UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON CONSTRUCTION SAFETY AND

HEALTH

Washington, D.C.

Friday, September 12, 2008

- 1 PARTICIPANTS:
- 2 Employee Representatives:
- 3 THOMAS L. KAVICKY Chicago Regional Council of Carpenters
- 4
- FRANK L. MIGLIACCIO, JR.
- 5 International Association of Bridge, Structural, Ornamental & Reinforcing Iron
- 6
- EMMETT M. RUSSELL
- 7 International Union of Operating Engineers
- 8 Employer Representatives:
- 9 THOMAS R. SHANAHAN National Roofing Contractors Association
- 10
- LINWOOD O. SMITH
- 11 T.A. Loving Company
- 12 DANIEL D. ZARLETTI Kenny Construction Company
- 13

State Representatives:

- 14
- **KEVIN D. BEAUREGARD**
- 15 North Carolina Department of Labor
- 16 STEVEN D. HAWKINS Tennessee Occupational Safety and Health
- 17 Administration
- 18 Public Representatives:

- 19 JEWEL ELIZABETH ARIOTO Elizabeth Arioto Safety and Health Consulting
- 20 Services
- 21 THOMAS A. BRODERICK Construction Safety Council
- 22

1	PARTICIPANTS (CONT'D):
2	Federal Representative:
3	MATT GILLEN
4	CDC-NIOSH, Office of the Director
т	Designated Federal Official:
5	C
-	MICHAEL M.X. BUCHET
6	Office of Construction Services
7	United States Department of Labor
,	Committee Contact:
8	
	VENETTA CHATMON
9	Office of Communications
10	United States Department of Labor
10	Committee Solicitor Contact:
11	
	SARAH SHORTALL
12	Office of the Solicitor
13	United States Department of Labor
15	Other Participants:
14	I
	MOHAMMAD AYUB
15	Director Office of Engineering Serv
16	SCOTT JIN
17	TRAVIS PARSONS
	Director Office of Construction Stor

vices

Director Office of Construction Standards and

Guidance

- 19 DANEZZA QUINTERO Federal Official
- 20
- SCOTT SCHNEIDER
- 21 Laborers' Union
- 22 DAVE ZWOLAK OSHA

1	P R O C E E D I N G S
2	(8:36 a.m.)
3	MR. SMITH: Let's take a seat, if
4	you would, please. And I guess the first
5	thing is, we need to call the meeting to
6	order. And Miss Secretary needs to tell us
7	whether we've got a quorum or not.
8	MS. SHORTALL: Yes, we do.
9	MR. SMITH: Okay. We do have a
10	quorum. But we don't have a lot of people.
11	So, you're pretty important. If anybody
12	leaves, we've got to stop the meeting. So,
13	please, you can't go to the bathroom, you
14	can't go to the restroom, you can't take a
15	break. We'll all take our breaks together.
16	(Laughter)
17	SPEAKER: One second.
18	SPEAKER: Define "together."

- 19 MR. SMITH: Yeah. If you need to
- 20 go real quick, just raise your hand and we'll
- 21 adjourn the meeting. That's all I know to
- 22 do. So please stay with us. Okay.

1	MR. SHORTALL: As a housekeeping
2	item, I would to report successful
3	collaborations, OSHA cooperative programs in
4	the construction industry received into the
5	hearing excuse me, received into the
6	record of this meeting as Exhibit 0016 of
7	OSHA Docket OSHA 2008-0029.
8	MR. SMITH: Thank you. Okay, Tom,
9	are you ready to do your report?
10	MD DDODEDICK, A straller Mishaal
10	MR. BRODERICK: Actually, Michael
10 11 12	
11 12	has it and he's making copies as we speak.
11 12 13	has it and he's making copies as we speak. MR. SMITH: Okay, okay. Okay, the
11 12	has it and he's making copies as we speak. MR. SMITH: Okay, okay. Okay, the only other item that we have is we get the
111 112 113 114	has it and he's making copies as we speak. MR. SMITH: Okay, okay. Okay, the only other item that we have is we get the right date this year is to talk about the
111 12 13 14	has it and he's making copies as we speak. MR. SMITH: Okay, okay. Okay, the only other item that we have is we get the right date this year is to talk about the Hazard Communication Standard, Global (off

- 19 I've not heard any negative
- 20 comments about it in the hall, so to speak,
- 21 so I assume most of you support it. But do
- 22 you have any comments about it or

1	apprehensions or questions at this point?
2	The only question right now is, I
3	want to know if you had one.
4	MR. BRODERICK: Well, I guess I
5	sort of verbalized the concern yesterday when
6	we saw the "as it is now side-by-side with
7	"what it will be." And I had a, you know, a
8	little bit of a concern with the to bring
9	us into conformance. But it seemed like the
10	statement about carcinogenicity was not as
11	strong as our data sheet format. And it
12	seemed like there were a couple of other
13	hazards that we had associated with it.
14	Do you remember that, Matt?
15	MR. GILLEN: I think you were using
16	the example of can you (off mike)?
17	MR. SMITH: Right. There were
18	target organs. And I don't think there were

- 19 target organs mentioned in the --
- 20 SPEAKER: Yes, yes.
- 21 MR. SMITH: Well, yeah, there were.
- 22 What he's saying was, you know, no one says

1	causes cancer or this one says may cause
2	cancer. And then below it, it says, causes
3	liver and lung damage.
4	MR. BRODERICK: Okay.
5	MR. SMITH: Rather than may cause
6	liver and lung damage.
7	MR. BRODERICK: Okay.
8	MR. SMITH: So, it's probably just
9	as strong. It's just worded differently.
10	MR. BRODERICK: My concern is that,
11	Michael, that we don't end up with something
12	that is not as rigorous as what we have in
13	place right now.
14	Because everybody has gotten
15	comfortable and used to the system that we
16	have. And
17	MR. SMITH: Gosh. I have never

been comfortable and used to it. I'd love to

- 19 see it standardized. I mean, you know, MSDS
- 20 isn't -- labeling comes in all different
- 21 flavors right now and I'd love to see some
- 22 consistency. Really would.

