by	
17	listening and not by participating. So I	
18	MS. COYNE: I agree.	
19	MR. PRATT: I just think it would be terrible	
20	to try to have a work group and get anything done if	
21	everyone was trying to participate. So	
22	MR. BETHANCOURT: This is Jeremy Bethancourt.	

1	I tend to agree with you, Don. I mean, while I do
2	value I think the part where Chuck was saying all ACCSH
3	members should have an opportunity to be involved, and
4	with the meetings that we've had already with the women
5	in construction and the temporary workers, I don't
6	think that that would be a it would only add to the
7	discussion and to what we can do, and as this is still
8	sort of a new way to do things, I think that would be
9	beneficial to include all of us in the call, but, as
10	Don said, I don't know that we could ever get anything
11	done if the public actually got to contribute to it.
12	MR. JONES: Yeah, I was going to say
1 0	
13	something, too.
13	something, too. Go ahead, Jerry, please.
14	Go ahead, Jerry, please.
14 15	Go ahead, Jerry, please. MR. RIVERA: Yes. Jerry Rivera, Employer
14 15 16	Go ahead, Jerry, please. MR. RIVERA: Yes. Jerry Rivera, Employer Rep. Actually, I want to take a different angle to what
14 15 16 17	Go ahead, Jerry, please. MR. RIVERA: Yes. Jerry Rivera, Employer Rep. Actually, I want to take a different angle to what has been heard so far. While I see that it might be a
14 15 16 17 18	Go ahead, Jerry, please. MR. RIVERA: Yes. Jerry Rivera, Employer Rep. Actually, I want to take a different angle to what has been heard so far. While I see that it might be a challenge to engage all stakeholders, I think in a
14 15 16 17 18 19	Go ahead, Jerry, please. MR. RIVERA: Yes. Jerry Rivera, Employer Rep. Actually, I want to take a different angle to what has been heard so far. While I see that it might be a challenge to engage all stakeholders, I think in a controlled fashion that during these virtual meetings

		159
1	chat, I mean, I think it's important for us as a work	
2	group goalies to gather that information and kind of	
3	act on them. We don't need to vote on this, we just	
4	need to hear the input. That's really what we need	
5	when we're working on the work group, not being able to	
6	have the discussions among ourselves.	
7	MR. JONES: Hold on, Kevin.	
8	Matt?	
9	MR. GILLEN: What I was going to say, so our	
10	experience has really been more with the face-to-face	
11	meetings, and how that's worked is these generally	
12	being a little bit of precedence, we sort of go around	
13	the table and see if ACCSH members have something to	
14	say to make sure we get to hear them first. But then	
15	we do, we get a lot of good input from the public, so I	
16	would hate to sort of go to a situation where they were	
17	just listening and not providing input. But at the	
18	same time, we've got to figure out how to make this	
19	work on the phone, and there may be some thinking, how	
20	do you do that with the phone? Let's hear from the	
21	ACCSH members first, and the timing. So we just have	
22	to think about it, but I think public input during work	

1 group meetings has really been helpful.

2 MS. SHORTALL: Can I just explain how we have done things here as we've moved into the WebEx 3 generation, and this was decided by Jim earlier with 4 5 precisely that concern, which was we allowed anyone who was interested to come to our meeting here, and as you 6 see, we've been having people called on. One thing you 7 8 could do -- in fact, you really need to do -- is for a 9 teleconference meeting, you would still have to have a place in this building where part of it is held, so the 10 11 OSHA staff could be there, their liaisons, and that, 12 and you could, if you wanted to, say, "We will let 13 anyone talk if they're physically in the room for that meeting, but if you're on the phone, at this point you 14 15 may have to listen and give input later," as an element 16 to control the traffic. There have also been meetings 17 that we've had where we've had an open discussion on 18 the phone and it hasn't been too bad. It's going to, 19 I'm sure, depend on how large that group would be. 20 Go ahead. 21 MR. JONES: Kevin, I'm sorry, I'm going to 22 interrupt. I think there are two different issues

160

here, and I don't want to mix the two. 1 2 As I understand it, there is the first issue that there were work group meetings that went on that 3 other members of the ACCSH knew nothing of and may have 4 wanted to participate in. That's one issue. 5 6 And then the second issue of work group meetings and public participation. 7 So I think the first issue we may want to 8 9 deal with first is that whenever there is a work group 10 meeting, the work group chairs inform the rest of the 11 committee. 12 MR. GILLEN: And let people opt out. 13 MR. JONES: And let people opt out for not I don't know that we need a motion. I'm just 14 qoing. 15 saying that's something we just need to tell one 16 another here, that if you're a work group chair and you 17 are going to have a meeting, even though you're working 18 out with Jim and Damon, someone needs to inform the 19 rest of the members that there is going to be a work 20 group meeting, and I'll decide whether I'm going to be 21 there, and on and on and on and on and on. So that's 22 what I'm thinking.

		162
1	And then there is the secondary issue that's	
2	the motion that's on the table. My feeling is I don't	
3	have a problem with public input, but I could see it	
4	being unruly at times as well. I've been in those	
5	situations as well where I've been rolled by it. I	
6	don't have an answer for that. That to me would be up	
7	the chairs to decide whether they want to take public	
8	input or not. I wouldn't want a blanket statement	
9	saying they could listen but not input or they can talk	
10	and I have to listen. I would rather it be a	
11	situational issue.	
12	But go ahead, Kevin, that was just me.	
13	MR. CANNON: And I was just going to mention	
14	like WebEx, we've all done webinars. The folks that	
15	are on the phone, they're listening. If they have	
16	something they want to input, they just send it to you	
17	in a text, and then it's not deleted, you can print it	
18	out, and boom, you can address whatever action items	
19	you may have.	
20	And the other part of it is, especially with	
21	what we're doing, we'll never get done because every	
22	time we come back and present here, there is going to	

163

be someone from the public that comes and says -- or an 1 ACCSH member, that says, "Wait a minute, what about 2 Slide Number 22?" 3 So we'll continue to make these adjustments 4 if we don't get these folks involved in advanced of 5 reporting and presenting here. 6 7 MR. PRATT: This is Don Pratt. I don't want 8 to interrupt, but I've got another conference call I've 9 got to get on. So I'm going to have to cut off. 10 MR. JONES: Well, I think we're about done 11 here, Don, so thanks for joining us. 12 MR. PRATT: Okay. You bet. Take care, guys. Okay. 13 MS. SHORTALL: I do want to mention that the 14 15 Administrative Conference of the United States has been 16 exploring all these issues, moving into technology or 17 the advisory committee meetings, and what you said 18 there about WebEx with people participating by typing 19 in is one of the chief things they're recommending now. 20 In fact, not only could a meeting go on for the hour or 21 2 hours that you gather, you could keep the texting open or the typing open for a longer period of time in 22

	-
1	order to gather comments. So that is a chief one that
2	the Administrative Conference is recommending now.
3	MR. GILLEN: Good going.
4	MR. BETHANCOURT: This is Jeremy Bethancourt.
5	I just want to make it clear that my intent in my
6	comments wasn't necessarily to preclude public comment,
7	but it was simply to the point that we would want to
8	find a way to not let it get unruly because, as Sarah
9	said, I've been involved in a few conversations, as
10	have others, on the phone where it was very productive
11	to have many people involved. But I guess, like Kevin
12	mentioned, having the ability to do the texting, that's
13	a valuable way to get public comment and have it on the
14	record. So I just wanted to put that out there.
15	MR. JONES: Yeah, no, I know where you're
16	going. I just would love to leave a little flexibility
17	for us without I think as Chair I'm going to leave
18	it as a discussion and I don't know that we need to do
19	a motion.
20	MS. SHORTALL: It was already a motion, and
21	it was seconded.
22	MR. JONES: It was seconded? Well, then we

165

can vote on it then or we could rescind it, but we 1 2 could vote on it. 3 MR. GILLEN: What was the motion again? MR. JONES: Yeah, it was -- I didn't like the 4 motion. 5 6 Do you want to reread the motion, Sarah? 7 MS. SHORTALL: I don't have it. Mr. 8 Stribling does. 9 MR. JONES: Chuck? 10 MR. STRIBLING: Yeah. While I'm getting my glasses on here and getting the motion back out, I 11 mean, it's obviously a new time for the committee going 12 13 to in- person meetings and not in-person meetings, and the motion that I made was just I thought maybe a good 14 15 position for ACCSH to take, that although our meetings 16 might not be face-to-face, they're still inclusive and 17 we still want all members involved as well as the public. It can easily be administered like Kevin talked 18 19 about with WebEx and webinars, so it couldn't be -- it 20 would not necessarily have to be unruly. 21 MR. JONES: Yeah, I don't believe so either, 22 but all our meetings are not necessarily WebEx, a lot

166

of our meetings are going to be phone calls between 1 2 about four or five of us. 3 MR. STRIBLING: Yeah, well --MR. JONES: And that's going to be a lot of 4 our meetings. 5 6 MR. STRIBLING: But we're moving into a new time and things have to change with the times, and if 7 8 this is going to become the norm, then we have to sort 9 of rethink maybe how things will be done. 10 MR. JONES: All right. Well, we've got a few minutes left, so throw it at me. 11 12 MR. STRIBLING: To read that again, it said, 13 "I move that all ACCSH work group meetings, whether held in person, electronically, or by telephone, be 14 15 open to all ACCSH members as well as members of the 16 public." 17 MR. JONES: All in favor on the phone say, 18 "Aye." 19 MR. HAWKINS: Steve Hawkins. Aye. 20 MR. ERICKSON: Roger Erickson. Aye. 21 MR. BETHANCOURT: Jeremy Bethancourt. Aye. 22 MS. BARBER: Kristi Barber. Nay.

		167
1	MR. JONES: Is that all of them?	
2	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Don Pratt is	
3	gone, so	
4	MR. JONES: Don Pratt is gone.	
5	At the table all in favor say, "Aye."	
6	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Aye.	
7	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Aye.	
8	MR. JONES: All not in favor?	
9	I abstain.	
10	MS. COYNE: I abstain.	
11	MS. SHADRICK: I do, too.	
12	MR. JONES: Okay. Then it passes, right?	
13	MS. SHORTALL: Yeah.	
14	MR. JONES: All right. Okay.	
15	I think we have one more announcement from	
16	Jim Maddux.	
17	MR. MADDUX: Thank you. It looks like we've	
18	lost a lot of people unfortunately, but I did want to	
19	make a short announcement that Dr. Michaels asked me to	
20	bring to the committee. OSHA has just issued about 15	
21	minutes ago a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on silica.	
22	The website went live, it should be live now, and so it	

		168
1	includes the regulatory text and preamble to that	
2	rulemaking, and I know that a lot of people are very	
3	interested in this rulemaking. We certainly look	
4	forward to your input and comments as we move forward.	
5	You can comment both in writing and in person. We're	
6	expecting about a 90-day comment period and a hearing	
7	next spring on the subject.	
8	I would recommend that you remember that this	
9	is just a proposal, so the important thing right now is	
10	to take comment and for everybody to provide their	
11	views on the subject so that we can provide a final	
12	rule that will adequately protect workers that's	
13	feasible and that is based on the best available	
14	evidence.	
15	MR. JONES: Well, it looks like the fun is	
16	beginning. Thanks, Jim.	
17	(Laughter.)	
18	MR. JONES: And with that, I adjourn.	
19	(Whereupon, at 1:08 p.m., the U.S.	
20	Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and	
21	Health Administration, ACCSH Meeting was	
22	adjourned.)	

1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER			
2	I, NATALIA THOMAS, the Court Reporter before			
3	whom the foregoing proceeding was taken, do hereby			
4	certify that the proceeding was recorded by me; that			
5	the proceeding was thereafter reduced to typewriting			
6	under my direction; that said transcript is a true and			
7	accurate record of the proceeding; that I am neither			
8	related to nor employed by any of the parties to this			
9	proceeding; and, further, that I have no financial			
10	interest in this proceeding.			
11				
12				
13				
14				
15	NATALIA THOMAS Digital Court Reporter			
16	Digital Coult Reporter			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				

		170
1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTION	
2	I, DEBORAH ARBOGAST, hereby certify that I am	
3	not the Court Reporter who reported the following	
4	proceeding and that I have typed the transcript of this	
5	proceeding using the Court Reporter's notes and	
6	recordings. The foregoing/attached transcript is a	
7	true, correct and complete transcription of said	
8	proceeding.	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	Date DEBORAH ARBOGAST	
15	Transcriptionist	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

Page 1

	Pag		
1	1910.1020 64:10	163:21	45 53:9,11 85:10
1 60:3,4 130:8	1910.119 11:7	200 1:10	48 10:12
143:4	1910.141(a)(2 9:19	2009 70:12,15 71:8	
1:08 168:19	1910.2 9:8 25:18	2011 26:11 105:13	<u>5</u> 5 17:6 45:20 58:15
10 19:18 62:12 65:8 70:6 91:21	1910.3 24:9	143:2	59:2 61:10 94:16
122:11 129:17	1926 10:7 66:13	2013 1:6 129:22	95:15 114:8,19
130:6,7	67:4 96:16	130:5 20210 1:11	5,000
104 11:21	108:16		42:1,3,5,9,11,14
11:35 77:13	1926.1000(a)(2 71:22	21 9:8	5,400 42:1,11
116 12:4	1926.104(c 41:20	22 163:3	50 129:11 130:4,6
12 19:18 70:6	1926.250(a)(2	22nd 29:12	500 130:5
125 12:10	11:18 102:14	23 1:6	50s 131:22
129 12:16	113:14	23rd 14:3 29:12	51 40:15
137 12:18	1926.32 9:6	25 130:4	562 143:2,6
13-part 27:19	1926.50(f 101:11	27 143:6	5-mile 91:11
15 150:4 167:20	1926.51(a)(6 9:17	29 9:6,8,14,17,19 10:7,10	
154 12:20	1926.55 12:13	11:4,7,9,14,18	<u>6</u> <u>6</u> 22:16,19 24:7,13
155 13:4	1926.64 11:4,14	12:10,13,16	60:21 62:7 69:1
16 9:3	1926.651(j)(1	2-foot 49:8	64 10:17,20
169 13:6	10:10 46:1 59:3		68 11:7
170 13:8	1926.651(j)(2 61:11	<u>3</u> 3 41:17 43:11,13	6B 19:1
18th 29:10	1926.651(j)(I	44:15 85:3	
1904.10(b)(6 9:14	59:6,22	30 85:10	7
12:10 34:21	1970 129:9,21	300 124:1,9 127:4	7 69:2
126:19	130:3,6,8,21,22	3449 76:18	71 11:12
1904.3 24:9	131:19 132:12	3471 69:13 76:17	79 11:16
1904.5 35:6 36:19 37:3,7 38:6	1970s 132:7	36 9:14	8
123:20 124:5	1971 46:3,15		8 77:17,19 93:16
125:15,17,22	1989 46:6	4	122:11
127:1,7	19th 29:10	4 17:4 45:4,5 85:3 114:16,19	
1904.5(a 38:9	2	127:18	<u>9</u> 9 77:19 86:19 88:9
1910 10:7 67:1 71:21	$\frac{2}{2 \ 10:10 \ 34:18}$	43 9:19 10:7 26:11	102:9,14 112:22
1910.1000 12:16	77:21 114:8,19 123:11,16,19	129:10 140:3	90-day 168:6