- 2 thing they're trying to do.
- 3 MR. BRODERICK: Okay.
- 4 MR. SMITH: But that's -- Frank.
- 5 MR. MIGLIACCIO: Frank Migliaccio.
- 6 I took it to the training department this
- 7 morning before I came here and they thought
- 8 it was a great idea. I mean, they -- and
- 9 they said they don't have any problems with
- 10 the training part of it at all, so.
- 11 MR. SMITH: Yeah. Other comments?
- 12 Okay. You ready to -- and we'll vote on this
- 13 later when everybody gets to the table.
- 14 We've got -- for some reason, Dan is standing
- 15 in the back of the room there.
- 16 MR. ZARLETTI: I am not feeling
- 17 well. I might head out.
- 18 MR. SMITH: Well, okay. We'll be

- 19 glad to have you when you decide to join us.
- 20 MR. ZARLETTI: Thank you.
- 21 MR. SMITH: Are you ready, Tom?
- 22 MR. BRODERICK: Yes, sir. The work

- 1 group on multilingual issues met on September
- 2 10th. We did a little better with attendees
- 3 this time. We had, I think, two or three on
- 4 our first work group meeting back: Kevin
- 5 Beauregard representing North Carolina;
- 6 Michael Buchet, OSHA staff; Steven Hawkins,
- 7 Tennessee OSHA; Vanezza Quintero, federal
- 8 official; Scott Schneider with the Laborers'
- 9 Union; and Dan Zarletti from Kenny
- 10 Construction Company.
- 11 My -- I will say that we had noted,
- 12 I believe, in a previous document that
- 13 memorialized what we did last time that we
- 14 wanted to have someone come from Steve Witt's
- 15 shop to give us an update on what the
- 16 National Hispanic OSHA -- OSHA Hispanic Task
- 17 Force has been doing.
- 18 And, you know, some of the things

- 19 that they have done in the past and some work
- 20 products that we might have that would help
- 21 our work group. And I then followed up
- 22 talking to Steve after the meeting, and he

1 assured me he would. And, for whatever

2 reason, we were not able to have someone come

3 down.

4 We had a person go down to that

5 area and hopefully we will be able to -- and

6 I will call Steve before our next ACCSH

7 meeting and remind him.

8 Because I think that since our tax

9 dollars are funding a very good idea, these

10 regional administrators get together with

11 their Hispanic coordinators and bring in

12 other resources and have a couple of day

13 meeting and they're doing really good stuff.

14 There are OSHA area offices around the

15 country that are holding fiestas and so

16 forth, where there's food involved and

17 training and that sort of thing.

18 So, I will make an effort to have

- 19 them for our next meeting.
- 20 I had a handout that I will -- I
- 21 have not made copies of, but I will get a
- 22 copy to attach to this. And it showed that

1	there has been a steady downward trend from
2	2001 through 2006 in terms of the rate of
3	Hispanic fatalities. That was one thing that
4	we had some trouble with is that we had a lot
5	of raw numbers of how many Latino workers had
6	been killed in the workplace, but unless you
7	have the hours worked for a denominator, it's
8	really hard to tell how well we're doing.
9	Verbalized that at the last
10	meeting, and a graph was produced. I think
11	Michael found it somewhere. So, the good
12	news is that the rate is coming down.
13	And then, we had some discussion
14	about what's going on in North Carolina.
15	Kevin talked to us about the workforce and
16	indicated that there is a rather large
17	Hispanic workforce and the construction and
18	agriculture employ many Latino workers.

- 19 They've had a significant reduction in the
- 20 mortality and injury among those populations.
- 21 They have their own Hispanic task force that
- 22 emulates the federal task force, the national

1	task force. And Carolina OSHA brings
2	together stakeholders that are interested in
3	the safety and health of Hispanic workers.
4	They also have two full-time
5	Hispanic training and outreach staff doing
6	training sessions and other outreach
7	opportunities, attended a large and supported
8	a large annual fiesta. And borrowed 80
9	percent of the training that they do in
10	Spanish is delivered to Latino it should
11	be construction workers.
12	North Carolina OSHA has 13 Latino
13	staff, including compliance officers, and the
14	2 outreach people that we mentioned earlier.
15	A new mobile training unit has been
16	custom-designed and built to access workers
17	at or near many of their places of employment
18	to provide convenient training. And they've

- 19 gotten to many of Latino students this way in
- 20 North Carolina.
- 21 Vanezza Quintero is a real asset to
- 22 this work group. She is our designated

- 1 federal official.
- 2 And she suggested that we start
- 3 collecting articles from Spanish newspapers.
- 4 I know we have them in Chicago, and she's
- 5 pulling them out of newspapers in this
- 6 region. And she said that those are very
- 7 effective because they -- if it's in the
- 8 newspaper, the -- this population that we're
- 9 trying to get to sees the information as
- 10 being very credible.
- 11 And she also reported that she was
- 12 a guest on a local Latino radio station where
- 13 they -- it's kind of a talk radio. And she
- 14 had told us about it before she went, and
- 15 then as she reported back how it went. And
- 16 she said one of the disappointing things is
- 17 that of the calls that were made into
- 18 question her about OSHA, they were all -- and

- 19 I think there were like several of them,
- 20 three or four -- they were all pretty
- 21 negative about OSHA. That OSHA, you know,
- 22 it's disruptive and so forth. And she

1	really, you know we talked about it and I
2	think that the negative attitude may have
3	been reflecting what the employers thought.
4	And Steve Hawkins described some
5	activities that are going on in Tennessee
6	with Tennessee OSHA designed to make
7	construction safer for the Latino workers.
8	They're using a telephonic translation system
9	for compliance personnel because it's very
10	difficult when there's a fatality or trying
11	to go out in the field and ask workers
12	questions if you have a language barrier.
13	And this telephone service allows the
14	compliance people to dial a phone number for
15	a translation service and then you have an
16	online with the speaker phone translator
17	that does real-time translation. So, that
18	seems to be a pretty good asset.

- 19 And I believe there are a number of
- 20 states that are trying to adopt this. Kevin
- 21 Beauregard indicated North Carolina is
- 22 looking at the technology right now.

1	And, Steve, you know, kind of the
2	towards the end of the meeting, brought up
3	a really good question for all of us. It
4	was, with the burgeoning Hispanic population,
5	it's not going to be too long before we're
6	going to be having companies that not only
7	have Latino workers that are speaking
8	Spanish, but they are going to be working in
9	a place of employment that's owned by a
10	Latino owner who speaks only Spanish. So,
11	then the dynamics really start to change when
12	you really don't have anyone within the
13	company who can help out by translating.
14	Then we really have an education
15	issue to make sure the owner of the company
16	really does understand that there is an OSHA
17	and what their responsibilities are and so
18	forth.

- 19 And then the meeting adjourned at
- 20 10 a.m. With no further business to conduct.
- 21 MR. SMITH: Thank you. Questions
- 22 or comments? Do we have a motion that we

- 1 accept the report? I have a motion, Frank,
- 2 second by Tom.
- 3 Any more discussion? And you
- 4 recommend continuing, right? With this task
- 5 force?
- 6 MR. BRODERICK: Yes.
- 7 MR. SMITH: Okay. Any action we
- 8 need to take today, other than receiving the
- 9 report?
- 10 Mr. BRODERICK: Not that I think --
- 11 not that I can think of. I -- again, I just
- 12 hope we get some cooperation -- I guess it --
- 13 well, one of the things we did find out from
- 14 Vanezza -- because she came into the meeting
- 15 a little late -- is that the task force
- 16 really has not been meeting on a regular
- 17 basis. So it could be they really didn't
- 18 have anything to report.

- 19 And, in that case, then maybe we
- 20 could be a helpful to help them get back on
- 21 track.
- 22 MR. SMITH: Okay. Yes, sir.

1 Frank.

2	MR. MIGLIACCIO:	Frank Migliaccio.
---	-----------------	-------------------

- 3 Tom, what I'm going to do -- I'm going to
- 4 call my brother. He's the apprentice
- 5 coordinator here at the arts school here in
- 6 Washington, D.C. We have Spanish-speaking
- 7 instructors. And we also have -- where we
- 8 have a woman come in two nights a week to
- 9 teach the Spanish-speaking English classes.
- 10 But we -- I'd like to get a couple of those
- 11 opinions, the people that work at the local
- 12 are Spanish-speaking, bilingual, to come in
- 13 to your task force. I think they'd be a real

14 asset to him.

- 15 MR. SMITH: That'd be very good.
- 16 MR. MIGLIACCIO: I think it'd be
- 17 really helpful, so.
- 18 MR. SMITH: All in favor of

- 19 receiving this report, say aye.
- 20 SPEAKERS: Aye.
- 21 MR. SMITH: All opposed, likewise.
- 22 SPEAKER: Got to make sure I call.

1	MR. SMITH: Okay. Let's
2	MR. SHORTALL: Mr. Chair, at this
3	point I'd like to mark the multilingual
4	issues construction worksite group work
5	group report from September 10 as Exhibit
6	0017 in the previously mentioned docket. And
7	reserve as Exhibit 0017.1 which I believe
8	is the graph?
9	SPEAKER: Yes.
10	MS. SHORTALL: The graph of
11	Hispanic construction worker fatalities,
12	which Mr. Broderick will be giving to me.
13	MR. SMITH: Okay. So done. At
14	this time, we'll finish the discussion on and
15	vote on or floor will entertain the motion
16	on global harmonitization.
17	Do we need the standards and data

18 people in to answer any questions? If you

- 19 do, they're available and we'll ask them to
- 20 come into the room.
- 21 If not, we'll proceed on. It's up
- 22 to you. Does anybody desire them to come in?
- 1 At this point? Okay. What would be your
- 2 pleasure? Frank?
- 3 MR. MIGLIACCIO: I make a motion to
- 4 put this through to Fed OSHA that we agree

5 with them.

- 6 MR. SMITH: Okay.
- 7 MS. SHORTALL: Is that -- should I
- 8 take that motion to mean that you're

9 recommending that ACCSH is recommending to

- 10 the agency that they --
- 11 MR. SMITH: Proceed.
- 12 MS. SHORTALL: -- proceed with the
- 13 rulemaking JHS? Thank you, so much.
- 14 MR. SMITH: Very eloquently put.
- 15 MS. SHORTALL: It was with the help

16 of the chair.

17 MR. SMITH: Sounds right to me. Is

18 there a second?

- 19 SPEAKER: Second.
- 20 MR. SMITH: Okay. We'll have a
- 21 motion by Frank and seconded by Thomas.
- 22 SPEAKER: For a guidance.

1	MR. SMITH: Who was it that made
2	the great motion yesterday?
3	SPEAKER: Bob.
4	MR. SMITH: He's not even here.
5	Help us with wording.
6	MR. KAVICKY: I wrote that down,
7	Frank. I had a nice motion written down and
8	
9	MR. MIGLIACCIO: Oh, I'm sorry.
10	MR. SMITH: Okay. Any further
11	discussion? Any further discussion?
12	Anybody?
13	Okay. All in favor, say aye.
14	SPEAKERS: Aye.
15	MR. SMITH: All opposed, likewise.
16	Motion carries. Thank you very much.
17	MR. RUSSELL: Mr. Chairman? If I
18	can, I'd like to give the ROPS work group

- 19 report for the committee.
- 20 MR. SMITH: Please do. We already
- 21 have it entered into the record, so.
- 22 SPEAKER: Yes.

1	MR. SMITH: Okay. And I think,
2	Tom, according to what I heard Dan say
3	yesterday, you have another motion you'd like
4	to make. We're open for general business
5	right now.
6	MR. BRODERICK: Okay. Actually
7	Frank is going to make it.
8	MR. SMITH: Frank's going to make
9	it, okay. I can't keep up with you guys, but
10	that's fine.
11	MR. MIGLIACCIO: Frank Migliaccio.
12	Yeah, we'd like the ACCSH Committee to
13	recommend that the work group named Focused
14	Inspection be renamed OSHA Enforcement with
15	the group's charge broadened to include
16	focused inspection, targeting, emphasis on
17	and any and all other matters encompassed

- 19 MR. SMITH: Okay, thank you.
- 20 MR. MIGLIACCIO: That way we feel
- 21 as thought we'll get maybe more people come
- 22 in and give us a better idea of what's

- 1 happening out there.
- 2 MR. SMITH: Okay.
- 3 MR. PARSONS: Second.
- 4 MR. SMITH: You have a motion and a
- 5 second by Thomas. Read the motion one more

6 time.

7	MR. MIGLIACCIO: ACCSH recommend
8	that the work group named Focused Inspections
9	be renamed OSHA Enforcement with the group's
10	charge broadened to include focused
11	inspections, targeting, emphasis, and any and
12	all other matters encompassed under OSHA
13	Enforcement.
14	MR. SMITH: Okay.
15	MR. BRODERICK: I'd like to make a
16	motion to ACCSH that that be adopted.
17	MR. SMITH: Right. We have that
18	motion and a second all right.

- 19 MR. BRODERICK: Okay.
- 20 MR. SMITH: So we have the motion
- 21 on the floor and second to go to ACCSH -- I
- 22 mean, to go to the agency.

1	Somebody yesterday offered to help
2	with the name. I forget who that was. They
3	were going to make a suggestion.
4	MR. SMITH: Ms. Arioto is going to
5	be his proxy.
6	MS. ARIOTO: Liz Arioto. I'm
7	talking on behalf of Dan Zarletti. He would
8	like to name the work group Compliance
9	Enforcement. Is that right?
10	MR. ZARLETTI: Regulatory
11	Compliance.
12	MS. ARIOTO: Regulatory Compliance.
13	MR. ZARLETTI: I just think the
14	OSHA Enforcement seems a little harsh.
15	MR. SMITH: Regulatory Compliance
16	instead of OSHA Enforcement.
17	MR. MIGLIACCIO: Yes.

18 MR. ZARLETTI: (off mike) anything

19 no matter what comes in.

- 20 MS. ARIOTO: Okay.
- 21 MR. ZARLETTI: But that's just a
- 22 suggestion.

1	MR. SMITH: Okay. That's a
2	suggestion. Will the person that made the
3	motion, which is Frank, and Thomas who
4	seconded it, consider that name change?
5	MR. MIGLIACCIO: Yes.
6	MR. SMITH: Okay. Then I think we
7	can do that by Sarah's left me. We can do
8	that by acclimation and just by agreement and
9	we'll change the motion without a formal
10	amendment.
11	MR. KAVICKY: Okay. So we're
12	naming it?
13	MR. SMITH: Regulatory compliance.
14	Good idea, Dan. Sarah, can we change this
15	motion without a formal amendment or do we
16	need a
17	MS. SHORTALL: No, if the maker of
18	the motion will agree to the change.