Page 2

	1 ag	<u>, </u>	
911 11:16 77:19	68:12	act 20:5 26:3 27:8	145:2 151:14
78:1,4,7,11,18	access 79:22	159:3	addressing 86:19
79:15	153:14 158:20	ACTA 15:8	95:10
80:1,5,9,12 82:6	accessible 153:13	acting 146:10	adequate 47:19
85:19 89:21 93:2		8	49:22 57:3
94:6,8,14,19	accidents 71:12	action 33:19 92:18	
95:1,11 97:1,13	accomplish 83:13	162:18	adequately 81:13 168:12
92 66:14	Accordingly 137:8	activities 66:19	
93 66:15 128:21	ACCSH 1:4 5:16	actual 67:5 92:17	adjourn 13:8 168:18
A	14:3,14 17:6	actuality 143:3	adjourned 168:22
abbreviate 17:22	19:17 31:4 59:5,20 68:16,17	actually 23:15	0
	70:12,15 71:9	29:12 35:1 39:19	adjustments 163:4
ability 78:22 93:1	76:14 101:10,12	41:15 46:2 53:9	administered
164:12	109:16 111:11	55:13 58:8 59:10	64:12 165:18
able 21:22 31:16	114:22	60:22 66:20 70:8	Administration
40:13 42:12 79:2	135:5,9,11	72:1 74:7 84:7	1:2 4:6
92:3 93:5 107:3	136:2,15 137:17	85:18 88:19 105:10 114:10	Administration,A
110:20 159:5	144:14	117:5 126:2	CCSH 168:21
abstain 167:9,10	145:7,12,14	146:11	Administrative
Abstains 60:19	148:4,7	158:11,16	163:15 164:2
abstentions 62:4	151:17,19	adapted 25:19	Administrator 2:8
65:22 68:9 73:20	153:9,19	-	4:4
75:20 99:15	154:15,17 155:3	add 35:4 41:3	-
101:5 114:5	156:8,19,20 157:10 158:2	59:13 69:20 72:5	adopt 22:15,18
127:15 152:15	159:13,21 161:4	76:15 81:11 86:21 87:14	23:1,5,16
accept 20:13 42:18	163:2 165:15	106:9 110:17	24:7,8,13 25:21 27:2,4,5 29:5,6
44:8,17,19,20	166:13,15	116:2 123:22	108:22
45:1 59:2	ACCSH's 134:1	158:6	
61:9,11 65:7		addendums 29:14	adopted 24:2,14
67:12 74:3,21	accurate 169:7		25:18 101:12 117:7 118:4,14
101:14 112:21	accustomed 23:6,7	adding 127:6	,
120:17 124:17	ACGIH 45:10	additional 5:20	adopting 24:17
133:21 138:10	119:7 128:5	6:3 35:5 56:3	adopts 22:18
146:6 147:7,9,14	130:20,21	91:2	advanced 163:5
acceptable 123:15 144:11	acquired 70:3	address 17:15 29:2 62:11 80:22 83:7	advent 78:8
-	acronym 126:21	85:18,19 86:1,10	advisory 1:3 45:12
accepted 94:9	across 42:3,5 48:8	95:17 112:11	128:9 163:17
134:1	51:5 137:5	120:6,11 145:3	Advocacy 6:19
accepting 43:13	acrylonitrile 63:9	162:18	affect 10:16 66:13
44:14 67:17		addressed 92:17	anect 10.10 00.15
		auai (550a /2.1/	

Page 3

	1 ag		
affecting 25:12	37:3,4,8,17,20	128:5 130:19	apartment 106:3
affirmative 111:5	41:15 43:14,15 51:17 64:9 83:6	among 137:2	apologize 38:1
afford 33:6	106:20 113:15	159:6	43:2,5 97:10
afterwards 14:7	119:5 125:15	amount 50:18	appear 43:10 46:8
against 44:5 73:1	143:11 152:2	51:1,6,12,15,21	47:12 53:11
123:8	158:4 164:20	52:2,7	appendix 115:20
agencies	ALTERNATE 5:9	Anacostia 117:4	119:13
142:10,16,18	alternative	analysis 19:3,10	applaud 28:15
150:12	28:3,7,20,22	angle 53:8,9	applicable 25:15
agency 28:16,21	63:22 87:15	158:16	32:16
31:2,10,13 33:14	119:3 138:2 140:15 142:21	announcement	application 117:12
58:8 66:11		13:6 167:15,19	applies 67:4 88:2,9
100:10 136:3	alternatives 109:8	announcing	105:11
140:1 142:4,13 143:6 146:7,11	am 111:16 139:3,5	155:20	apply 35:1 72:3
149:11,20	169:7 170:2	annual 63:15	74:11,15,17
agenda 18:4 29:1	amazed 125:1	ANSI 42:4 74:5	79:7,9 94:9
0	ambiguity 34:22	118:9 119:6	97:12 105:8,16
aggravated 124:7 127:2	35:3,4 46:8	answer 89:20	applying 70:2
	48:22 103:20	110:11 120:2	appreciate 30:18
ago 33:14 85:3 122:11 167:21	107:16	126:19 162:6	56:14
	ambiguous 47:6,12 48:10	answers 120:13	approach 115:18
agreement 43:9,11	54:15,16,17	anticipated 72:4	appropriate 11:12
ahead 37:10 39:18	111:17 128:10	anticipating 157:5	95:7 115:22
47:9 49:3 110:12,16	ambulance 79:17	Anybody 82:21	appropriately
116:20 121:8	81:22 82:1 84:20	anybody's 35:7	25:18
150:5 155:6	91:18 96:13	e e	approval 41:2
156:21 158:14	99:21 100:6,11	anyhow 118:15	107:21
160:20 162:12	ambulances 78:5	anymore 64:14	approve 111:15
ahold 53:19 115:9	80:14 91:17	anyone 26:7 145:4	approved 67:19
aid 84:13,17,19	amend 11:18	160:5,13	110:16
aimed 142:16	123:17	anything 31:7,8	ARBOGAST
Air 5:22 15:21	amended 23:1	49:5,10,16 53:12	170:2,14
Alliance 4:11	29:18 124:2	90:11 147:8 156:17 157:20	area 37:6 53:4
	amending 59:3	158:17 157:20	84:3,4,5 86:9
Allied 2:5	America 2:14 3:5		91:21 94:14 95:1
allow 19:5 64:1,7	15:1	anytime 50:16	112:3 148:22
allowed 160:5	American 7:6 16:5	anyway 55:18 73:13 146:6	areas 52:20
already		/3.13 140.0	78:3,13,22 79:6

Page 4

	1 48	,	
80:10,11,12,20	Associates 2:21	120:10 168:13	73:17 75:17
81:5 83:4 88:14	Association 2:17	Avenue 1:10	77:11 98:9 99:12
94:2	3:15 7:4,6 16:6		101:2 102:6
aren't 34:12,13,14	23:11	avoids 19:2	114:2 123:7
65:3 130:2		aware 40:22	127:12 133:5
143:22	assuming 56:16 68:12 84:18	131:19	134:15 152:12
arguable 49:17		away 10:11 17:11	
U	assumption	46:5 54:6 58:11	<u> </u>
arguably 34:22	47:14,18	119:9 150:19	background 48:2
argue 50:17,22	Attached 137:13	awesome 134:18	backhoe 53:20
Arizona 4:11	attending 16:16	awhile 33:14	bad 160:18
90:13 106:1	attention 117:14	aye 44:21	balance 125:10,12
arms 106:18	audible 41:9 42:16	60:8,9,10,11,13,	
Armstead 5:21	44:2,6 60:18,20	15	bank 53:16
15:21	62:3,5 65:21	61:17,18,19,20,2	bar 38:15 40:17,18
	66:1 67:11	2 65:14,15,18	Barber 2:20,21
arrangement 142:21	68:8,10 72:8	68:4 72:22	15:12 44:20
-	73:19,21	73:2,3,4,16	60:10 61:19
arrangements	75:19,21	75:7,8,9,10,14,1	65:14 68:1 73:3
138:3,6 140:16	99:14,16 101:4,6	6 77:5,6,7,8,9	75:7 77:7
150:19	114:4,6 120:15	98:12,13,14,16,2	98:20,21 100:17
arsenic 10:16 63:9	123:9 127:14,16	1,22 99:5,7,8,11	101:17 102:1
article 122:9	133:7 134:17	100:17,18,19,20,	113:20 123:2
articulate 93:10	152:14,16	21 101:20,21,22	126:11 132:20
	audience 14:8 97:9	102:1,3,5	134:8 138:12,17 152:5 166:22
articulated 33:9	August 1:6 14:3	113:17,18,19,20, 21 114:1	
asbestos	authoritative	123:1,2,3,4,5	barely 149:8
63:16,18,21	122:10	125:1,2,5,4,5	barricades 55:22
aside 33:21		126:9,10,11,12,1	56:10 57:14
aspect 92:20	authority 24:4	3,14 127:11	barrier 51:9 52:8
-	automatically	132:19,20,21,22	bars 88:17 93:3
ASSE 42:4	97:14	133:1,2,4	
assign 86:8	automobiles 90:9	134:8,9,10,11,12	based 48:6 55:5
assigned 137:22	availability	,14	153:7 168:13
assist 105:15	115:1,18	138:16,17,18,20,	basically 47:3
136:10	available 78:4	21,22 139:2	84:15 151:10,14
Assistant 2:4	80:12,22 81:5	152:4,5,6,7,8,9,1	basing 47:17
Associate 2:12	83:5 94:10	166:18,19,20,21	basis 47:16
	116:10,15	167:5,6,7	batch 135:5
Associated 3:5 8:4	117:10	ayes 44:4 60:16	beautiful 68:11
14:22 16:1	119:19,22	62:1 65:19 68:5	Deaumul 08.11
		02.1 05.17 00.5	

Page 5

	1 ug	, 	
become 166:8	166:21	36:11,17 37:3,20	bring 23:13
becomes 31:20	better 55:13 109:9	38:1,9 39:2,13	31:4,12 86:11
	119:20	41:12 42:15	105:22 111:11
38:20 148:22		45:5,21 47:3	135:5 153:1,19
bed 54:3	122:12,15,17	48:6 49:18	154:14 167:20
beginning 168:16	140:6	50:4,10 52:13	broad 29:17 92:18
0 0	beyond 80:21	54:20 62:9 66:8	
believe 16:18 55:7	97:20 98:3	69:2,20 70:20	97:19
62:12 63:4 70:5	140:15 142:17	71:18 72:1 74:6	broader 28:13
89:4 95:8,14	146:7 156:17	75:1 76:3,6	96:13 100:11
99:20 102:9	Biersner 6:4	77:18 80:15	108:3,10 144:21
104:5 114:9		82:13	149:11
136:5,9 137:14	116:22		
143:5 165:21	118:1,2,6,10	88:17,19,22	brother 17:11
beneficial 135:10	bigger 86:5 143:8	89:4,10,17	Brotherhood 2:9
158:9	biggest 143:17	92:6,9 93:13	15:10
	00	102:11 104:19	brother's 17:13
besides 81:10	bikes 36:1	107:20 108:8	
best 83:8,13 86:14	bit 34:22 40:8 43:1	109:13,16,20	Brothers 117:2
92:17 95:14	50:16 51:7 69:20	111:9,20 112:4	brought 99:20
134:19 140:5	116:2 129:14	113:10	100:6 118:18
168:13	135:12 140:6	114:10,13,15	132:6 136:7
	149:12 159:12	116:2,7,17	
bet 163:12		118:22 119:3	Brown 6:8
Bethancourt 4:9	Blacksmiths 2:10	121:20 122:8	62:10,15,19 66:4
15:7,8 44:21	blanket 83:10	125:20 126:1,5	Bruce 6:18 7:17
46:21 47:7	162:8	127:21 128:20	16:13
50:11,12 56:13	blast 53:2	132:2 135:4	hudgo 52.21
60:7 61:17 65:9		Bonneau 156:14	budge 53:21
72:22 75:14 77:5	BLS 26:10		build 150:17
90:5 98:12	Blue 117:2	boom 162:18	Builders 2:10 7:4
99:3,7,8 100:20	BNA 7:18 16:13	boonies 88:4	8:4 16:2
101:21 103:10		bottom 41:13	building 1:8 83:4
105:6 106:19,22	board 42:3,5	55:20 139:6	102:19 103:6
107:15	Bob 6:4 116:22		160:10
111:14,21	118:2	boundaries 93:12	
113:5,18 123:4	Boilermakers 2:9	box 123:18	buildings 11:20
124:20 125:3	15:10	Brazilian 116:3	102:16 104:13
126:13 133:1			built 85:7
134:10	bold 126:1	break 42:5	bunch 42:7
135:17,22	Bolon 6:6 14:15	77:13,14 107:9	
138:22 142:19	16:17 17:17	123:14 125:8	burden 46:4
144:4,17 146:2	20:16,19 21:1	break-strength	burdening 26:4
147:11 148:9,11	24:1 25:4 28:2,6	10:4 41:22 42:4	8
151:9,20 152:7	29:7 30:15 33:20	brief 63:5	burdens 19:6
157:22 164:4		DITCI 05.5	

Page 6

	1 ug)	
Bureau 142:22	carbon 130:3	certain 10:15	Charles 3:18
business 25:11	care 9:12 12:8	certainly 27:4	chat 159:1
31:17,18 32:2,4	38:16 96:4 124:4	30:17 34:6	check 115:1
34:12	125:16,17	83:15,19 84:5	checking 30:13
buy 42:12	126:20 163:12	108:16 168:3	chemical 66:18
	career 17:13	certainty 79:3	131:7
C	carrier 94:8	CERTIFICATE	
C-5515 1:9	carriers 78:18	169:1 170:1	chemicals 11:6
Cabinet 3:20 15:4	93:20	certified 84:12	chest 10:14 62:21
cadmium 10:15	case 9:13 12:9	certify 169:4 170:2	63:13,14,19,22 64:8,11,14 65:3
63:8,10	23:14 35:2 36:1	cetera 27:13	chief 163:19 164:1
California 118:3	39:11 40:6	CFR	
cancer 62:12 64:6	48:16,17 84:22 95:9 107:18	9:6,8,14,17,19	chime 103:13
candidate 18:1	93.9107.18 116:4 124:8,9	10:7,10	choose 21:20
19:21	127:4	11:4,7,9,14,18	Chorus 44:4 60:16
candidates 135:5	case-by 48:16	12:10,13,16	62:1 65:19 68:5
	•	chair 33:15 45:18	73:17 75:17
Cannon 3:3 14:22 35:12,14	cases 48:9 51:13 79:4 149:20	144:8 161:16	77:11 98:9 99:12 101:2 102:6
36:5,7,13,15,22		164:17	114:2 123:7
37:21 39:6 80:18	CAT 64:5	Chairman 62:9	127:12 133:5
81:14 88:12	catalogs 42:7	153:4,7	134:15 152:12
89:8,11 90:1	caught 43:16	chairs 153:3	Chris 6:8 8:3
91:6,13 92:1,5	cause 25:2 39:9,10	161:10 162:7	15:20 16:1
100:14 104:18 123:14 125:13	47:21 51:13,14	challenge 91:20	62:10,15
139:19 140:20	52:5	93:4 158:18	Christopher 6:10
141:7,14,18	caused 40:16	change 20:14	Chuck 6:14 15:3
143:21 144:3,6	caveat 113:1	24:14,15 26:17	16:8 21:3,5,6
153:6,20,22	CB 88:6	42:13 48:4 51:8 52:6 58:3 100:10	22:1 23:12 26:21
156:3 162:13	CDC-NIOSH 4:17	110:18 127:8	29:16 33:12
Cannon's 37:12		131:9 166:7	37:10,11 43:19
capabilities 79:7	cell 78:9,17 79:2 80:6,8 83:20	changed 130:9	58:1,2,7 64:20 81:16 87:22 88:1
89:9	84:4 85:14 86:9	S	99:22 100:2,3,6
Capital 1:18	88:15,22 89:5,9	changes 25:21 59:7,22 127:22	108:13 111:15
capture 98:2	90:13 94:3		117:22 118:12
153:15	cellular 79:1,5	changing 30:1 31:11 45:17	121:8 141:21,22
captured 80:4	94:7 95:16	50:14 112:7	144:9 151:14 154:12 158:2
captures 38:8	cement 103:5	128:3,13	154:12 158:2 165:9
captures 50.0	CEO 3:10	channel 88:8,9	100.7

Page 7

	1 ug	,	
Chuck's 146:18	collect 71:11	18:7,10,12,17	complement 22:8
chunk 51:16 54:2	combination 55:13	31:13 33:16 48:3	complete 170:7
citation 55:18	81:21	62:20 63:1,11 70:22 71:13	completely 63:20
cite 53:7	comes 28:14 37:21	72:11,14 136:9	67:3
cities 86:2	53:16 107:14	137:16 139:11	complex 30:5
	113:7 143:3	144:12 149:6	compliance 37:22
city 86:7	163:1	151:19 153:19	76:16 93:8
clarification 34:2	comfortable 33:18 95:20 117:8	154:14 155:17 157:10 161:11	105:12 109:4
47:2 55:20		163:17 165:12	compliant 107:3
clarifications 56:2	coming 66:9 77:21	167:20	complicated
clarify 9:10 10:9	85:11 114:17 133:8,9	common 137:5	28:4,5 30:14
12:6 19:5 47:4	,		37:6
clarity 12:14 55:8	comma 91:2	commonly 107:22 116:18	composed 153:6
classified 143:22	comment 41:8	Commonwealth	conceivably 23:7
146:9	42:15 44:1 58:15 64:5 83:1 85:16	27:2	89:8
clay 50:21 51:11	92:20 97:9	communication	concept 80:3
cleaning 133:22	103:11	79:14 88:3,5,10	150:14
clear 26:2,4 27:14	112:6,7,11	, , ,	concern 21:8
37:12,13 46:3,16	122:17,18	Communications 93:19	25:10,20 37:5
59:12 104:11	135:11 164:6,13		96:4 105:19
107:18,19 108:1	168:5,6,10	comp 40:2,3,7,19,20	160:5
155:13 164:5	commenting	40:2,5,7,17,20	concerned 21:15
clearer 48:13	141:15	compactors	25:2 50:16 51:7
108:11	comments 20:10	70:13,17,18 71:7	83:3 104:19
clearly 33:9 106:4	21:2 28:1 35:10 46:20 55:10	72:6,15,21	107:16
155:15	64:19 67:10 72:7	companies 79:1	concerning 94:1
close 76:6	104:16 120:14	company 1:18	concerns 39:5 96:1
co 153:2	124:19 129:4,6	120:6	conclusion 122:11
co-chairs 135:17	151:2 164:1,6	compared 122:10	concrete 104:21
144:10 145:17	168:4	129:21	condensing 38:5
code 104:4 156:15	commerce 25:12	compelling 24:21	condolences 17:14
cohesive 50:21	commercial	30:4	
51:11 54:10	103:17,18	compensable	conference 128:5 130:19 163:8,15
coke 63:8	104:13,20 105:5,17	40:1,4,11,18	130:19 163:8,15
	, ,	41:5	confirm 90:3
Cole 6:10 15:20	Commission 93:19	compensation	
collapse 51:18	committee 1:3	40:12	confusing 20:3 45:13 128:11
colleague 64:22	5:14 17:10		43.13 128.11

Page 8

	1 ag	,	
confusion 48:9	78:9 84:15 93:6	144:1,22	counterpart 12:14
connected	103:1,4 105:18	contribute 158:11	counties 93:18
53:12,22 consensus 11:12	107:8 115:7,21 117:2 131:4 138:4	contributed 37:19 40:9,10	country 78:22 89:14
29:3 63:4 69:12,17	140:10,17,19 141:1 143:12	contributes 40:8 contributing	county 79:9,10 82:10 85:20
consequences 31:9	146:12 149:22 158:5	35:22	couple 16:22
consider 27:17 30:1 31:1 34:4 70:22 124:8	consult 63:2	contribution 38:19 39:10,15	18:5,15 23:10 30:21 39:20 43:7
70:22 124:8 127:3 128:14 131:3 140:6	Consultation 3:11 consulting 35:18	control 131:4 137:8 158:21	45:13 74:10,15 108:2 122:9 135:16 149:17
144:12 154:14	contact 5:14 11:15 78:10 79:16	160:16 controlled 158:19	course 17:7 23:10
considerably 40:21	contacting 80:5 81:22 156:14	controlling 136:8 137:4,7,10	33:19 156:18 157:6
consideration 52:6 considered 18:17	contain 57:15	controversial 20:18,19	Court 27:20 169:1,2,15 170:3,5
70:12 71:9 107:10	contents 9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1 74:8	conversation 33:22 55:6	Courtney 6:20 16:10
consistency 12:14 42:13	context 116:8	conversations	cover 20:20 21:18
consistent 20:4,5,8	continue 150:15	164:9	26:6 155:17
25:22 28:16 58:5 72:13	154:3 163:4 Continued 3:2 4:2	Coordinator 2:16 3:19	coverage 25:16 26:2
conspicuously 78:6 79:21 86:20	5:2 6:2,3 7:2 8:2 10:2 11:2 12:2	Co-Owner 4:10 copy 115:5	covered 20:9 27:8 30:2,18 70:21
87:8,10	13:2	copyrighted 119:7	covers 69:18
constantly 81:1 Constitution 1:10	continuing 105:10 137:20 contracting 32:3	corporations 34:13,14	Coyne 2:3 14:12 72:18 76:21 90:16,22 91:5,16
construction 1:3 3:11 4:11,16 5:6,7,11 10:6,17 11:20 14:16,19 17:18 18:9 19:4 26:2,12,15,19	contractor 31:21 85:4 137:7,8 143:17 contractors 3:5,15 8:4 15:1 16:2	correct 12:12 45:16 108:5 116:6 170:7 correcting 45:13 128:13	90:16,22 91:5,16 92:4,8,13 101:15 110:8 112:16 156:22 157:14,18 167:10
28:13,19 30:5,7 41:15 45:7	27:12 30:6 80:22 102:18 136:21	cost 19:8 89:19 costs 19:2,9,12	CPL 108:22 109:2 crack 112:8
63:8,10 66:13,15,16,18,1	137:12 138:4 140:10,11,17	counsel 5:16 14:14	crafted 136:15
9 67:5 74:19	140.10,11,17	21:15 31:22	create 30:8,9 46:7

Page 9

	۱ مع	,e ,	
48:21 85:9	data 71:3 142:22	define 103:13	30:11 82:11
created 46:12	149:9	105:13 106:16	168:20
79:4,5 85:8	date 69:18 76:8	108:6 137:3 143:10	depend 160:19
creature 140:1	110:18 129:14		depending 107:8
crew 83:9 150:2	130:20 131:12 153:1 170:14	defined 104:8 108:11,21	depends 84:9
criteria 19:13	dates 46:3	defining 108:14	deprive 26:7
35:6,8 105:14 123:20	David 150:1	109:12 140:2	Deputy 4:15
critters 85:9	day 27:13 140:11	143:12	desert 85:3
cross 123:19	143:19 144:22	definitely 114:17 139:12	deserve 26:18
cross-reference	Dayton 6:12 14:18	definition 9:16	DESIGNATED
11:6	17:20 38:3	20:6 23:2 25:20	5:3,9
cross-references	DBI/SALA 120:9	27:3,6,10,13,14,	determination 9:13 12:9 19:11
11:11	DC 1:11	22 29:5 30:3,12 31:21 34:19	
cupful 51:21	deal 78:15 117:9	103:15,16	determine 35:9 56:9 94:22
cupfuls 51:16	133:9 161:9	104:10 105:4	determines 124:5
curing 103:5	dealing 132:7	106:7,11 107:4,5 108:2,17	126:22
current 28:10 30:5	deals 41:18 70:12	108.2,17	Determining
31:21 36:22	Dean 5:4 14:13 87:13 119:17,18	110:7,18	125:18
69:22 103:15 116:8 122:12	139:18,19,20	111:3,12	developed 17:6,8
130:21	140:9 141:8	113:2,12 135:7 136:11 140:7	devised 72:3
currently 29:4	142:11	141:16,17	DFO 14:13
30:2,18 34:21	debate 43:8	142:3,9	dialogue 105:10
69:19 78:3 94:19	DEBORAH	145:8,13,20	157:1
106:2,8 116:16 121:15 124:18	170:2,14	definitions 9:5,7	difference
148:14	December 19:14	19:22 20:1,4,5,8 21:7,16 28:10	117:18,19
cut 163:9	109:17,20	30:10,13 31:11	differences 136:18
	decide 33:19 86:4 161:20 162:7	33:17 104:3	different 25:19
D	decided 114:22	delegation 137:1	28:12,19 52:21 63:19 106:12
D.C 117:3	160:4	deleted 162:17	107:13 118:8
Damon 161:18	decision 54:18	Delta 52:19	140:1 149:19
Dang 98:20	decompression	demonstrate 40:13	150:13 158:16 160:22
Daniel 17:10	12:4 18:9 77:20	denote 60:14	differentiate
Darden 136:18	114:12,13,21	61:22	122:14
darn 90:9	115:2,4 120:18 121:15	department 1:1 3:21 5:12,18,22	differentiation

Page 10

	1 46	C 10	
106:6	92:22 106:8	Donald 3:9	81:11,15 86:18
difficult 91:20,21	125:1,7 130:16	done 18:16 22:9	87:8 89:13 90:19
106:17 115:12	132:5 136:2	39:22 52:15 61:2	93:15 94:7,12,20
122:15 149:1	148:8 149:4	88:7 107:6	95:6,10,13
	151:13 154:21	143:11 156:5	97:3,20 98:1
dig 50:16,20 51:2	158:7 160:17	157:20 158:11	102:10,13
52:22	164:18	160:3 162:14,21	123:13 126:18
digging 53:4 117:3	discussions 63:3	163:10 166:9	128:15 134:21
digital 10:19 63:21	65:2 136:1	doubt 35:7 105:9	edge 10:12 46:5
64:1,3 169:15	150:12,15 159:6		48:14 49:20
direct 70:9 74:18	dismissing 36:2	Doug 23:9	editing 58:21
129:1	dispatcher 89:21	Dr 167:19	editorial
directed 92:7,11	disposed 43:16	driving 56:12	128:1,3,14
direction 48:5	distinguished	dry 51:3	Education 3:11
55:4 58:10 65:5	64:22	during 63:15	effect 66:15,16
169:6		123:13 125:7	70:4
directive 37:22	disturb 50:22	158:19 159:22	effective 76:8
105:12 109:4	DOC 6:7,13	duties 39:1	79:16 85:22
	7:10,12 69:3		87:14,15,16,19
directly 27:21	docket 93:20	dwelling 104:3,6,8 107:11 108:7	90:19 149:14
Director 2:4,12	document 20:13	107.11 108.7	
3:4,7,14	24:17	,	effects 130:11
4:10,15,17	136:14,15,17	dwellings 11:21	efforts 27:17 72:14
5:5,11	, ,		either 26:12 62:22
Directorate 5:7,11	Don 44:7,22 48:1	E	79:9,21 89:5
6:9 7:16	59:16 60:2,9	earlier 70:7	146:5 150:1
14:16,19 17:18	61:15,18 65:15 67:19 73:7,8	85:17,22 160:4	165:21
62:10,16,18	75:8 77:6 83:2	Earthmoving	elated 135:2
dirt 46:5 50:18	87:18 95:18	69:13	Electrical 3:15
51:21 54:12	98:7,13 100:18	easier 20:14	
disagree 152:13	101:20	easily 165:18	electronically
discover 39:21	103:13,22 104:2	·	154:16 166:14
discuss 64:4	105:12	east 52:22	element 160:15
139:11 143:18	106:9,12,14	Eastern 88:7	eliminating
	107:7,16	echo 81:18	45:11,12
discussed 23:13	110:11,14 112:9	Eckerson 6:12	else 36:21 86:7
40:6 116:11	113:3,17 123:1 125:4 126:10	14:18 17:20	99:21 106:20
discussing 44:1	132:21 134:9	34:17 38:4,21	119:11 147:8
96:10 146:3	152:21 154.9	39:3 41:19 45:22	
discussion 13:4	152:0 157:15	49:13 78:1	e-mail 17:14
41:4 59:18 64:7	163:7,11 167:2,4	80:8,11,16	155:5,7,22
1 1 1 0 / 1 0 0 1 . /	105.7,11 107.2,4	00.0,11,10	

Page 11

	1 ag	C 11	
e-mailing 156:17	20:2 21:16 23:2	32:19 48:9	162:20
emergence 150:3	25:11	engage 34:4	essence 127:6
emergency	27:3,6,7,16	158:18	142:21
11:15,16	31:17 32:6 33:4	engaged 25:11	essentially 24:2
78:10,19	34:11 35:14	31:17 34:11	38:4,8 79:13
79:11,15 80:5	37:14 46:9 48:15		r
82:7,9,13	53:7,13,18,19	ensure 9:11 12:7	established 32:1
87:1,11,17 88:8	54:5 58:18 66:20	79:16 102:18	establishing
90:20 92:11,18	67:5,6 79:15,20 81:21 84:3,7	entertain 42:17	136:13
97:19 100:8	88:3 93:5 96:3	61:9 65:7 67:12	et 27:13
emissions 63:9	118:20 129:13	97:8 112:21	
	136:12 137:10	120:16	evaluate 38:17
emphasis 142:9	142:7,14 158:15	entertaining 61:12	evaluation 17:5
empirical 48:7		76:13	48:16 62:22
employed	employer-	entire 20:13 79:9	event 36:9,18
27:15,21 138:2	employee 27:18		events 38:12
169:8	28:14 138:8 143:14	entirely 121:10	
amplayee 2.2 0.5		environment	eventually 136:3
employee 2:2 9:5 14:5,12,20	employers 19:7	38:13	everybody 27:14
15:2,10,13	26:2,16 34:12	equipment 10:11	42:8 107:19
19:7,22 20:2	39:21 46:4 47:22	47:4 48:18,19	112:2,5 131:19
21:16	57:12 63:13	49:15 70:1,3,16	140:21,22
27:3,6,11,15	64:10 66:16	71:5	141:10 168:10
30:10,12,13,18	69:10 74:19	76:2,7,11,16	everybody's 18:20
32:2 36:10 40:12	97:1,18 107:1	103:6 120:9	everyone 14:2
51:14 52:5 53:17	136:8,20	equivalent 56:1,11	16:15 20:8 44:13
55:1 91:3,9,12	137:2,4,20 138:1	57:16	94:8 126:8
92:10,15,17	employer's 36:9		128:22 154:22
153:5	Employers 131:2	Eric 6:16 16:4	157:1,21
	employment 32:3	Erickson 2:7 15:9	,
employees 10:17 23:8 25:12 26:6	38:20	44:18 65:13	everything 22:13
27:17 30:6		67:22 73:4 75:9	everywhere 89:18
47:16,20 50:1	EMS 82:2,4 85:19	77:8 98:14 99:19	evidence
52:1 54:13 57:4	enable 86:22 87:11	100:4,19 101:22	40:5,14,15 65:2
63:14 85:12	90:20	113:19 123:3	117:10 130:10
136:21	encourage 117:11	126:12 132:22	168:14
137:6,9,19,22	150:22	134:12 139:2	evolution 95:11
138:2,5		152:8 153:7	
employee's 39:1	encouraged 131:2	166:20	exact 24:10 78:20
	Energy 7:6 16:6	errors 45:8,14	79:3,7,19 80:2
employer 2:19 9:6,10 12:6	enforce 37:13	128:3,12,14	exactly 18:3 36:11
14:10 15:1 19:22	enforcement 27:17	especially 51:3	39:4 59:10 76:13
14.10 13.1 19.22		55:5 70:16	140:11,20