- 19 MR. SMITH: He already has and the
- 20 second has agreed. Okay, we've changed the
- 21 name then. So Frank, read the motion one
- 22 more time like it's now stated.

1	MR. MIGLIACCIO: All right. ACCSH
2	recommend that the work group named Focused
3	Inspections be renamed Regulatory Compliance
4	with the group's charge broadened to include
5	focused inspections, targeting, emphasis, and
6	any and all other matters encompassed under
7	OSHA Enforcement.
8	MR. SMITH: Right. Okay. And that
9	doesn't mean that they've got to work on all
10	that at one time, but they can prioritize and
11	work on it as desired. It gives them that
12	option. That's the way I interpret the
13	motion.
14	Yes, sir, Tom?
15	MR. BRODERICK: While we're still
16	in the writing it down. Sarah?
17	MS. SHORTALL: Yes.
18	MR. BRODERICK: After the word

- 19 "emphasis," the word "programs" should be
- 20 added.
- 21 MS. SHORTALL: Thank you very much.
- 22 Even without the word "programs" it's an

1	eloquent totally eloquent motion. Thank
2	you.
3	MR. MIGLIACCIO: I didn't do it.
4	(Laughter)
5	MS. SHORTALL: Whoever did it, it's
6	totally eloquent.
7	MR. MIGLIACCIO: I (off mike)
8	credit.
9	MS. SHORTALL: Thank you very much.
10	SPEAKER: In case there's anything
11	wrong with it.
12	MR. SMITH: I think one of the
13	reasons (off mike) here today.
14	SPEAKER: That's right.
15	MR. SMITH: Any discussion? All in
16	favor of the motion say aye.
17	SPEAKERS: Aye.
18	MR. SMITH: All opposed? The

- 19 motion carries.
- 20 Anyone else have any items they'd
- 21 like to present?
- 22 MR. RUSSELL: If I may, I have one

1	more work group
2	MR. SMITH: Sure, Emmett.
3	MR. RUSSELL: which is the (off
4	mike) work group. (off mike) work group.
5	SPEAKER: Emmett, can you pull the
6	microphone closer to you? We're having
7	trouble picking you up.
8	MR. RUSSELL: Emmett Russell. I'd
9	like to present to the committee the
10	Trenching Work Group report.
11	MR. SMITH: Thank you. And I think
12	we read that into the record yesterday.
13	Okay, we're still in the general
14	discussion, general business. Any member
15	wishing to make comments, now's the time to
16	do it. Do we have anyone from the public
17	wishing to speak today?
18	MR. KAVICKY: Mr. Chairman?

- 19 MR. SMITH: Yes, sir, Tom.
- 20 MR. KAVICKY: I would like to make
- 21 the comment and I don't know if it's
- 22 appropriate at this point in time or not, but

1	I would like to make the recommendation that
2	we put together a work group on nail gun
3	issues after the amount of discussion that we
4	had yesterday with the full body, if that's
5	to your liking.
6	MR. SMITH: Yes, sir, that seemed
7	to be an area of agreement and that's an area
8	of concern from the conversation.
9	MR. GILLEN: I would second that
10	motion.
11	MR. SMITH: Okay, we have a motion
12	and a second to go to the chair and also go
13	to the agency that we create a task force on
14	nail guns. And as Mike mentioned, I could do
15	that by just, you know, assigning it and
16	doing it, but I think a motion would be
17	proper, too, if

- 19 volunteer co-chairs.
- 20 MR. SMITH: Okay. Who volunteered
- 21 to be the co-chairs?
- 22 MR. BUCHET: Liz Arioto and Mr.

1]	Kavicky.
-----	----------

2 MR. KAVICKY: Liz and myself.

3 MR. SMITH: Liz and Thomas, okay.

4 Super. Let's do that then. We'll write that

5 into effect then and we will now have a task

6 force on nail guns.

7 MR. KAVICKY: Great. Thank you,

8 Mr. Chairman.

9 MR. SMITH: Right. Let's take the

10 vote just as a matter of formality. All in

11 favor say aye.

12 SPEAKERS: Aye.

13 MR. SMITH: All opposed likewise?

14 Thank you very much.

15 MR. BRODERICK: Mr. Chairman?

16 MR. SMITH: Yes, sir.

17 MR. BRODERICK: I'm sorry, I wasn't

18 really paying attention. Was that a motion

19 to create --

- 20 MR. SMITH: Create a task force on
- 21 nail guns, yes, sir.
- 22 MR. BRODERICK: Okay. I have some

- 1 documents that were provided to me by OSHA
- 2 that -- I mean, by NIOSH that may be of
- 3 interest to enter into the record as
- 4 justification for why we're doing this right
- 5 now: Increase in injuries, an article from
- 6 the San Francisco Bee, "Nail Gun
- 7 Manufacturers Were Warned in 2002 That Their
- 8 Safety Efforts Fell Short"; "Nail Gun
- 9 Injuries Treated in the Emergency Departments
- 10 in the United States, 2001 Through 2005."
- 11 MR. SMITH: Okay. If you will hand
- 12 those to Sarah, we will give them a number
- 13 and read them into the record, and also let
- 14 Thomas and Liz have those and they'll use
- 15 them for the task force at the next meeting.
- 16 MS. SHORTALL: The good thing about
- 17 getting them into the record is within a
- 18 couple of days after they've been put into

- 19 the docket, you can just go on
- 20 regulations.gov and pull all of it down for
- 21 getting yourself prepared for the work group.
- 22 MR. SMITH: Yeah. Pass those up

1	this way, Tom, if you would and we'll
2	MR. BRODERICK: I'm sorry?
3	MR. SMITH: Pass those up this way
4	and we'll put a number on them and read them
5	into the record.
6	MR. BRODERICK: Okay. I just
7	noticed that in one of these there seems to
8	be a page missing.
9	MR. GILLEN: I'll be happy to
10	provide electronic copies of them.
11	MR. BRODERICK: Do you have them as
12	well?
13	MR. GILLEN: I don't have them with
14	me, but I'll be happy to follow up if there's
15	a page missing.
16	MR. BRODERICK: Okay.
17	MR. GILLEN: Provide it (off mike).
18	SPEAKER: (off mike) e-mail them.

- 19 MR. GILLEN: Sure.
- 20 MR. SMITH: Okay. We'll read those
- 21 into the record right here. Read those in
- 22 quick if you can.

- 1 MS. SHORTALL: Okay. I've got to
- 2 get the numbers. Okay.
- 3 Mr. Chair, then I'd like to mark an
- 4 article entitled, "Nail Gun Makers Warned in
- 5 2002 That Their Safety Efforts Fell Short,
- 6 Documents Show," as Exhibit 0018; a CDC MMWR
- 7 mortality report on nail gun injuries treated
- 8 in the emergency departments as Exhibit
- 9 0018.1; and an article entitled, "How Much
- 10 Time is Safety Worth? A Comparison of
- 11 Trigger Configurations of Pneumatic Nail Guns
- 12 on Residential Framing," as Exhibit 0018.2.
- 13 Mr. Gillen, I have a question.
- 14 This is from Public Health Reports. Do you
- 15 happen to know if that's a copyrighted
- 16 journal?
- 17 MR. GILLEN: I don't know. I'll
- 18 check.

19 MS. SHORTALL: All right. Thank

- 20 you.
- 21 MR. SMITH: Okay, thank you. At
- 22 this time we will dispense with comments and

1	we will we've got one more presentation
2	this morning. And after that presentation,
3	we'll have some additional comments from the
4	Board.
5	Mohammad, come on up. Construction
6	safety update. I think he's going to give us
7	an update on the investigation of crane
8	accidents maybe.
9	MR. AYUB: Yeah. I think I just
10	want to bring you up to date as to some of
11	the recent construction failures that we are
12	investigating. And I think it's been pointed
13	(off mike) and perhaps three or four of them
14	pertains to crane failures and the others
15	pertain to the scaffold failures and (off
16	mike) failures here.
17	The first one was the March 15,
18	2008, crane collapse on (off mike) of the

- 19 51st Street East in Manhattan, New York. We
- 20 are going to issue a press release today I
- 21 believe which will indicate the findings of
- 22 our (off mike) investigations. So unless the

1	press release is done I'm not privy to reveal
2	to you the findings of the collapse, but it
3	will be in the newspapers and everything.
4	We had focused here on the use of
5	the 2-inch wide polyester slings, four of
6	them, which was used to suspend the collar
7	around the mast of the crane, the collar it
8	used to tie the lateral tie beams which are
9	supported on the building floors at one end
10	and it is tied to the collar at the other
11	end. And the purpose of the tie beam is to
12	provide stability to the crane mast. The
13	crane was already tied on the third floor and
14	on the ninth floor. And on the day of the
15	incident the ties were being placed on the
16	18th floor.
17	As the very first tie was being

18 placed into the pocket of the collar, the

- 19 entire collar dropped freely and it went on
- 20 the ninth floor collar. Due to the impact of
- 21 the weight of the collar dropping about 75
- 22 feet, the collar on the 9th floor, the

1	connection failed with the tie beams. And
2	now these two collars are now falling freely
3	on the third floor collar and the third floor
4	collar remained attached to the building.
5	And by that time, the mast of the crane,
6	about 250 feet, became like a freestanding
7	column unstable. It leaned somewhat
8	towards the north and then it fell on the
9	south, hitting a building across the street.
10	At the location where the mast hit the
11	building it sheared off and then the crane
12	superstructure, with the counterweight and
13	with the boom, somersaulted and it fell about
14	a block away and damaged three or four
15	buildings. And six fatalities pertained to
16	the construction employees and one was an
17	employee who was one was a person who was
18	in an apartment building about a block away.

- 19 So we focused on the investigation
- 20 of the slings. And perhaps in our next
- 21 meeting I will talk in detail about our
- 22 findings and about the conclusions that we

- 1 have reached, which may lead you to recommend
- 2 whether or not it is prudent to use polyester
- 3 slings to hang such a heavy, rigid body like
- 4 a collar.
- 5 A collar is a very rigid body.
- 6 It's not flexible. It does not twist. And
- 7 these slings are such that they are, you
- 8 know, prone to large elongations on the load.
- 9 And if one sling elongates more than the
- 10 others, then there is a constant need to use
- 11 come-alongs and to level them. And also, in
- 12 case one sling fails, okay, out of the four,
- 13 if one sling fails, then the opposite sling
- 14 is also unloaded. So now you have only two
- 15 slings supporting the entire weight. And we
- 16 also had some discussion about the manner in
- 17 which the slings were choked, it was, you
- 18 know, rigged.

- 19 So I think the next ACCSH meeting
- 20 we will give you some more definitive
- 21 conclusions. And perhaps ACCSH may recommend
- 22 to issue some directive against using the
| 1 | polyester slings. | Yes? |
|---|-------------------|------|
|---|-------------------|------|

2 MR. BRODERICK: My understanding is

3 that New York has taken action and is now

4 forbidding the use of nylon slings for

5 rigging in erecting and dismantling and

6 jumping of tower cranes. Are you familiar

7 with that?

8 MR. AYUB: We had known that they

9 are meeting and they are considering it, but

10 I have not heard that they have already

11 issued a directive not to use them. That is

12 not in our (off mike). But if it is, it must

13 be -- it is in the right direction.

14 This is the picture (off mike) of

15 that collar. It weighs about 12,000 pounds

16 and it was suspended from the mast at 4

17 collars.

18 These are the collars. The very

- 19 top collar was the collar which was suspended
- 20 on the day of the incident, the middle collar
- 21 was the one which was on the ninth floor, and
- 22 the lowest collar was on the third floor.

1	This has some of the pictures. And
2	essentially this is how the 2-inch wide
3	polyester sling fractured. And we had
4	retained a sling expert, who had examined it
5	under a microscope and came to the conclusion
6	that due to the heat generated in the manner
7	in which it was choked, because it was seated
8	in a V-shaped notch, and when you pull it, it
9	created heat there and it failed.
10	We also retained Lehigh University
	We also retained Lehigh University to do some actual testing of the slings. And
	to do some actual testing of the slings. And
11	to do some actual testing of the slings. And I think I will discuss it more in our next
11 12	to do some actual testing of the slings. And I think I will discuss it more in our next
11 12 13	to do some actual testing of the slings. And I think I will discuss it more in our next meeting here.
11 12 13 14	to do some actual testing of the slings. And I think I will discuss it more in our next meeting here. Moving along yes?
 11 12 13 14 15 	to do some actual testing of the slings. And I think I will discuss it more in our next meeting here. Moving along yes? MR. ZWOLAK: Mohammad?

- 19 the heat generated. And is that from the
- 20 friction of the sling rubbing against?
- 21 MR. AYUB: Okay. When you tie --
- 22 MR. ZWOLAK: What do you mean by

1	"heat	generated?"
---	-------	-------------

- 2 MR. AYUB: When you try to squeeze
- 3 a 2-inch wide sling into a narrow V-shape --
- 4 MR. ZWOLAK: I understand.
- 5 MR. AYUB: -- and then you pull it,
- 6 then that generates heat.
- 7 MR. ZWOLAK: Okay, it's from the
- 8 friction.
- 9 MR. AYUB: Yes.
- 10 MR. ZWOLAK: It's from the

11 friction, okay.