Page 12

	i ug		
example 105:22	70:1,2 142:12	exposures	57:7,15
107:8	exists 128:16	38:12,22 131:4	familiar 52:20
-	, ,	$38:12,22 \ 131:4$ expressing 39:5 extension 106:2 extensively 78:14 extent 49:15 extra 49:6,11,14,16 extremely 66:19 117:8 \overline{F} f)(2 130:15 FACA 155:17 157:6 face 47:21 49:7,19 50:5,6,7,9,19 51:2,5,9 54:9,10 55:22 56:11 57:7,15 112:2 face-to-face 159:10 165:16 fact 27:16 40:2 42:6 56:16 110:19 131:10 132:11 146:9 160:8 163:20 Factor 136:18	ŕ
102:21	explicitly 63:21	factors 35:22	FCC 78:15
exempted 79:10,19 89:14 exemption 79:8 94:2 103:9 exempts 93:20 Exhibit 17:4,6 exist 64:9 115:11 existing 30:3 35:17 55:3 57:18	132:16 explore 26:3 exploring 163:16 exposing 137:4 exposure 36:8 39:16 40:9 45:6,11 124:8 127:3 128:7,11 129:9 130:21	failed 153:15 fair 143:16 fall 41:21 42:2 47:21 51:6,15,20 52:3 53:16 54:1 104:7 105:14 120:9 falling 47:21 50:2 52:9 56:11	79:4,13,19 89:13,16 93:13 94:1,5,9,13,18,2 1 feasibility 19:10 144:15 feasible 168:13 federal 4:13 5:3,9 22:15 25:15

Page 13

	1 ag	e 19	
26:13 32:18 41:1,2 93:19 155:20 Federal-State 3:19	96:17 115:3 124:3 126:2,17 127:9 136:5 138:11 139:19	format 128:16 forward 33:17 63:3 109:11 110:6 112:22	15:1 20:6 26:1 27:2 28:11,15 107:21 128:16 137:8,11 158:20
feedback 31:12 34:5 158:21	147:1 159:14,21 161:2,8,9 fiscal 109:21 110:1	120:20 133:22 136:4 168:4 fourth 18:22	generally 19:8 159:11 generation 70:5
feel 33:16 144:20 feeling 144:20 162:2	five 63:7 166:2 fix 41:14 87:4 89:6	frame 106:4 framing 104:12	160:4 George's 120:3,5
feels 117:8 felt 31:11 FEMALE 73:7	fixed 23:20 41:15 fixes 89:6 flexibility 150:19	Frances 1:8 fraught 37:6	Georgia 50:21 German 115:8 119:15
75:4 99:2 141:3 153:21 167:2	164:16 Flight 85:14	French 115:6 116:18 117:7,16,18,20 118:4,14 119:19	getting 92:11 115:9 165:10,11 Gillen 4:14 14:11
fences 30:16 figure 34:3 159:18 file 33:1	floor 132:18 floors 102:16 104:21	120:3,20 Friday 1:6	26:9 29:20 30:20 36:4,6 65:1 72:16 75:3 82:19
fill 46:5,11 47:4 54:2	flowchart 38:6 focus 137:21 143:7	front 149:19 full 17:22 18:22 43:9 128:7	114:19 125:7,21 126:4,6 129:5 132:1,9,15 134:6
film 10:20 final 168:11	focused 19:4 folks 14:7,8 23:10 82:22 85:19 93:6	fully 26:1 95:4 149:10	138:14,18 143:16 144:2,5 150:9 155:5,7
financial 169:9 finding 46:16 92:10	143:22 151:7 162:14 163:5	fun 168:15 Fund 2:13 7:20 14:5 15:17	159:9 161:12 164:3 165:3
fine 108:6 129:7 139:8 155:14 156:1	foot 102:17 footnote 45:14 footnotes 128:2,12	fundamental 26:20	given 42:2 128:17 gives 38:10 131:5 giving 24:3 32:9
fingertips 104:11 finished 111:10	Force 5:22 15:21 foregoing 169:3	funny 58:20 furthering 136:11	79:7 81:9 85:5 115:21
fire 82:11 firewalls 107:9	foregoing/ attached 170:6	future 25:3 110:18 117:13	glasses 165:11 Glenn 2:21 goalies 159:2
firms 138:1 140:15 first 14:5 18:6 19:21 35:15 56:5	forestation 78:14 forested 78:22 forever 91:18	G gather 159:2 163:21 164:1	gone 135:9 167:3,4 Gordone 120:3,5
57:17 59:10,13,21 84:12,17,19	Forgers 2:10 form 93:14	geez 141:18 general 3:5 9:18 10:6 11:6 12:15	gotten 34:6 government 23:8

Page 14

	1 46	-	
26:13 32:1 128:6	58:20 84:17,21	harm 33:8,10	9:12 10:15 12:8
	85:21 87:12	ŕ	14:4 15:17 16:14
Governmental	92:10 93:4,22	Harvey 6:14 16:8	38:16 124:4
130:20	105:6,21 106:6	hate 55:12 105:22	125:16 126:20
governments 23:4	108:2 111:15	159:16	130:11 131:1
24:18	113:1 120:19	hats 85:6,10	168:21
government's	132:4 135:16	ŕ	
31:18	139:6 143:11,21	haven't 51:10 61:2	hear 22:1,2 37:12
	145:8 148:18	having 27:9 39:12	92:21 97:9 98:17
GPS 81:19 85:6	151:14 157:11	79:11 84:20 86:1	103:22 149:5 150:7 151:8
89:18	164:11	106:1 131:7	
GPSs 90:7,9		150:12,15 160:7	157:11
grabs 53:19	guests 62:8	164:12	159:4,14,20
0	guidance 6:9 7:16	Hawkins 4:3	heard 33:20 55:6
Grail 129:1	17:19	21:8,12	73:13 81:7
grant 22:20	62:11,16,18	22:1,3,7,12 24:6	153:8,11,14
granted 28:11	136:14,16	29:10,16	158:17
8	137:15 150:4	39:17,19 44:16	hearing 9:13 12:9
gravel 52:3	guiding 125:16	47:8,10	30:19 34:20 35:9
gray 148:22	guys 83:3 100:22	49:2,4,21	36:10 37:18
great 66:16 71:11	115:3 135:1	50:6,15 52:15	39:10 40:9,10
117:9 131:20	150:8 163:12	55:11 56:21	77:21 108:1,4
135:13 144:18	130.8 103.12	57:21 58:5	124:6 127:1
153:15		59:9,20 60:11	149:4 157:2
	H	61:20 65:16 68:2	168:6
greater 136:10	half 35:19 141:9	73:2 75:10 77:9	heavier 103:6
group 12:18,20	halls 149:18	83:14 84:14	
29:3 33:7	handheld 89:18	85:16 86:17 87:2	heavy 78:13
135:15,18,19	90:7,10	98:16,17 100:21	105:1,20
136:16 138:10	,	102:2,3 113:21	held 63:3 154:16
139:4 140:5	handle 20:12	123:5 126:14	156:12 157:13
145:19	120:11 150:2	133:2 134:11	160:10 166:14
152:19,22	handout 34:18	151:3 152:9	helicopter
153:2,5,6,10,15	handouts 16:19	166:19	85:11,14,15
154:15 155:1,3		hazard 46:12,16	· ·
157:2,9,12,20	hands 158:22	47:1,5,13,14,21	hello 22:3
159:2,5 160:1,19	happen 58:21 91:7	48:11,21 50:2	help 23:12,13 33:8
161:3,6,9,10,16,	130:11	51:14 52:1,5,6	110:2 156:4
20 166:13	happens 52:16	54:7,8,12,17	helped 135:12
groups	95:15	55:5,16,18	-
150:11,13,15		56:6,7,9,16 57:6	Helpers 2:10
guess 20:15 24:22	happy 150:6	hazardous 11:5	helpful 29:22
33:5,8,14 40:14	hard 29:21		57:17 151:15
47:11 49:9 50:15	85:6,10	health 1:2,3 2:13	160:1
		3:4 4:5,16 7:20	

Page 15

	<u> </u>		
hereby 169:3	house 86:8	I'm 14:3,5,15	108:15
170:2	houses 103:9	16:8,13	implied 47:1 55:19
he's 16:17 21:11	housing 113:2	17:2,11,17,18	148:16,21 155:9
22:9 69:3	e e	21:12 22:7 24:1 25:8 32:11 36:5	implies 155:10
hexane 130:5	huge 91:1	40:22 43:16,17	important
Hey 151:1	hundred 53:15	44:11,12,13	94:17,21 105:19
Hi 14:20 22:6	hunting 36:1	45:18 49:2 50:15	159:1 168:9
62:15	hurt 27:16	51:15,16 55:3	imposed 137:11
high 36:8	Hydrogen 130:7	58:17,18,21,22	Improvement
0	Hygienists 128:6	59:1,4 60:4,13 61:11 62:15,17	17:21 18:21
highlighted 35:20 56:3 125:14	130:20	65:10 68:12	Inc 2:21 3:8
		71:10 73:15	
highly 11:5 117:9,15 129:8	I	74:20 75:12	incidents 91:13
157:16	idea 18:8 46:14	77:12 81:22 82:5	include 23:3 25:13
	87:3 131:17	83:2,17 84:18	32:14 56:17 71:7 72:15,20 96:21
highway 81:1,7	ideas 18:1 19:15	89:11 91:15 92:2 93:7 95:19 96:5	98:3 104:12
hobbies 36:1	identical 28:11	93.793.1990.3 99:9 100:4	115:19 123:21
hold 125:5 159:7	identified 119:22	101:13 102:13	138:3 141:9
holdover 41:21		104:6,18,19	148:13 149:6
45:10	identifiers 96:21	106:17 107:18	156:18 158:9
Holy 128:22	identify 93:6	108:4,5,9,10	included 24:12
home 7:4 17:15	identifying 35:15	109:7 110:2	61:4 62:21 64:2
105:5 107:13	81:2,12 86:22	111:21 114:20 116:22 122:5	90:8 119:12
135:7	87:10 90:20	125:1 126:7	140:18 143:1
homes 85:9 105:2	96:10,22 97:12,18	133:20 135:1	includes 27:14
108:1	, ,	139:12,14	142:13 168:1
homogenous 53:3	ignoring 35:22	141:7,15,20	including 32:8
honest 131:5	III 41:16	144:9 145:11,21	64:11 71:21
hope 77:16 111:9	I'll 18:5 29:11	147:19 149:16,22	115:16 133:11 134:3
114:11 149:5	35:18 65:11	150:1,6 151:22	
hoping 122:13	67:14 71:8 72:10 100:14 102:14	150:1,0 151:22	inclusive 103:17 105:5,7 112:1
10	112:4 115:3	156:3 160:19,21	136:6,19 137:18
hospitals 78:5 80:14	131:16 133:17	161:14,20,22	140:14 165:16
	139:15 140:8	163:9 164:17	inconsistency 30:9
host 136:8,12,20	148:20 161:20	165:10	increase 95:16
138:1 142:6,14	illness 115:4	images 64:2	137:1
hour 163:20	illnesses 38:12	imagine 91:20	indeed 24:15
hours 163:21	ILO 64:2	94:13,15	
		implications	indemnity 137:11

Page 16

	1 46		
independent 27:12	143:1	integrate 136:17	94:19 150:18
30:6 136:21	initiative	153:11	ISO 69:13 70:2,4,9
138:4 140:10,17	136:4,5,22	intend 63:7 68:13	71:5 74:5
143:17,22	137:15,18,22	intending 121:10	76:16,17
144:1,22	139:21,22	0	issue 27:7 28:3
independently	140:14 142:12	intent 20:7 26:7 30:15 33:8	30:12 31:1 40:6
31:2	144:13 145:22	30.13 33.8 102:17 164:5	41:13 49:15
indicated 31:22	148:6 149:8		55:18 63:2,5
156:18	151:18	intention 34:6	70:11 71:17
indicating 30:22	injured 91:4,5	interest 63:11	77:21 78:15,16
individual 131:11	injuries 38:11	156:18 169:10	85:7 94:16 97:21 99:20 103:3,8
Industrial 128:6	injury 40:11	interested 103:22	105:9,22 118:19
130:20	inorganic 10:16	129:13 155:22 160:6 168:3	120:1,7 143:19
industries 28:12	63:9		145:1 146:14
industry 9:18 10:6	in-person 165:13	interesting 92:21	150:3,18,20
11:7 12:15 19:4	input 153:14,16,18	interim 117:5,7	161:2,5,6,8
20:6 26:1 27:3	157:3,12	153:12	162:1,11
28:12,15 84:15	159:4,15,17,22	International	issued 117:1,5
103:18 105:15	160:15	2:5,9 3:8 15:10	167:20
115:21 116:16	162:3,8,9,16	internet 66:11	issues 25:3 27:11
128:16 136:7,11	168:4	interpret 35:21	30:8 39:12 103:5
137:5 143:7,12	insert 114:18	111:18	106:1 132:6
146:12 148:14	inserted 35:20	interrupt 160:22	136:6 160:22 163:16
infancy 149:8,9	inserting 109:11	163:8	issuing 117:6,12
inform 161:10,18	Inside 6:11 15:20	intervals 57:14	item 41:17 64:8
information 11:15	insofar 136:7	introduce 14:6	66:9
16:18,19 32:9 48:2 69:9,18	install 57:13	21:5 35:13	items 18:4,15 19:8
74:4,22 78:11		Introduction 17:7	162:18
79:7,11,17,20	instance 28:17 79:10 103:21	invitation 156:10	it's 18:3 21:19
81:12 86:22	instances 79:18,21	invite 156:7	24:3,9,15,18
87:10 90:20 96:11 97:1,12,18	,	involved 150:13	27:8,22 29:17,21
99:18 118:14	instantly 89:21	158:3 163:5	30:14 32:1
153:8,13 156:16	instead 42:1,11	164:9,11 165:17	34:17,18 35:22 36:9,12,16
159:2	63:19 155:2	Iron 2:9	37:1,3,6,7,8,14,1
informed	Institute 2:6	irrespective	9,20 38:15
155:4,5,7	instructors 153:16	137:10	41:2,5 45:10
initial 62:22	Insurance 7:8	IRS 30:11 136:17	46:22 47:12 48:6
63:12,15 64:15	16:11		49:6,18,19
,		isn't 18:7 36:19	51:11,13,14,21