12 MR. AYUB: Yes, yes.

13 MR. ZWOLAK: Great, thank you.

14 Thank you.

- 15 MR. AYUB: The next crane we have
- 16 almost concluded the investigation. Here,
- 17 too, it was the March 25th crane collapsing,
- 18 Miami, Florida, that killed two of the

- 19 construction employees. This happened during
- 20 the jumping of the crane. As the building is
- 21 going up there is a need to raise the
- 22 elevation of the crane. And as new sections

1	were being added, this incident took place.
2	There was a new section that was
3	raised from the ground up and it was
4	supported on a trolley beam. The crane
5	employee decided not to proceed with the jump
6	anymore. They wanted to go to lunch.
7	And after lunch, they will come
8	back and then they wanted to proceed.
9	So they didn't want this new
10	section hanging from the trolley beam. So
11	they wanted to lower the new section. While
12	they were trying to lower it, it became windy
13	and it was kind of swinging in the wind. So
14	they pulled the trolley beam closer to the
15	crane mast and then they tried to lower the
16	new section.
17	While they were lowering it, we
18	suspect that it hit a pin of the crane mast.

- 19 Because the new section hit a pin, it became
- 20 dislodged from the trolley beam. It became
- 21 dislodged so much that it twisted and it came
- 22 off the trolley beam and it fell over an

- 1 older building, which was being used as an
- 2 office by the contractor. It was a
- 3 one-story, timber, home-type of construction
- 4 and that thing fell through the roof and it
- 5 killed the two people there.
- 6 We are almost at the end of our
- 7 investigations here, too.
- 8 MR. BRODERICK: Were the slings
- 9 intact then?
- 10 MR. AYUB: There were no slings
- 11 here. It was being suspended by a trolley
- 12 beam. And the trolley beam had an A-frame in
- 13 which there was a hook and it was attached.
- 14 So when it came off the trolley beam it just
- 15 tilted. It failed the A-frame off the
- 16 trolley beam and it became completely
- 17 dislodged. And there was no redundancy in
- 18 the system, meaning if this new section comes

- 19 off the hook, it just drops down. There was
- 20 no other redundant support for this new
- 21 section here.
- 22 We also believe that the crane

- 1 erector did not really follow the
- 2 recommendations of the crane manufacturer in
- 3 this case. Yes?
- 4 MR. BUCHET: So it was the hooks on
- 5 the attachments to the trolley beam, there
- 6 were no safety latches.
- 7 MR. AYUB: There were no safety
- 8 latches.
- 9 MR. BUCHET: And it was hooks, not
- 10 shackles, so there was nothing -- after it
- 11 rolled a certain amount there was nothing to

12 hold it in place?

- 13 MR. AYUB: It was -- no, I take it
- 14 back. I take it back. It was shackled
- 15 actually. It was not on the hook. I'm
- 16 sorry, it was not on the hook.
- 17 It was shackled. But that frame,
- 18 it just rolled on the bottom flank of the

- 19 trolley beam.
- 20 MR. BUCHET: Did the trolley come
- 21 off?
- 22 MR. AYUB: The trolley did not come

1	off, but the	A-frame,	which	was	moving	and,

2 you know, rolling on the bottom flank of the

- 3 trolley beam, that frame came off. That
- 4 frame came off because when that hit the pin,
- 5 it only hit the pin on one side. If it had

6 hit the pin on both sides, it would have been

- 7 okay. But since it hit the pin on one side,
- 8 it became lopsided. Because it became

9 lopsided, one end of the A-frame came off the

- 10 trolley beam and then it fell off.
- 11 MR. BUCHET: And this is -- so the
- 12 transition from the crane to the trolley beam
- 13 had already taken place. The crane was

14 disconnected.

15 MR. AYUB: That is correct. This

16 is the same picture. This is the section

17 which fell onto the house on the right side,

18 you can see.

- 19 Again, we go back to New York.
- 20 This is the May 30th crane collapse, one
- 21 killed. Here there is a turntable at the
- 22 top. That turntable on the left side, you

can see, that is the one that is going to

1

allow the rotation of the crane 2 superstructure here. 3 This (off mike) assembly of the 4 crane mast at the very top was not to be --5 6 was determined New York crane inspectors 7 about, you know, one year ago that it should 8 not be used because they found certain cracks 9 in the rotation assembly. The contractor 10 fixed it by doing some in-house welding of 11 the ring. And by doing the in-house welding, 12 which we believe was not subjected to any scan or any radiographic test, that weld 13 14 failed at that location. 15 And right now that ring is in the Fritz lab at Lehigh University and we are 16 trying to have that ring examined 17 18 ultrasonically so that we can determine

- 19 whether there was any pre-existing cracks,
- 20 whether there was any flaw in the weld, there
- 21 was any cold joints there, whether there was
- 22 any lack of fusion there. And the culprit at

- 1 this time seems to be the welding that was
- 2 done at that location.
- 3 MR. RUSSELL: A question.
- 4 MR. AYUB: Yes.
- 5 MR. RUSSELL: On the ring, I've

6 heard stories that the ring may have been an

7 old ring or may have been a remanufactured

8 ring. Did you get any indication as to the

9 age of the actual ring itself?

10 MR. AYUB: Okay. The information

11 is fairly hard to come by and we have not,

12 you know, arrived at the bottom line on this

13 issue as to whether -- as to where it was

14 obtained from and about the age of the ring.

- 15 We are not there yet. We are still obtaining
- 16 information. Here are some of the pictures
- 17 of the damage that was done here.
- 18 Little Rock, Arkansas. I think now

- 19 we are moving away from the cranes. There is
- 20 a scaffold which is suspended from a bridge
- 21 and the purpose of the scaffold was to let
- 22 the employees hang a 30-inch diameter water

1	tube under the bridge. And the manner in
2	which it was rigged was that from the two
3	between the two up-going and down the street
4	there was a hole there and there they had
5	suspended a steel plate. And here, if you
6	can see this here, there was a (off mike)
7	there which was supporting this entire
8	scaffold.
9	And if you will go to the next one,
10	here it is. This entire scaffold, which is
11	about 43 feet long, was being supported by a
12	point at this location there. And this is
13	SPEAKER: There's a joint in the
	SPEAKER: There's a joint in the middle (off mike).
	·
14	middle (off mike).

18 don't punch too many buttons.

- 19 MR. AYUB: I want to go back there.
- 20 SPEAKER: (off mike) got to go
- 21 back.
- 22 MR. AYUB: Yes, yes. Okay. You

1	can see this mechanism here. This is a
2	1/4-inch thick plate. In this hole there was
3	a pin and the pin was supporting the load of
4	the entire 43-feet long scaffold plus the
5	weight and the equipment of all the employees
6	who were working on it.
7	When we did our unistructural
8	analysis we came to the conclusion that this
9	plate was too thin. This plate instead of
10	being a 1/4-inch thick, it should have been
11	at least 1/2-inch thick here. And our finite
12	element analysis shows that it just sheared
13	off here at these two locations here.
14	It doesn't meet the OSHA standards.
15	It does not meet the 4-to-1 requirements.
16	And there were a series of violations here.
17	It was not designed properly. And to support
18	the entire weight of the scaffold at one

- 19 location without any other redundancy,
- 20 without any other support, is not a good
- 21 practice here.
- 22 We are going to send this to the