Capital Reporting Company U.S. Department of Labor ACCSH Meeting 08-23-2013

Page 17

	Fag		
52:2	44:21 46:21	34:9,15 35:10,13	113:9,15,22
53:3,13,16,22	50:12 56:13 60:7	37:8,10 39:18	114:3,5,7,12,14,
54:1,4,8,11	61:17 65:9 72:22	41:7,10 42:17,20	16 116:16,21
55:16 56:9,16	75:13,14 77:5	43:1,6,15,19,22	117:17
58:20 59:10	90:5 99:3,4,7	44:3,5,11,22	118:5,8,12
66:19 67:4 70:15	100:20 101:21	45:2,18 46:20	119:5,16
72:4 78:9	103:10 105:5	47:9 49:1,3	120:13,16
79:16,18 80:19	111:14 113:6,18	54:21 55:9 56:20	121:2,6,8,19,21
82:9 84:2 85:22	123:4 124:21	57:19 58:1,10	122:3,6,19,22
86:1 87:15 93:10	125:3 126:13	59:1,15,18	123:6,8,10
94:20 95:9 97:13	133:1 134:10	60:6,12,17,19,21	124:11,15,19,22
102:11 103:4	135:16,17,22	61:3,7,14,16,21	125:5 126:7,15
104:11 105:18	138:22 142:19	62:2,4,6 64:19	127:10,13,15,17
106:5,20 107:6	144:17 146:2,17	65:7,10,12,17,20	128:17 129:3
109:21 111:17	147:21 151:10	,22 66:2,6	131:15,21
113:8 114:19	152:7 157:22	67:9,12,15,21	132:4,13,17
115:12 117:5	164:4 166:21	68:3,6,9,11,16,1	133:3,6,8,15,20
118:10 121:14		8,20 69:1	134:3,5,7,13,16,
125:9 126:2,3,21	jerking 53:21	72:7,9,17,19	18,22 135:13
128:5 130:1,6	Jerry 3:13 15:2	73:1,5,8,13,15,1	138:9,13,15,19
131:9,17	33:3,4 43:20	8,20,22 74:20	139:1,3,12,16
135:10,12 139:5	49:1 54:22	75:2,5,11,15,18,	140:8
142:21 145:19	55:1,9,12	20,22 76:4,12,22	141:6,17,19,21
150:20 159:1	58:17,18 82:16	77:2,10,12,15,22	142:8,15
160:18 162:17	92:14,15 104:17	80:6,10,17 81:16	143:10,19
165:12	124:11 152:21	82:2,4,16,18,22	144:9,19 145:11
IV 9:5,10,16	153:1,4 156:5	85:2 86:16	147:1,13,15,17,2
10:4,9,14,19	158:14,15	87:7,20,22 88:11	1 148:2,8,20
11:4,9,14,18	Jim 5:10 13:6 16:7	89:2 90:4 91:8	149:16 151:1,5
12:6,12 17:21	160:4 161:18	92:14	152:1,10,13,15,1
18:1	167:16 168:16	95:8,12,18,22	7,20
I've 43:12 48:1	job 22:9 63:15	96:5,17,20	154:1,4,7,10,12,
81:7 91:1,16	86:5 91:1	97:4,8	19,21 155:6,13
93:7 113:15	jobs 138:2	98:3,6,8,11,15,1	156:1,21 158:12
115:9 128:21	0	7,22	159:7 160:21
135:7 141:7	jobsite 91:17,19	99:4,9,13,15,17	161:13 163:10
162:4,5 163:8	joining 163:11	100:8,13,15,22	164:15,22
164:9	Jones 2:11 14:2,4	101:3,5,7,13,16,	165:4,9,21
	15:6,14 16:15	18 102:4,7 104:15 105:3	166:4,10,17 167:1,4,8,12,14
J	17:2 20:11,18,22	104.13 105.3	167.1,4,8,12,14
j)(1 61:5,6	21:2,5,11,13	109:2,7,15,18	,
	22:2,11 23:21	110:1,5,9,13,20	Jr 3:6
j)(2 60:22	25:7 26:21 28:1	111:1,5	jump 108:13
Jeremy 4:9 15:6,7	29:13,19 33:3	112:12,18	135:20
	,	112.12,10	

Page 18

	0	- 10	
jurisdiction 24:18,19 26:5,8	142:22 149:19 168:20	136:14,18,19 137:11,14,15	least 40:1 70:5,6 90:12 106:19
jurisdictional 24:3 25:3 30:16	Laboratory 69:14	lanyard 42:8,10	107:22 113:11 116:13 129:12
	laborers 2:13 7:20 14:4 15:16 27:13	lanyards 10:5 41:18	leave 28:18 29:17 34:22 53:14
K Kalinowski 23:9	140:11 143:19 144:22	large 53:5,11 106:3 160:19	112:10 141:15 164:16,17
Kampert 6:16 16:4	Labor-OSHA 5:12	larger 103:4,5	leaving 33:18
Kentucky 3:20 15:4 22:14 27:2	laid 53:8	last 17:11 18:17 38:10 63:3,10	125:15 legal 25:2
88:7 118:14	landline 80:1,9,15 84:6,7	72:10 73:22 76:1 78:16 90:16,17	length 40:6
Kevin 3:3 14:22 35:11,13,14	landlines 78:7	102:3,10,11,13,1 4 112:8 114:22	lengthy 19:3 20:2,3
80:17 88:11 104:17 139:18 140:8 141:6	language 9:18 23:17 24:2,7,8,21	118:18 119:15 135:5 150:4 late 39:20	less 52:13 92:20 103:21 143:4 156:9
149:21 153:6 156:2,3 159:7	25:10,14,17,22 26:1 28:3,7	later 26:3 160:15	lets 37:19
160:21 162:12 164:11 165:18	29:14,17,18,21 31:20 32:6,7,13,15,20	latitude 79:21 80:21 81:10,18	let's 24:14 43:8 45:4 48:5 52:2
Kevin's 89:20	33:7 34:3 35:5	83:5 85:6,17,20 86:12,14,20 87:9	60:21 62:7 96:17 112:10 113:16
key 30:10 kicked 81:4	37:4 38:10 39:7 45:8,12,13,16	90:12 92:21 93:2 97:20	125:5 127:18 138:9 139:17
kill 53:17	47:5,11 48:10,12,22	Laughter 22:5 36:14 43:4 57:22	151:5 159:20
killed 26:13 knew 161:4	54:15 55:2,3,7,8,14,15,	73:12,14 88:18	letting 37:14 156:11
Kristi 2:20 15:12	19 56:8,17,18 58:19 59:6,21	102:12 110:4 128:19 132:3	level 139:22 liaisons 160:11
44:20 60:10,13 61:19 68:1 73:3 75:7 98:15,18,21 100:17 101:18	68:22 69:12 71:22 74:3 76:5,12 80:3 81:12 85:21 90:8	133:16,19 168:17 Laura 77:2 Laurie 2:15 14:20	licensed 9:11 12:7 38:16 124:4 126:20
102:1,2 113:20 123:2 126:11	91:2 93:9 95:2,3,7,13,17	131:16 139:16 152:1	life 85:14 86:7 lifelines 10:5 41:18
132:20 134:8 152:5 166:22	96:9,18 111:19 123:19,21,22	law 40:3 41:2	light 103:17,18
L	124:3,17 125:8 128:2,8,11	laws 40:20 leads 55:6	104:12,20 105:5,17
labor 1:1 3:20 5:18 15:4 30:11	129:6,19 132:11 134:2,3	leap 48:5	lightweight 104:12

Page 19

loaders 70:13,19	66:9	majority 103:2
71:7,12,14	lose 23:7 26:5	maker 147:6
12:4,15,20 loads 102:18		MALE 42:22 61:1 67:20 82:7,15,20
local 23:3,8 24:18	34:20 35:9 36:10	88:20 91:4 96:19
		99:5 109:21
locate 87:1,11 89:1 90:21 91:9,10	124:6 127:1	110:10 121:1,5 122:1 124:16
locating 91:19	lost 154:1 167:18	125:19 133:12,17
location 78:20	21:17,18,19	138:21 139:10 141:2,5 142:2
94:10 96:21	54:1 69:8,11	145:10 147:4 167:6,7
97:12,15 138:7 locations 11:16	159:15 165:22	management 11:5 66:10,14 67:1
81:3 89:14	168:2	manner 23:2,5
locator 89:3,9	lots 83:20 130:3	146:10,13
log 36:16 39:22	loud 37:12,13	manufactured
	love 164:16	76:7 manufacturer
	low 38:15 77:19	66:18 71:3 74:4
login 84:10,11	lower 40:18	manufacturers
London 153:15	ŕ	69:10 74:12,16,17
long 19:7 25:1	U	maps 90:11
		mark 17:3
0	<u>M</u>	markers 81:6
83:5 85:5,17,20 86:12,14,21 87:9 90:12 93:1 97:20 loose 10:10 46:4,11,17 47:1,4,14,20,22 49:6,13,16,19 50:1,18 51:1,12 52:7 53:16,22 54:9 55:21 56:10	 machinery 69:13 102:19 Maddux 5:10 13:6 16:7 155:16 167:16,17 main 17:20 69:3 103:1 maintain 64:10 maintains 35:16 89:13 	Marrero 3:6 14:10 65:11 67:14 135:17,22 141:12 142:19 143:13,20 144:16 146:16,20,22 147:9 148:4,10 151:16,22 marriage 56:7 Marud 6:20 16:10
	71:7,12,14 72:4,15,20 loads 102:18 local 23:3,8 24:18 26:13 88:7 locate 87:1,11 89:1 90:21 91:9,10 locating 91:19 92:16 location 78:20 79:3,18,19 80:2 94:10 96:21 97:12,15 138:7 locations 11:16 81:3 89:14 locator 89:3,9 log 36:16 39:22 40:10,17 41:4 124:1,10 127:5 logging 83:18 login 84:10,11 London 153:15 long 19:7 25:1 94:2 108:6 longer 163:22 longitude 79:22 80:21 81:10,19 83:5 85:5,17,20 86:12,14,21 87:9 90:12 93:1 97:20 loose 10:10 46:4,11,17 47:1,4,14,20,22 49:6,13,16,19 50:1,18 51:1,12 52:7 53:16,22	71:7,12,14 $72:4,15,20$ lose $23:7 26:5$ $135:15$ loads $102:18$ lose $23:7 26:5$ $135:15$ local $23:3,8 24:18$ $26:13 88:7$ loss $9:13 12:9$ $34:20 35:9 36:10$ $37:18 39:10$ locate $87:1,11 89:1$ $90:21 91:9,10$ loss $9:13 12:9$ $40:9,10 77:21$ $124:6 127:1$ locating $91:19$ $92:16$ lost $154:1 167:18$ $100 4:21$ $97:12,15 138:7$ location $78:20$ $79:3,18,19 80:2$ $94:10 96:21$ $97:12,15 138:7$ lost $154:1 167:18$ $101 96:21$ $97:12,15 138:7$ locations $11:16$ $81:3 89:14$ lost $154:1 167:18$ $163:22$ locator $89:3,9$ lots $83:20 130:3$ log $36:16 39:22$ $40:10,17 41:4$ $124:1,10 127:5$ lots $83:20 130:3$ log $36:16 39:22$ $40:10,17 41:4$ $124:1,10 127:5$ lots $83:20 130:3$ log $19:7 25:1$ $94:2 108:6$ lower $40:18$ $130:7,22$ long $19:7 25:1$ $94:2 108:6$ Madux $5:10 13:6$ $16.7 155:16$ loose $10:10$ $46:4,11,17$ $47:1,4,14,20,22$ $49:6,13,16,19$ $50:1,18 51:1,12$ $52:7 53:16,22$ $54:9 55:21 56:10$ maintain $64:10$ maintains $35:16$ $80:12$

Page 20

	rag	e _e	
match 28:11	119:18 139:20	18:8 19:17 28:22	message 131:5
material 46:18	140:13 141:1	29:8 31:4,13	method 86:1,11
49:14 54:10	142:11	34:5 63:3	,
55:21,22 56:10	McKenzie 5:4	109:9,16 111:11	methods 19:6 56:1
57:13,15 105:18	14:13 87:13	114:22 118:19	103:19
,	119:18 139:20	128:9 144:14	mic 145:10 158:22
materials 10:11	142:11 156:15	145:15 148:7	Michael 7:9 16:9
46:5 48:14		151:19 153:19	
102:19 104:22	mean 24:21 28:2,9	155:1,3,9,10,11,	Michaels 150:1
Matt 4:14 14:11	31:14 34:11 36:4,6 38:4 41:5	20 156:12 157:6	167:19
26:9 29:20 82:18	48:7 49:19	160:6,9,14	Michele 7:5 16:5
131:20 150:7	51:2,8 76:6	161:10,17,20	Michigan 3:12
151:4 156:7	83:16 89:7 90:8	163:20 168:21	_
159:8	91:18 92:1,5,7	meetings 136:16	Microphone 116:21
matter 27:1 40:2	93:4,6 97:21	154:15 155:18	
52:9 55:19	105:1,11	157:12 158:4,19	mid-discussion
Matuga 7:3	106:15,22	159:11 160:1,16	127:19
C	117:12 119:3	161:3,7 163:17	middle 52:22
maturing 149:9	121:20 130:12	165:13,15,22	123:18
maximum 11:19	132:18 141:7	166:1,5,13	Mihelic 7:5 16:5
may 10:16 19:17	142:15 145:20	member 157:7	
21:22 23:18	154:22 155:15	163:2	mile 81:6
28:17 32:2,21	156:10 157:4	members 17:9	million 130:4,6,7,8
36:2 43:8 54:18	158:1 159:1	35:18 81:8 83:7	mind 35:8 122:4
81:19 86:18	165:12	153:9 154:17	153:22
97:1,18 105:15	means 25:11 31:17	155:3 156:8,19	
109:19 131:1,6	34:11 36:11 38:8	157:10 158:3	mini 54:3
156:5 157:4	57:16	159:13,21	minute 163:2
159:19 160:15	87:15,16,19	161:4,19 165:17	minutes 85:11
161:4,8 162:19	97:11 103:19	166:15	135:16 150:5
maybe 21:17 27:9	132:16 155:9	memorandum	154:9,10 166:11
31:15 33:6,14	meant 145:21	143:1	167:21
85:20 92:17			minutia 103:14
93:16 103:14	measure 53:10	memory 18:21	148:16
104:6 106:9	mechanism 32:22	Memphis 52:19	
107:17 128:17	mechanisms	mention 18:5,11	misclassified 144:5
144:11,12,13	158:21	71:8 122:8	
145:21 150:18	medical 11:15	162:13 163:14	missed 37:15
155:2 156:19	62:22 63:15	mentioned 67:2	missing 76:10
165:14 166:9	64:11 84:21	83:6 84:10 90:6	Mississippi 52:18
mckenzie 14:13		164:12	• •
76:17 87:13	meet 25:19 76:11		mix 161:1
98:10 110:3	meeting 13:4 14:3	mentions 41:13	mixed 52:3

Page 21

	rag		
Mm-hmm 82:15	move 33:17	166:22	noted 92:4,8,13
moment 97:4	45:4,20 53:14 54:6 58:13 62:7	Nays 114:3	notes 70:15 170:5
monitor 88:8	65:9 102:8	nearly 111:10	nothing 36:20
monoxide 130:3	112:22 113:4	necessarily	45:15 84:22
month 17:11	133:22 153:18	34:12,13,14 41:5	125:10,12 161:4
months 22:16,19	154:15 166:13 168:4	64:6 105:17	notice 155:20
24:7,13		109:1,5 164:6 165:20,22	167:21
morning 15:3,7,12	moved 42:19 45:3 61:13 62:6 66:2	r -	notion 94:18 96:14
17:17 21:4 69:6	68:11 75:3 76:21	necessary 34:3 39:8 57:14 81:22	November 109:20
mostly 92:6 95:3	94:16 96:19	82:13 95:9 96:9	NW 1:10
motion 13:4 42:17	98:4,5 99:17	156:16	
43:12,14 44:14	101:7,15 114:7	necessity 24:20	0
56:20,22 59:1,20	123:10 127:17	-	object 23:15
61:9,12 65:7	134:4 160:3	negative 126:2	objecting 107:18
67:12,14	moves 59:20 136:3	neither 169:7	objectionable
68:21,22 74:21	148:4 151:17	newer 9:17	129:8
76:13 77:2 96:6	moving 58:9,11	nice 23:19 150:9	obviously 25:14,16
97:6,8,17 100:7,10	63:2 81:2 112:5	night 119:15	26:5,7 83:4,6
101:8,13 110:8,9	145:7 163:16 166:6	NIOSH 14:11 26:9	107:13 113:11
112:13,21 113:7		29:20 63:2,4	165:12
120:17 122:20	multi-family 106:5	64:22 71:10	occupational 1:2
124:13,17	municipality 86:3	115:3,5,10	2:13 4:5 16:14
132:7,9	mute 73:11 98:20	121:1,2 130:21	124:7 127:2
133:10,13,20 137:16	myself 156:6	Nissan 54:3	168:20
138:10,12		noise 36:3,8 124:7	occurring 38:12
139:4,14 140:4	N	127:3	155:12
145:4,17,18,19	namely 123:16	non 32:21 103:7	October 29:11,12
146:5	Nashville 29:12	121:21	34:4
147:2,4,7,16	Natalia 1:17	non-pressurized	offer 28:20
148:2,17 149:21 150:7 151:6,16	169:2,15	121:13,17	office 4:16,17
153:10,18	national 2:16	nor 169:8	5:6,17 6:5,19 7:8
154:2,4,13	3:7,14,15 7:4	norm 166:8	8:6 14:16 15:18 16:12 17:19
161:14 162:2	119:19	normally 95:4	25:5,9 78:19
164:19,20	Nation's 137:20	•	117:1
165:3,5,6,11,14	nature 81:6 92:19	North 2:14	OFFICER 5:3
motions 20:14	128:1	Nosal 7:7 16:11	OFFICIAL 5:9
96:8	Nay 68:7 152:13	note 130:15,17,18	
Mountains 52:19		131:18 132:7,8,9	oh 17:2 21:13 50:4

Page 22

	1 46		
54:2 60:12	146:4	87:13 96:15	Overhead 11:10
73:8,13 75:11	opposition 55:2	101:10 104:5	oversees 41:1
80:11 99:4	68:6	112:22 114:20	Overview 9:3
100:3,5 107:4 109:18 133:15	opt 161:12,13	116:8,12 117:7 119:18,20	
141:18 147:15	optimistic 140:5	120:7,17 121:10	overwhelming 103:2
152:20 154:4	-	122:12 124:1,9	
old 27:13 55:14	option 96:3	127:4 128:7	owe 107:1
56:5,17 57:2	options 81:10	133:21,22 136:3	P
115:12	order 18:3,4 46:9	137:17 139:20	p.m 168:19
129:10,14,19	117:5,7 164:1	142:12 144:12 145:3,7,13 146:5	-
131:6,11	Oregon 118:3	148:5,13 149:3	page 9:2 10:3 11:3 12:3 13:3 86:19
one-by-one 20:15	organizations	150:10 151:17	93:16 123:16,19
ones 19:16 20:2,20	58:11	156:6 157:6	137:13
43:7,8 64:16	origin 46:2	160:11 167:20	pages 38:7
74:16 76:19	original 47:18	OSHA's 26:7 59:2	paid 142:3,13
90:10 94:5 120:1,19	48:8,12 49:21	62:20 136:11	• <i>´</i>
,	54:19 55:7 57:2	156:20	Painters 2:5
ongoing 78:11	59:5,11,21 92:10	OSHPA 23:10	palatable 29:15
onto 136:7	112:15 149:21	28:22 29:7	pallet 85:8
open 13:4 64:7	originally 46:15	31:1,12 34:4	Palm 85:2,13
85:21 154:17,22	130:19 151:11	OSHPA's 31:3	paper 139:6
155:9,10 156:7 157:1 160:17	originating 80:2	others 107:17	paperwork 19:6
163:22 166:15	origination 78:20	164:10	
	originator 79:4	otherwise 43:16	paragraph 37:15,16 72:2
Opening 9:3	OSH 3:19 20:5	54:9 89:6	130:15,18
opinion 131:8	OSHA	OTI 17:6,8	Pardon 82:3
150:6	6:7,11,13,15,17,	ourselves 150:6	
opportunities	21 7:10,12,14	159:6	parens 126:22
23:15 87:4	14:13,19 15:20	outcome 135:2	PARTICIPANTS 2:1 3:1 4:1
opportunity 23:14 115:21 128:18	16:3,4,7,8,9,10	outdated 11:9	5:1,20 6:1,3 7:1
136:10 158:3	17:4,7 18:17 19:1 22:15,18	120:19	8:1
	25:15,16,21	Outreach 12:20	participate
oppose 68:6 133:17	26:4,15 30:1	17:5 153:6	156:13,14
	32:18 33:22	outside 39:1	157:5,16,21
opposed 60:17 62:2 65:20 73:18	46:10,11	135:10	161:5
75:18 99:13	55:15,17	oven 63:8	participating
101:3 114:3	59:7,13,22 61:10 64:6 68:14 72:12	overall 58:21	157:17 163:18
133:6 134:16	74:1 76:14 83:15	0001 an 50.21	participation
	, , 0.1 + 05.15		

Capital Reporting Company U.S. Department of Labor ACCSH Meeting 08-23-2013

Page 23

	i ug		
161:7	130:13 131:5	phase 17:21 132:5	pickup 54:3
particles 50:19	155:22	phone 14:7	picture 30:5,8
52:4	156:11,17 157:5 158:22 160:7	44:7,10,12,13	piece 142:20
particular 35:9	161:12,13	60:6 61:16 65:12	143:17
41:20 46:2,7,19	163:18 164:11	67:17 72:20 73:1 75:6 77:4	pieces 103:6
48:17 68:14	167:18 168:2	82:20,21,22	pile 48:18
79:18,20 95:1 96:15 102:21	per 102:17	83:21 84:5 86:9	piles 56:12
105:9 112:3	130:4,6,7,8	88:15,22 89:9	•
particularly 69:13	percent 40:15,16	97:14	pinpoint 85:12
78:12 117:16	143:4	98:10,11,19 100:16 101:19	Pipefitters 2:17
parties 169:8	Performance	113:16 120:14	placeholder 72:2
partners 109:6	69:15	122:22 125:2	placement 63:15
part-time 138:5	performed 81:3	126:9 132:19	places 81:9
•	perhaps 26:3	134:7 138:19	83:20,22
party 91:4,5	103:15	151:7 152:3 159:19,20	Plains 117:2
pass 60:22 156:15	period 94:13,19	160:14,18	plan 23:12
passed 17:11 45:3	112:6,11 163:22	162:15 164:10	32:10,16,18,21,2
75:22	168:6	166:1,17	2 33:1 92:18
passes 167:12	periodic 62:22	phones 78:10	109:6 118:3 149:12
pattern 22:15	63:13 64:15	80:7,8 85:14	
Paul 6:6 14:15	Perkins 1:8	94:7	plans 26:5 30:9 62:20
16:17,21 17:17	permissible	phrase 127:7	
20:11 23:22	45:6,11 128:7	128:4,5	please 61:21 68:3 87:7 90:18 114:1
24:12 41:11 49:2,4 54:15	permit 10:19	phrases 76:9	127:20 132:19
66:6 67:9 77:16	116:13 157:7	physical 79:6	139:19 151:9
102:9 108:5	person 17:20 22:8	80:22 83:7 86:10	152:3 156:2
114:8 127:20	25:11 31:17 32:3	physically 142:14	158:14
134:22 135:13	34:11 50:17 154:16 157:13	160:13	PLHCP 126:21
pay 117:14	165:13 166:14	physician 9:11	Plumbers 2:17
Payne 7:9 16:9	168:5	12:7 124:4 126:20	plus 63:10
PELs 45:17	personal 17:9	physicians 78:5	pockets 84:2
114:16	personally 56:4	80:14	point 23:19 26:22
128:7,9,13,18,21 129:16	persons 84:12	pick 19:11 47:10	31:15 37:12 39:6
	157:9	70:16,20 71:13	90:6 92:9 95:11
people 34:12,13 41:14 44:9,12	Pete's 22:9	72:4	96:8 117:15
70:9 84:16 86:6	ph 6:20 16:10	picking 65:4 71:5	118:13 136:16,22
108:2 129:18	120:3	1 0 1 1 1	137:14 141:8

Page 24

	1 46		
142:9,11 146:5	pound 42:5	preliminary 117:6	prevalent 136:6
148:16 151:12	pounds	prepared 72:11	146:8
154:8 160:14	42:1,2,3,9,11,14	preponderance	previous 18:7
164:7	53:15 102:17	40:5,13	64:16
pointed 142:19	poured 104:20	,	previously 62:19
149:21	-	present 18:18 27:5	64:12
points 29:22 35:5	PPE 67:2	29:5 51:20	
-	practice 131:7	135:19 162:22	primarily 104:4
police 88:8	137:5	presented 18:8	print 162:17
political 25:13	Pratt 3:9 44:7,22	19:17 62:19	prior 72:13 120:2
32:8,15	45:1 59:16	nucconting 162.6	
poll 29:4 44:9,12		presenting 163:6	privilege 45:19
• ´	60:2,9 61:15,18	presently 102:15	probably 20:14
portion 69:16 79:9	65:15 67:19 72:7 8 10 75:8	presents 56:6	42:11 53:22
89:3 125:14	73:7,8,10 75:8 77:6 83:2 87:18	-	83:7,19 85:19
153:16		President 2:21	86:11,14 94:15
portions 93:18	95:21 96:2	3:10	95:6 104:9,13
102:19 136:17	98:7,13 100:18	pressure 48:20	129:11 131:22
	101:20 104:2	118:15	155:8
pose 48:11 50:2	106:14,21 107:7	pressurized	
54:12 57:6 136:2	108:9,19	121:12,16,22	problem
poses 54:7,16	110:11,15,22	121:12,10,22	23:4,16,17
position 165:15	112:9,17	-	27:5,9,22
-	113:3,17 123:1	Preston 7:11	31:10,19,20 32:4
possibility 35:4	125:4 126:10	69:3,6,7 70:18	35:19 43:6 52:13
possible 28:16	132:21 134:9	114:20 116:6	78:11,21 83:10
30:7 66:12 119:4	152:6 157:15,19	121:14	131:7 143:8
	163:7,12 167:2,4	pre-Subpart 41:21	162:3
possibly 51:10	preamble		problematic 52:11
86:12	95:4,7,17 113:12	presumed 38:11	117:20 140:10
post 11:15,19	168:1	presumption	
79:21 80:13		38:19 39:15	problems 23:18
86:20 87:8,10,15	precedence 30:16	presumptuous	24:3 37:6
92:3 102:22	159:12	43:12	procedure 22:16
119:4	precise 81:2		procedures 74:18
posted 78:6 91:14	precisely 160:5	pretty 22:4,14	-
-	1 V	27:14 35:16,21	proceed 21:20
posting 77:20 92:2	preclude 164:6	36:8 46:3 50:17	31:14 68:14
102:15	predictive 19:12	53:3,9 55:17	96:15 101:11
potable 9:16 41:13	predominant 39:9	71:10 78:14 84:2	113:13
-	-	94:15 105:1	proceeding
potentially 33:10 80:20	predominantly	122:10 125:14	169:3,4,5,7,9,10
	106:4	129:10 131:11	170:4,5,8
Potomac 117:4	prefer 129:16	141:8,10 149:22	
	• · · ·	150:2,17 151:3	proceeds 76:14

Page 25

	1 ug	C 20	
120:17 process 11:5 66:10,13,17,22 79:5,14 110:17	134:3 167:21 proposes 59:7 60:1 proposing 20:4	provided 47:19 50:1 57:4 113:7 130:19 137:19 141:16	66:14 122:9 publishing 155:19 pull 24:10 29:11
128:18 processing 78:18 productive 164:10	35:4 42:2,13 46:13 59:14 93:10 102:20 103:8 116:12	provider 79:10 120:7 providers 79:6	61:8 112:14 pulling 53:20 purposes 35:3
professional 9:12 12:8 38:16 124:4 125:16 126:21 professionals 84:21	123:22 124:2 127:22 proprietaries 120:12 proprietary	97:15 provides 55:4,7 78:3 providing 79:16,19 97:11	pushes 65:5 puts 42:8 58:14 putting 48:20 66:22 155:21 156:19
program 4:10 17:5 41:3 Programs 15:9 44:18	118:5,6 120:3 protect 47:13,15,20 50:1 57:4 168:12	159:17 provision 46:7,19 78:2,3 92:6 102:21 111:6 119:1 124:10	Q question 26:20 32:5,12 35:17
progress 140:3 150:16 project 17:21 25:6 117:3	protection 11:11 23:8 26:15,19 41:22 42:3 47:19 49:22 51:17 52:14 56:1,12	119.1 124.10 127:5 provisions 63:1 69:22 74:11 prudent 103:12	48:1 64:21 71:15 88:12 89:20 93:22 110:12 111:15 120:2,13 121:7 125:6,20 126:1 132:5
projects 18:21 135:8	57:3,8,11,16 69:4 74:14 104:7 105:15 120:9	PSM 66:20 67:3,5,6	120.1 132.3 143:21 questioning 82:1
proposal 24:16 29:14 59:3 61:10 64:4 104:7 111:10 112:5 116:13 122:16 123:14,17 131:13 168:9	137:19 protections 30:17 protective 11:10 52:8 57:14 69:8,14 116:9,10 117:9 118:18	public 4:8 13:4 15:8 33:5,10 120:21 154:18 155:9,10,11,19 156:11 157:1,7,13 158:11,20	questions 17:5 20:10 30:21 42:15 72:7 92:16 quick 16:22 129:21 quicker 86:11
propose 20:1 64:6 72:11 76:4 86:21 proposed 21:7,15 22:22 25:10 27:4 29:6 32:6 33:7 37:1 43:20 55:2,8,20 57:9,12 71:16 76:5 81:20 88:10	130:13 131:1 prove 55:18 provide 55:22 56:1 57:16 63:5,14 78:18 79:11 80:1,13 81:13 84:12 93:16 97:14 113:12 132:9 135:11 159.20	159:15,22 161:7 162:3,7 163:1 164:6,13 165:18 166:16 publication 120:2 publicly 94:10 116:15 119:20,22 120:10	quickly 114:11 quite 24:1 32:12 88:19 105:20 135:12 146:3 <u>R</u> radio 87:16 88:6 radiography
55:2,8,20 57:9,12 71:16	84:12 93:16 97:14 113:12	116:15 119:20,22	radio 87:

Page 26

	<u> </u>		
raise 23:9 158:22	71:13 94:6,20	156:22 163:19	68:22
ran 21:14	103:1,8 118:16	164:2	reflective 148:14
range 24:13	130:9	recommends	reformat 12:12
Ransdell 7:13 16:3	reasonable 105:19	59:5,20 68:14,18	reformed 40:4,22
rare 66:19 67:4	146:15,17,18	76:14 145:12	refresh 18:20
	reasons 84:11	reconvene 14:3	
rather 74:8 162:10	Rebecca 7:15	record 17:4 27:1	reg 71:16,18 93:17 95:14 113:12
rationale 30:4 32:7	62:9,17 63:17	31:7 37:17 43:3 77:15 124:9	regaled 17:12
rattle 30:16	recall 142:8	127:4 164:14	regard 27:10,12
	recent 58:9 129:22	169:7	78:1
rays 64:1	recently 40:3,6,22	recordable 36:12	regarding 34:18
reach 87:17	116:3	38:20 39:16	35:6 103:11
readily 87:1,11	recognize 63:21	recorded 169:4	136:1,19
90:21	109:1	recordings 170:6	regardless 36:9
reads 126:19	recognizes 103:18	recordkeeping	regards 55:3 69:7
ready 150:1	recommend 41:14	34:20	114:21 115:4
reality 93:8	55:15 70:2 72:12 101:10 110:21	records 64:11	Register 155:20
realize 23:19	112:22	reduce 19:6 66:21	registry 79:5
94:11	117:14,15	reduced 169:5	89:13 93:14 94:9
really 26:1 30:4,14	120:17 137:17	reducing 19:7	regs 41:15 66:21
33:21 34:1 37:5 38:2,15 39:21	145:7 148:5,12 151:17 157:16	refer 115:7	regular 121:12
41:21 45:8,10	168:8	reference 64:1	regulation 41:20
47:11 50:7,21	recommendation	66:22 69:17	80:4 95:5 102:17
51:22 52:17	18:13 20:20 31:2	74:4,6,9,22	regulations 11:7
55:17 56:22 65:3 69:9 71:2	42:18 44:14	93:13 94:18,21	19:5 95:3
83:12,17	58:15 61:11 65:8 67:13,18 68:13	103:15 106:20 108:20 120:4	157:6,7
84:17,22 94:22	72:12 74:21	108:20 120:4	regulatory 36:22
103:7	132:17,18	referenced 93:15	63:7 168:1
106:10,16,18 116:8 126:5	133:14,21	references 64:2	Reindel 7:15
127:22	144:11 157:8	70:1 123:20	62:10,17 63:18 66:5
128:3,7,13 129:8	recommendations	referencing	reinstates 132:11
130:12 144:1	17:7 59:2 72:13 153:11,17	105:13 119:6	related 124:9
145:19 146:4,9 151:4 12	recommended	referring 91:22	125:10 169:8
151:4,12 159:4,10 160:1,8	70:16 71:13 74:1	115:19	relatedness 38:11
reason 24:22	recommending	refinery 66:17	relates 45:22
42:12 46:22	96:14 120:7	reflect 30:4 32:21	136:21
			120.21