1	lab just to make sure that this fracture
2	analysis is done correctly. And we want to
3	make sure that there is some fatigue loading
4	on this one here. Because as the cars are
5	moving on the highway, it tends to vibrate.
6	And the more it will vibrate, the more
7	tension, compression, tension, compression is
8	going to be on this here. So we are going to
9	have it tested in a lab and we will go from
10	there.
11	MR. GILLEN: Can you comment
12	whether there are engineer evaluations that
13	have gone along with that design?
14	MR. AYUB: We could not we had
15	made a request to the contractor for some
16	engineering computations (off mike)
17	evaluations and it was not forthcoming.
18	MR. BUCHET: Mohammad, can you stay

- 19 by the microphone so they can tape all this?
- 20 MR. AYUB: Yeah. And it was not
- 21 forthcoming. But it is not really unusable.
- 22 In many of the instances that we tried to

1	investigate they just bypass engineering and
2	they just do it by their gut feeling as to
3	this is how it should be. Yes, sir?
4	MR. RUSSELL: Was that component
5	manufactured by the scaffold manufacturer or
6	was that done by the contractor?
7	MR. AYUB: It was done by the
8	contractor. It was done by the contractor.
9	Yes?
10	MR. KAVICKY: Just out of
11	curiosity, Mohammad, what was the thickness
12	at the bottom radius of that pinhole?
13	MR. AYUB: Here?
14	MR. KAVICKY: Yeah. What was the
15	dimension from the bottom of the pinhole to
16	the bottom of the plate?
17	MR. AYUB: I believe it was no more

than three-eighths of an inch.

- 19 MR. KAVICKY: Three-eighths.
- 20 MR. AYUB: Yeah.
- 21 MR. KAVICKY: That's what it looks
- 22 like, 3/8, a 1/2-inch maybe.

1	MR. AYUB: Yes.
2	MR. ZARLETTI: (off mike) do it
3	all. Mohammad, is there a reason that this
4	particular scaffold would have required
5	engineering before erection?
6	MR. AYUB: There was a reason
7	because this scaffold is 43 feet long, it has
8	got 5 or 6 employees on it. And the manner
9	it was being suspended under a dynamic load
10	of the road and the highway, there was (off
11	mike) a need for some sound engineering. And
12	it should not have been designed by the
13	contractors offhand. It should have gone to
14	some I mean, it would have only cost the
15	contractor a couple of thousand of dollars to
16	hire a structural engineer and have them
17	design it properly. It would have been much
18	more desirable. But this is not the first

- 19 time that we have seen it.
- 20 Yes?
- 21 MR. ZWOLAK: And Mohammad, this is
- 22 a temporary structure on the bridge, is that

1	correct?

2	MR. AYUB: That is correct. It is
3	just to support the scaffold. It's just a
4	temporary structure. After the water line
5	will be erected, supported on the underside
6	of the bridge, it will be removed. Perhaps
7	they could use it just to maintain the pipes
8	maybe in the future, but as the pipes are,
9	you know, erected, it will be removed.
10	MR. ZWOLAK: So a follow-up
10 11	
	-
11	question. So this contractor would have
11 12	question. So this contractor would have when he was finished with this job, he would
11 12 13	question. So this contractor would have when he was finished with this job, he would have taken this structure off this bridge and
 11 12 13 14 	question. So this contractor would have when he was finished with this job, he would have taken this structure off this bridge and then gone on to the next job and used it
 11 12 13 14 15 	question. So this contractor would have when he was finished with this job, he would have taken this structure off this bridge and then gone on to the next job and used it someplace else?

18 attached to the bridge?

- 19 MR. AYUB: Oh, there was a dolly.
- 20 There was a dolly. It was like a gantry
- 21 crane. There was a dolly on the bridge and
- 22 the plate went between the tube, between the

1	up and the down streets. And if you want to
2	move the scaffold, you just move the dolly.
3	As you move the dolly, the whole scaffold is
4	now moving.
5	MR. HAWKINS: I think one of your
6	slides shows that, how it moves.
7	MR. AYUB: Yes, yes.
8	MR. HAWKINS: Go forward one slide.
9	MR. AYUB: Forward.
10	MR. HAWKINS: No, forward. Right
11	there.
12	MR. AYUB: Right there.
13	MR. HAWKINS: Doesn't that show the
14	trolley between the two (off mike)?
15	MR. AYUB: Yes, exactly. Exactly.
16	MR. BUCHET: No, the trolley's on
17	the roof there.
18	SPEAKER: The trolley's up on top.

19 MR. AYUB: There is a dolly --

- 20 yeah.
- 21 MR. BUCHET: (off mike) and it
- 22 suspends that crane under the bridge.

1	MR. HAWKINS: Yeah, right there,
2	okay.
3	MR. AYUB: Right there. Yeah, on
4	the very first one you can see that how it
5	operates. Now
6	MR. ZARLETTI: So this isn't really
7	a scaffold collapse as much as it is the
8	suspension of the scaffold that collapsed.
9	MR. AYUB: It's part of the
10	scaffold. It's part of the scaffold, I mean,
11	how the scaffold is supported at the top, so
12	this whole assembly is a part of the
13	scaffold.
14	MR. ZARLETTI: Yeah, (off mike)
15	manufacturers at this point.
16	MR. AYUB: It was not by a
17	manufacturer. It was kind of a homemade
18	thing.

- 19 MR. HAWKINS: The whole thing was?
- 20 MR. AYUB: No, not the platform,
- 21 not the scaffold, but this entire plate and
- 22 how it was tied to the dolly and how the hole

- 1 was made.
- 2 MR. MIGLIACCIO: Mohammad?
- 3 MR. AYUB: Yes.
- 4 MR. MIGLIACCIO: Mohammad, Frank
- 5 Migliaccio. On this picture here, when Tom
- 6 Kavicky asked the distance of this point
- 7 right here, you said it was about
- 8 three-eighths of an inch.
- 9 MR. AYUB: Yes.
- 10 MR. MIGLIACCIO: If you look at
- 11 this picture here, there's no way that could
- 12 be three- eighths of an inch.
- 13 MR. AYUB: No, no, he was asking
- 14 from the bottom of the hole to the bottom of
- 15 the plate. Okay.
- 16 MR. KAVICKY: The bottom radius of
- 17 the hole --
- 18 MR. AYUB: Let me go back there.

19 MR. KAVICKY: -- to the bottom of

- 20 the --
- 21 MR. AYUB: Here.
- 22 MR. KAVICKY: -- stop itself (off
- 1 mike).
- 2 MR. AYUB: This is what he's
- 3 asking, exactly.
- 4 MR. KAVICKY: Okay, go to the next
- 5 picture.
- 6 MR. AYUB: Next picture.
- 7 MR. KAVICKY: Yeah. No, no, the
- 8 picture before.
- 9 MR. AYUB: Okay.
- 10 MR. MIGLIACCIO: You're saying this
- 11 right here, is from here to here, is

12 three-eighths of an inch?

13 MR. AYUB: Yes, that's what I'm

14 saying.

- 15 MR. MIGLIACCIO: How big is this
- 16 thing? If that's three-eighths of an inch,
- 17 this has got to be smaller than what we're
- 18 thinking.