Page 27

	1 ag	i	
relationship 27:19	15:1,2 33:4	130:18 131:2	44:2,6 60:18,20
28:14 136:12,20	35:14 55:1 58:18		62:3,5 65:21
137:3 138:8	92:16 158:16	requirement	66:1 67:11
143:15 146:11		11:14,19 22:20	68:8,10 72:8
	replace 9:5 11:4,9	42:1,4,6 49:8	73:19,21
relationships	69:12	54:7 63:20	75:19,21 78:19
140:2 146:7	replacing 18:8	64:12,13 70:4	99:14,16 101:4,6
relevant 30:7	69:16 71:4	79:8 102:15,21	114:4,6 120:15
69:10	118:19	requirements	123:9 127:14,16
relied 80:8	report 12:18,20	10:4,9,14	133:7 134:17
	71:9 135:19	11:4,11 62:21	152:14,16
relying 85:13	138:10 144:14	63:13 69:15	
120:19	145:14 148:6	76:15 131:9	responses 95:20
remain 64:17	149:5 151:19	requires 64:10	responsibility
	152:22 154:5	102:15	136:10,13
remainder 59:7			137:2,9
60:1	reported 1:17	reread 59:19 87:7	rest 123:21 156:8
Remarks/Agenda	170:3	126:16 139:5	161:10,19
9:3	Reporter 16:14	165:6	<i>,</i>
remedy 132:10	169:1,2,15 170:3	rescind 147:1	restate 151:15
remember 24:10	Reporter's 170:5	165:1	restrictive 108:10
142:9 149:7	•	rescinded 112:19	resulting 38:12
142.9 149.7 168:8	reporting 1:18		0
	163:6	rescue 82:11 85:11	retain 59:13
remind 112:4	represent 14:4	research 48:6	retaining 59:5,21
reminder 116:7	29:2	117:10	rethink 166:9
remote 81:9 83:18	Representative	residence	review 33:7
remove 10:14	4:8,13	103:11,14	135:9,11
30:17 53:18	15:5,8,11,13	105:14 106:5,17	, ,
55:21 56:10	21:7	108:15 111:4	reviewed 130:14
57:13 63:12	representatives	135:7	revise 19:21
69:11 74:3,21	2:2,19 3:17	residences 102:22	63:7,12,20
116:12 120:18	33:6,10 115:10	103:4,7	141:12
121:9,10 129:19	,	,	revised 76:8
,	requested 63:1	resolve 28:3	
removed 64:13	requests 74:2	resources 143:8	revising 62:20
137:6	require 53:17	respect 34:19 35:8	63:16 76:15
removes 129:9	78:17 84:11	78:12,13 80:5	96:14,15 101:11
removing 35:3	155:19	ŕ	113:14
63:19 71:3		responder 78:19	revision 46:14
	required 53:8	responders	47:13 69:4
render 84:16	63:14	87:1,11 90:21	revisions 46:6
Rep	64:14,16,17	response 35:17	101:12
14:5,10,11,12,21	124:8 127:3	41:9 42:16	1 \(1.1 \arrow 1
		71.772.10	

Capital Reporting Company U.S. Department of Labor ACCSH Meeting 08-23-2013

Page 28

	1 48		
revisit 145:8	156:6 166:20	19:8	15:15,16 34:9
revisited 94:4	Rolfsen 7:17 16:13	66:10,13,17,22	screen 24:11
revisits 94:13	roll 74:14	136:13 137:1,9,18	screening 62:13
reword 79:13	rolled 162:5	168:20	64:5
rewrite 90:17	rolling 50:2 57:7	sake 42:13	searching 128:22
RFI 19:14	rollover 11:10	sale 120:4	second 25:7 29:11
rid 128:10	69:4,7,13 71:11	Sarah 2:3 5:15	35:19 36:18 42:21
riding 36:1	74:13	14:12,14 22:4	42.21 58:13,14,19
risks 19:1	room 1:9 149:3	42:20 57:19	59:15,17
	160:13	58:14 59:9 60:2	61:10,14,15
river 52:3	root 128:11	67:16 77:2 90:4,16 101:9	65:10,11 67:16
Rivera 3:13 15:2	rope 110:2,3	139:8 145:4	70:5 71:4
33:4 42:21 43:18 55:1 58:18 82:17	ROPS 69:5,22	148:18 151:10	72:17,18,19 75:4,5 76:22
92:15	70:14,21 71:5,14	154:8 156:4,7	77:1,3 96:11
124:12,14,17	72:3 76:2	164:8 165:6	98:6,7 100:13,14
153:1,4	rubber 70:18	sat 85:8	101:16,17
154:3,6,11,20	rule 108:18 133:22	savings 19:8	110:10 112:14
158:15	168:12	saw 31:10	113:5,15,16 119:16
Rivers 117:4	rulemaking 19:1	SBA 6:19	122:19,21
road 83:9 86:6,8	122:18 167:21	scale 48:1 52:8	124:15,16,20,22
roads 86:4 90:11	168:2,3	56:10	125:14
Rob 7:3	rules 9:10 12:6	scaling 55:21	131:15,16,17 134:5,6 136:9
rock 10:11 46:11	35:15 124:5 126:22 127:7	57:13	134.3,0 130.9
47:14,20,22 49:7		scans 64:5	139:14,15 141:9
50:1 52:7	run 25:1 27:7 28:13	schedule 76:8	152:1 154:19,20
53:3,4,11,14,15,		Schneider 7:19	161:6
18,20,21 54:2,4 57:4,12	rural 78:13,21 80:10,12 83:4	15:16 34:10	secondary 162:1
rocks 49:19 51:19	86:9 91:21	science 65:5	seconded 43:20
52:14 53:5			147:18
Roger 2:7 15:9	S	scope 39:1 70:13,14	164:21,22
44:18 65:13	S&H 2:13,16	71:1,7,14,17,21,	seconder 147:6,10
67:22 73:4 75:9	safe-load 11:19	22 72:6,14,20	seconds 101:18
77:8 98:14 99:19	102:15	74:1 144:13	139:16
100:4,19 101:22	safety 1:2,3 2:13	145:22 146:1,22 148:5 149:11	section 22:22 23:1
113:19 123:3 126:12 132:22	3:4,7,14 4:5,16	151:18	34:21 42:14 46:10 59:8 60:1
134:12 132:22	7:20 11:5 14:5 15:8,17,22 16:14	Scott 7:19	71:21 96:15
152:8 153:6	13.0,17,22 10.14	SCOTT / .17	/1.21 /0.10

Page 29

	I ug		
101:11 seek 29:1 41:2	82:14 87:17 100:8 120:5	124:13 133:10,13 134:1	146:6 147:7 164:7
64:4	settled 27:8 28:10	139:9 142:3,6 145:6,12	singing 17:13
seeking 78:2	Seven 69:2	145.0,12	single 11:21 103:3
seem 67:7	several 53:15	147:3,6,10,12,16	104:6 107:22
seems 87:3	78:16 96:8	,19 148:1,4	108:15 110:16
105:10,19	106:12 136:1	151:16 152:19	111:3 120:7
select 136:17	137:2	154:9 155:8,15	135:6
	shade 85:8	156:9 157:4	single-family
sells 120:6	Shadrick 2:15	160:2 163:14 164:20 165:7	102:22
send 17:13,14	14:20 77:1 98:5	167:13	103:7,9,11,13 104:3,8
28:22 157:10	99:22 100:2	shot 112:8	104.5,8
162:16	131:16,17 134:4		106:4,16
sense 83:17 143:5	139:15	showed 91:17,18	107:10,13 108:6
sentence 57:18	147:14,18 167:11	showing 130:10	109:10,11 113:2
59:11,13,21		shows 26:11	SIP 9:5,10,16
90:18 124:1,3	shared 137:10	shrinks 94:15	10:4,9,14,19
126:18 127:9 141:10	shelf 53:22	shut 127:18	11:4,9,14,18
	she's 91:8		12:6,12
separate 46:16 48:1 49:15	shift 37:18 38:18	sic 59:6,22	SIPs 16:17 17:22
	Ship 2:9	signal 93:7	18:1 25:6 41:16
separately 44:13	-	significant 21:8	43:13 44:14 59:2
153:21	short 167:19	69:16 153:18	135:5,8
seriously 134:19	Shortall 5:15	significantly 94:15	sit 48:15
served 17:10	14:14 16:22 17:3	124:7 127:2	site 66:17 67:6,7
service 77:19	22:6 30:21 32:5,11,13,20	signify 65:17 68:3	93:16
78:2,4,8,12	33:14 42:22	75:16 127:11	sites 78:9 91:1
79:17	43:2,5,14,17,21	132:19 134:14	103:4 136:8
80:1,5,9,12	44:9 58:8	152:3	sitting 53:19
81:22 82:1,8,10	59:4,19 60:4,22	signs 86:8	situation 97:19
83:21 84:1,5 85:15 86:10,12	61:2	silence 158:21	159:16
88:4,5,16,21	68:12,17,19,21	silica 167:21	situational 162:11
90:14 92:12	71:15,20 76:14,19 84:9	similar 130:17	situations 97:13
94:6,8,14,19	93:22 94:11,17	146:13	103:3 162:5
95:1,11	95:2 96:7,22		
97:2,13,15	97:6,10,16,22	simpler 20:1	skid 72:15,20
100:12	99:19 100:1,3,5	simplify 19:5 20:7	skid-steer
services 3:4,11 5:6	101:10 111:2,6	66:21	70:13,19 71:7,12,14
7:8 11:16 16:12	113:7,13 121:3	simply 88:6 97:13	/1./,12,14

Page 30

	I ag	6.00	
72:3,5	75:12 99:9 100:5	38:22 76:19	standard 9:19
skip 62:12	102:1,2,13 126:7	123:17 150:11	12:15 18:21
-	141:19,20 144:9	specifically 23:3	24:8,12,15
Slide 163:3	145:11,21	34:20 35:5 41:19	37:8,20,22
slip 111:12	147:19 152:21	46:1,17 61:6	38:5,6,18 41:22
small 51:21	156:3 160:21	74:16 76:17	42:3 45:7
52:2,4,9 70:11	sort 27:20 36:10	84:10 123:20	46:1,2,3,15 53:7
84:1	43:10 65:5 81:22	155:17	54:19 57:2,3,18
Smoky 52:19	122:17 125:10 131:5 148:11	specification 71:3	58:6,10 59:11 63:10,18,21 64:9
snagged 34:6	157:12 158:8	specifications 42:9	66:10,14 67:1,3
snakes 85:7	159:12,16 166:8	specify 121:4	69:5,9,12,16,17,
	ŕ	1 0	19 70:4 76:9
soil 10:11 46:11,17	sound 27:15 111:2	spectrum 28:13	84:10,15 88:2
47:1,14,20,22	128:8	spell 117:21	103:19 115:7,16
49:7,13,16,19	sounds 37:1 45:12	spend 21:17,19	119:9 130:15
50:1,18	58:20 87:6	83:12	138:6,7 143:14
51:1,6,12,19 52:7 54:9,16	146:15 150:16	spoil 48:18	Standardize 10:4
57:5,12 66:9	source 36:3,8	-	standards 3:21 6:9
<i>,</i>	69:21 70:7 71:4	spoke 21:8 114:21	7:16 10:6,15
solar 85:4	74:7,9,22 75:1	spoken 115:10	11:12 14:17
sole 39:9	120:7	spring 85:2 168:7	17:19,21
solicitor 5:14,17	sources 99:18		22:16,18 24:13
6:5 8:6 15:19	106:12	Springs 85:13	26:15 35:1,6
25:5 31:15 97:5	speak 21:21 33:5	sprinkle 51:22	36:19 38:2 39:14
Solicitor's 25:5,9	55:2	squad 82:11	42:5
117:1	SPEAKER 42:22	square 102:17	62:11,16,18,21
solvent 130:5	61:1 67:20 73:7	stab 86:18	63:1,8,17 64:13
	75:4 82:7,15,20		66:12 67:2 69:21
somebody 83:8	88:20 91:4 96:19	stack 48:17	70:2,7,10 71:4,6 74:5,7,9,10 75:1
129:12 135:9	99:2,5 109:21	staff 17:20 69:3	76:10,16 108:16
someone 99:21	110:10 121:1,5	156:6 160:11	115:19 119:6,7
117:21 161:18	122:1 124:16	staffer 69:3	,
163:1	125:19		standing 53:10
somewhat 47:6	133:12,17	staffing 138:1 140:15	start 14:9 16:16
somewhere 36:21	138:21 139:10	140.13	18:6 34:16 59:6
50:20 52:11	141:2,3,5 142:2	,17 143:4,7	72:10 77:16
106:20	145:10 147:4	144:22 149:7,20	started 57:1
sorry 17:2 22:7	153:21 167:2,6,7	150:11	128:20
32:11 36:5,7	speaking 123:13	stakeholders	starting 83:17
43:17 49:2 58:17	speaks 126:5	153:9,12,13	136:16 137:14
60:4,13 73:10,15	specific 28:20	158:18	starts 53:20
	specific 20.20	1.50.10	star is 33.20

Capital Reporting Company U.S. Department of Labor ACCSH Meeting 08-23-2013

Page 31

	<u> </u>		
state 3:17 15:4	50:12 54:21	111:3,7 118:13	substantial 39:10
21:6 23:8,12	55:11 56:14,20	119:2,8	substantive 45:15
24:18 25:13,16	59:20 60:11	121:7,9,17	
26:4,12 30:9	61:20 65:16 68:2	122:4,7,21	substantively
32:8,10,14,15,16	73:2 75:10 77:9	141:20,22 142:5	127:8
,17,18,21,22	83:14 85:2 90:14	144:8,10	subtier 137:12
33:1 40:20,21	98:16,17 100:21	145:7,16 149:3	suddenly 17:11
41:2,3 52:18	102:1,3 113:21	154:13 165:8,10	e e
57:1 105:22	123:5 126:14	166:3,6,12	suffering 36:10
109:6 118:2,3	133:2 134:11	strictest 52:10	sufficient 54:12
stated 139:5 143:1	150:7 151:1	strong 34:2 38:18	sufficiently 116:9
statement 83:11	152:9 166:19	95:22	131:1
162:8	Steven 4:3		suggest 28:10 58:3
	stick 51:4	strongly 31:11	96:12 100:9
states 22:12,13,14		33:16	130:17
23:3,6,18	stickers 85:5	structure 104:4	136:14,19 140:3
25:18,20 29:1,4 31:8 32:10,22	sticking 53:5,13	structures 11:10	,
37:21 108:22	54:5	69:8,14 102:16	suggested 29:13 108:3 146:19
111:7,18 118:3	stood 46:15	103:17 106:3	
,	stop 55:22 56:11	studies 131:21	suggesting 28:7
state's 25:10	57:15	132:2	59:4
States 23:4,12			suggestion 104:14
25:13 27:19	storage 10:19	stuff 87:4 134:19	131:20
32:8,14 78:7	18:16 64:8	style/like 137:21	suggestions 136:2
163:15	stored 64:17 105:1	subcommittee	141:13,14
statistics 26:11	stories 17:12	155:18	sulfide 130:7
142:22	strategy 149:14	subcontractor	
status 33:1		31:22 137:6	sum 40:14
	street 85:18	139:21 146:9	summarizing
statute 40:2,19	strength 41:18		125:15
41:1,6	42:6	subcontractors	summary 97:11
statutes 40:20	Stribling 3:18	138:5 140:18	·
steel 104:21	15:3,4 21:4,6,14	subdivision 25:14	summertime 51:4
steep 53:9	26:22 28:5,9	32:9,15	summoning 84:19
-	29:9 30:22 31:6	subject 112:7	supplied 16:18
step 48:5	33:12 34:8	168:7,11	supplies 103:6
steps 131:3	37:9,11 42:19	submit 49:4 154:7	
Steve 15:14	58:2 61:5,13		supply 42:7
21:10,22	64:21 65:6 81:17	subpart 11:9	supplying 16:20
22:2,6,11 23:21	82:3,5,9 87:21	18:10 69:7 74:7 76:15 115:20	146:12
24:6 29:9,13	88:1,15 89:20		support 21:21
39:17,18 44:16	90:2 100:9	substances 130:22	33:5,11 56:18
47:8,9 49:3	108:14,20 109:4		96:14 112:21

Page 32

	1 ug	<u> </u>	
117:11 124:12	162:2 167:5	temp 146:7,10	31:6 34:8 35:12
133:21 149:10	tables 12:4 18:9	temporary 12:18	41:7 43:17 47:7
supposed 38:17	114:21	27:12 30:5	54:21 55:9 58:2
••	115:2,5,6,8,15,2	135:18 136:4	60:5 65:6
Supreme 27:20	2 116:9,14	137:17,21 139:4	66:3,4,5
sure 17:11 22:17	117:7,8,11,16	140:13,22	67:9,15,16 73:5
24:1 65:1 71:10	118:4,16,17	141:11	75:11 81:17
86:14 93:9 95:19	119:19,20,21	142:1,12,13,21	92:13 100:15,22
103:20 106:10	120:3,10,18,21,2	143:2 145:9,14	108:14 134:22
107:2 108:19	2	148:6 150:3	135:12 147:11
132:14 139:9	121:1,2,3,6,11,1	151:18 158:5	167:17
148:22 149:22	5 122:10,12,17		thanks 23:21
159:14 160:19	128:16 131:18	tend 104:21,22	126:6 135:13
switch 57:8	taking 24:3 119:9	158:1	163:11 168:16
	131:3	Tennessee 4:5	that's 18:3 20:22
system 79:14		22:14 40:1,3,11	22:19 23:11 24:8
81:21 88:3,6,10	talk 49:9,14 95:15	52:17,22	26:16,20 29:2
	139:17 150:11	83:19,21 86:2,3	30:12,18 34:3
<u> </u>	160:13 162:9	term 45:10 72:5	36:17,18,19
table 9:1 10:1 11:1	talked 27:11	94:2	37:4,6,13 39:12
12:1,12 13:1	130:14 150:4	terms 9:7 34:20,22	42:10 45:2 47:3
14:6 18:2,7,14	165:18	45:17 52:10	49:15,17,22
19:16,19 33:22	talking 49:5,6,12	111:9 128:1	50:11 51:22 54:9
38:21 39:3 41:17	50:7,8,9	137:3	55:3,17,19 56:14
45:6,14,19	51:16,18,19 54:8		57:3,21 58:6,10
60:12,14 61:21	59:11 86:13 89:2	terrible 157:19	60:3 64:18 66:10
65:17 68:3 73:6,16 75:16	108:21 129:16	test 27:20	67:21 68:19,21
77:10,20 99:1,10	149:6	tested 76:11 87:17	69:4 77:18 80:3
101:1 102:4	talks 125:9,11	testing 74:18	84:11,20,22
109:8 110:6,8,9	, ,	U	85:12 88:19
112:12,13	tap 84:6	Tests 69:14	89:11 90:14 91:6
113:22 114:13	teams 128:21	text 27:4 29:6	94:21 95:8 99:9
115:1,8	technical 69:8,11	36:22 38:7 63:7	102:7 105:18
116:3,5,8,12,19	, ,	71:16,18 81:20	106:5,8 107:15
118:14,20	technology 19:6 78:9 95:16	93:18 95:15	108:12 109:13
119:15	163:16	100:10 113:12	111:22 112:18
121:9,10,11,18,1		162:17 168:1	114:14 115:17
9,20,21	teleconference	texting 163:21	116:6 118:8
122:13,14	160:9	164:12	119:4,9 127:8
123:6,16,18	telephone 78:4	Thad 7:7 16:11	128:9 129:2,10
126:15 127:10	80:13 154:17		131:13,20 133:15 140:20
128:6,14 133:3	166:14	thank 16:15 17:16	133:15 140:20 141:1,10 142:15
134:14 145:2,5	telephonic 156:12	22:11 23:20 25:8	141:1,10 142:15
152:10 159:13	(150.12)	26:22 28:1 29:19	143.4 144.19

Page 33

	<u> </u>		
145:20 146:16	thoughts 50:13	Trades/Finishing	115:14 157:21
148:12,15,16,21,	56:18 105:11	2:6	tunnel 117:3
22 149:16 152:20 154:6	106:9 148:19,20 149:2,17	Tradesmen 3:8	tunneling 118:4
155:8,13 156:1	threshold 12:13	traditional 63:22	turns 65:2
159:4,11	37:18 38:18 45:9	146:10	twice 22:17
161:5,15,21 162:1,2 164:12	128:4	traffic 160:16	type 103:17 106:3
166:4 168:12	throes 39:20	trained 84:12	142:20 143:13
theirs 40:22	throughout 10:5	Training 4:11 12:20 17:5 153:5	typed 170:4
themselves 14:6	throw 145:5		types 39:4 96:22
thereafter 169:5	166:11	transcript 169:6 170:4,6	97:17
thereby 136:12	tied 79:13	transcription	typewriting 169:5
137:7	tiers 139:22	170:1,7	typically 28:15
there's 52:16 88:8	tired 70:19	Transcriptionist	typing 163:18,22
they'll 62:13	titled 125:18	170:14	
90:2,3	TLVs 129:21,22	transparent	U U.K 115:6 116:5
they're 16:19	today 16:16 33:9	129:18 131:10	117:18 119:15
18:16,22 19:9 20:5 21:15	121:22 130:8	Traylor 117:2	120:21
26:16,19 27:8,18	today's 30:7	trench 51:3 85:7	U.S 1:1 5:12 93:19
28:12 30:11	Tom 7:13 14:9,10	trend 137:20	168:19
33:17 38:17 42:9 52:4 70:5 76:11	16:3 65:11 67:15 139:6 146:3,14	triangulate 79:1,2	Uh-huh 97:22
81:1,5,8 83:4	148:4,9	tried 66:12	108:8
84:1,5 89:2	151:16,20	trouble 25:2 115:9	uncertain 137:3
107:2 116:15 119:7 122:15	tool 64:5	Troy 5:21 15:21	underground 18:9 115:7,20
129:11 130:12	top 50:8 127:20,21	truck 54:3	underlined 141:4
131:7 132:12	totally 36:2 92:1	true 55:17 88:19	underneath 33:1
146:11 149:4,15 150:12,16	tough 93:10	133:15 137:3 169:6 170:7	understand 24:20
160:13 162:15	towards 58:11,12	try 30:6 43:8	25:9,20 32:12
163:19 165:16	92:11	111:12 122:13	37:4 39:13 47:7
they've 38:6,7	tower 89:5	140:6 157:20	54:14 81:5 83:15,16 92:1
70:6,7 149:11	towers 79:2 94:3	trying 19:3	110:22 119:8
third 71:6,16	townhouse	25:16,22 28:16	125:13 144:6
96:13 101:8	107:8,12	31:7 42:10 43:16 45:15 47:4 80:4	155:16 156:4
Thomas 1:17 3:6	townhouses 107:10	82:6,10 83:15	157:14 161:2
135:15,16,20 139:14 169:2,15	Trades 2:6	94:4,5 105:7	understanding 105:16
	Traves 2:0	106:12,17	105.10

Page 34

	rag		
111:17,21 116:17 121:14	63:6,17 78:2 80:4 115:3	69:2,7 114:20 116:4 119:21	water 9:17 41:13 ways 25:1 53:2
understands 20:8	updated 118:16,17	version 48:8	weather 51:3
undoubtedly	121:3,5,6	115:14	weathered 53:5
112:6	updating 120:18	versus 55:8	WebEx 160:3
unfortunately	128:18,20 129:16	136:20,21	162:14 163:18
129:11 167:18	upon 37:2 63:15	via 138:2 153:14	165:19,22
UNIDENTIFIED 42:22 61:1 67:20	66:11 84:9	view 150:9	webinars 162:14
42:22 01:1 07:20 73:7 75:4	150:17	viewed 136:8	165:19
82:7,15,20 88:20	up-to-date 115:14	viewpoints 31:4	webpage 155:21
91:4 96:19	131:3	views 168:11	156:20
99:2,5 109:21 110:10 121:1,5	useful 67:7 85:19	violation 46:9,18	website 70:8 115:4,5 118:21
122:1 124:16	143:18	virtual 93:12	119:4 167:22
125:19	usually 19:1 156:14	153:14 158:19	week 134:19
133:12,17 138:21 139:10		virtually 42:8 78:6	weeks 85:3
141:2,3,5 142:2	utilize 105:12 137:20	voice 89:7	weighs 49:16
145:10 147:4	utilizes 148:15	vote 111:5,6	53:15
153:21 167:2,6,7		112:15 113:16 126:8 151:5,6,7	weight
unintended 30:8	V	157:8,9 159:3	49:6,11,14,17
31:9	valuable 153:16	165:1,2	Welcome 14:2
union 2:5 149:18	164:13		we'll 14:7,8 18:2
uniquely 22:13	value 45:9 112:2 158:2	$\frac{W}{W_{2} + 154 + 1.1(2)^{2}}$	19:19 20:22
United 2:17 23:4		Wait 154:1 163:2	24:22 43:1 61:8 66:8 77:13 112:6
25:13 27:19 32:8,14 78:7	Values 12:13 128:4	waiting 102:3	118:22 120:9
163:15	variance	walk 62:13	123:11 162:21
unknown 91:6	117:1,6,13	walking 126:17	163:4
unless 30:3 38:13	variances 116:18	wall 49:11	well-known 137:5
50:20 94:9	variation 138:6	walls 48:20	we're 16:16
unpredictable	143:14	Walter 2:11 14:4	18:1,11,18 19:3,7 20:4
91:13	varies 52:17	22:9 55:11 56:21 86:13 156:7	22:19 23:6 25:1
unruly 162:4	vary 40:20	Washington 1:11	27:9 30:19 39:12
164:8 165:20	vastly 52:21	117:3 118:2	42:2 43:2,7,22 45:7,15,17,19
unsafe 102:18	vehicle 78:10	wasn't 34:6 80:12	46:13 47:3,17
unusual 67:5	verbatim 139:5	105:6 142:1	49:5,9,11
update 9:16 11:14	Vernon 7:11	145:16 164:6	50:7,8,9,14
	,		

Page 35

	1 46		
51:18,19 59:11	137:18	wood 106:4	40:2,3,7,12,19,2
63:12 74:6,9	Whereupon	worded 24:16	0 41:1,5 85:5
77:18,21 91:22	168:19	34:21 58:20	136:7,11
96:20 102:8			142:1,13,20
103:8 105:11	whether 35:22	wording 47:18	143:3 158:5
106:1,15 107:20	40:7 48:9,10	49:21 51:8 52:6	168:12
108:1 109:12,13	54:16 55:16	54:17,18 57:2,9	workforce 137:21
112:5,20 114:17	70:22 86:1 87:15	87:5	146:12 148:15
116:11 117:12	93:1 94:22 96:13	work 12:18,20	
119:6 123:18	154:16 158:21	29:21 36:4,6,7	Workgroup 17:6,8
124:2 126:7	161:20 162:7 166:13	38:11,13	working 17:21
127:6,8,22		39:8,11,15,21	57:19 80:19 81:8
128:3,12,13	whoever 157:3	40:9,16 81:1,3,7	83:9 84:4,5
132:4 139:7	whole 20:13 31:19	89:18 109:5	121:12 128:20
140:4 143:7	80:4 83:11 94:16	115:15 116:1	135:1 142:6,14
147:13 149:6	133:14 136:22	118:4,15	145:4 150:19
151:7,10,11	139:22 143:12	121:13,16,17,22	154:3 159:5
153:2 159:5	146:5	122:2 124:9	161:17
162:21 163:10	wholeheartedly	125:9 135:14,18	workplace 3:21
166:6 168:5	118:17	136:7,15	86:21 87:9,12
West 83:19 86:13		138:2,5,7,10	90:21 91:3
we've 18:10 20:3	wholesale 22:21	139:4 140:3,5,16	work-related 9:14
33:20 39:22 42:6	23:1,5	142:21,22	12:10 35:9 39:11
52:15 55:6 78:14	whom 169:3	145:9,19 153:5,6 154:15 155:1,3	124:6 125:11
79:13 80:3 84:14	Williams 8:3 16:1	,	126:3 127:1,4
109:18 110:3		157:2,8,12,20 159:1,5,19,22	work-relatedness
120:19 123:14	Wilson 8:5 15:18	161:3,6,9,10,16,	34:19 35:7 38:17
150:4 152:19	25:4,8,9 32:6,11,17 97:10	19 166:13	125:18
156:5 158:4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
159:18	winching 53:20	workday 138:7	works 141:1
160:3,7,17	Wind 7:6 16:5	worked 26:12	worksite 79:22
162:14 166:10	wipe 51:5	135:7 159:11	87:1,12 91:10,11
167:17	-	worker 12:18	142:7
whatever 24:12	wire 111:12	91:19 129:13	worksites 83:18
36:1 54:4 79:14	wireless 78:8,12	131:1,4 135:18	91:16
85:22 87:16 94:6	93:20 130:16	136:4,13	workweek 138:7
162:18	withdrawn 70:8	137:1,18 140:13	
whenever 155:2	withstand 102:20	141:11 142:12	worry 26:17
161:9		145:14 146:11	wrestling 78:15
whereas 105:2	women 158:4	148:6 151:18	writing 168:5
150:13	wonderful 22:9	workers	written 106:20
	131:17	26:12,14,18,19	111:16
whereby 79:5	wondering 34:10	27:12 30:2	111.10
	I č		

Page 36

	I ug	
wrong 108:5 157:4		
X		
x-ray 62:21		
63:13,20		
x-rays 10:14,19		
18:15 63:14		
64:8,11,14 65:3		
01.0,11,1100.0		
Y		
yellow 56:3		
yesterday 27:11		
136:1 141:16		
142:20 146:3		
153:8 155:17		
156:12		
you'll 53:2 113:1		
yours 36:16		
yourself 21:5		
35:13		
you've 18:2 19:20		
53:13 56:14		
84:16 96:7,8		
114:18 120:8		
140:18 143:11		
149:7 155:10		
Z		
Zarletti 17:10		