- 19 SPEAKER: I think it's distortion.
- 20 MR. AYUB: It is just the manner in
- 21 which this picture was taken.
- 22 SPEAKER: (off mike)

1	MR. AYUB: Yeah, this is a better
2	shot here. This is
3	SPEAKER: (off mike) three-eighths
4	and (off mike) quarters. That's (off mike).
5	MR. AYUB: Yeah. Is Dr. Jin here?
6	No, he's not here.
7	MR. MIGLIACCIO: (off mike) maybe
8	three- quarters, so you're looking (off
9	mike).
10	MR. AYUB: Yeah, we will call
	MR. AYUB: Yeah, we will call yeah, I'm going to call the
11	yeah, I'm going to call the
11 12	yeah, I'm going to call the SPEAKER: (off mike)
11 12 13	yeah, I'm going to call the SPEAKER: (off mike) MR. MIGLIACCIO: That's what I'm saying, if you take that as a 1/4-inch stop
11 12 13 14	yeah, I'm going to call the SPEAKER: (off mike) MR. MIGLIACCIO: That's what I'm saying, if you take that as a 1/4-inch stop
 11 12 13 14 15 	yeah, I'm going to call the SPEAKER: (off mike) MR. MIGLIACCIO: That's what I'm saying, if you take that as a 1/4-inch stop

19 SPEAKER: Right, correct, it's (off

- 20 mike). Think about that.
- 21 MR. AYUB: We are going to call --
- 22 MR. ZWOLAK: It just seems pretty

1	thin to be hanging seven guys (off mike).
2	MR. AYUB: Okay. Your we are
3	going to call Dr. Jin here and Dr. Jin is the
4	one who's doing this investigation and
5	perhaps he will he is going to shed more
6	lights on it. Yes?
7	MR. ZWOLAK: Mohammad, Dave Zwolak,
8	another question. Is this the first time
9	this was used or had this been used for
10	multiple years already?
11	MR. AYUB: No.
12	MR. ZWOLAK: How old was this
13	assembly?
14	MR. AYUB: This, I cannot say
15	exactly how old was this, but it was this
16	was not the first time it was being used on
17	this bridge. It was used earlier, too. But
18	perhaps due to the fatigue and due to the

- 19 reversal of the stresses, it was on the verge
- 20 of failure at that location. But then again,
- 21 I don't know whether it was used earlier or
- 22 not.

1	Okay. I think we will wait for Dr.
2	Scott Jin and then I think he's going to
3	elaborate on that. Or maybe there is
4	something here that I can see. Can we, you
5	know we are going to come back on it here.
6	MR. MIGLIACCIO: Mohammad, do you
7	know the diameter of the pin on that one
8	picture?
9	MR. AYUB: The diameter of the pin,
10	I would rather have Dr. Scott Jin come over
11	here and he's going to throw more light on
12	it.
13	Okay, we are going to go back on
14	that crane collapse again. Houston, Texas,
15	July 18th of 2008, four killed and seven
16	injured here. This was the longest, 420 feet
17	long, boom. It had a boom and a mast, and it
18	was being assembled only. There was a load

- 19 test and it passed the load test. And they
- 20 were trying to add the counterweight to the
- 21 mast boom.
- 22 The thing is that if you will --

1	let's go to the next picture. Okay. The
2	boom on the left side is the main boom and
3	the boom on the right side is the mast boom,
4	okay? And here they were trying to attach
5	the auxiliary counterweight to the mast boom.
6	Now, the characteristic of this
7	boom is such in a clockwise direction the
8	crane boom can move independent of the mast
9	boom. But in a counterclockwise direction, I
10	mean, the crane boom and the mast boom, they
10 11	mean, the crane boom and the mast boom, they both have to move together.
11	both have to move together. Since they were trying to attach
11 12 13	both have to move together. Since they were trying to attach
11 12 13	both have to move together. Since they were trying to attach the counterweight to the mast boom, they
 11 12 13 14 	both have to move together. Since they were trying to attach the counterweight to the mast boom, they found that the height of this attachment is
 11 12 13 14 15 	both have to move together. Since they were trying to attach the counterweight to the mast boom, they found that the height of this attachment is too short. So they wanted to lower the mast

- 19 when they moved the crane boom further on the
- 20 right, then that crane boom became completely
- 21 unstable and it fell on the right-hand side.
- 22 There was a boom stop. There was a

1	crane boom stop and there was a mast boom
2	stop. There was a crane boom stop and there
3	was a boom mast stop, and both of these
4	failed because they were only designed for a
5	certain load. We are not there yet as to why
6	they failed and why was there not any kind of
7	a redundancy in this case here, but it
8	failed. And we are still gathering
9	information here and we may have to do some
10	field tests and some lab work on the failure.
11	MR. BUCHET: Mohammad, Dr. Jin is
12	here.
13	MR. AYUB: Okay.
14	MR. BUCHET: (off mike) to you.
15	MR. AYUB: Okay. Dr. Jin, come
16	over here. I would just like to introduce to
17	you Dr. Jin. His name is Dr. Scott Jin and
18	he is the lead investigator on that collapse

19 here.

- 20 There was a question here about the
- 21 thickness, and I want you to correct me and
- 22 tell us as to where we are on this case here.

- 2 MR. AYUB: Okay. Now, can you come
- 3 over here and describe as to what you're

4 finding right now?

- 5 MR. JIN: Okay. This is Scott Jin.
- 6 And I -- this we call a tom plate. It's the
- 7 bottom plate of the ballast. That is the one
- 8 that slides before. And the thickness
- 9 initially designed as 3/4-inch by a
- 10 structural engineer. And then because the
- 11 seam between the inbound and outbound bridges
- 12 is only one inch for the protection of that
- 13 existing bridge, they're reduced to

14 1/2-inch,.5-inch.

- 15 And, of course, when (off mike) we
- 16 can see the end distance from this hole to
- 17 the end of the tom plate, it's only one inch.
- 18 It is only one inch.

19 MR. HAWKINS: He said

- 20 seven-eighths.
- 21 MR. JIN: Right, right.
- 22 SPEAKER: One inch.

1	MR. MIGLIACCIO: I don't know if he
2	said quarter, but he said three-eighths.
3	MR. HAWKINS: No, you said
4	seven-eighths.
5	MR. MIGLIACCIO: I said
6	seven-eighths.
7	MR. AYUB: Yeah.
8	SPEAKER: So the plate is a
9	1/2-inch thick and then that (off mike).
10	MR. AYUB: Yeah, I
11	MR. BUCHET: I wasn't enough, you
12	can tell that.
13	MR. MIGLIACCIO: Oh, it's not
14	enough (off mike).
15	MR. AYUB: Yeah.
16	MR. MIGLIACCIO: What was the
17	diameter of the pin?
18	MR. JIN: The diameter of the pin

19 is two inches.

20 MR. MIGLIACCIO: Because here the

- 21 hole (off mike).
- 22 MR. JIN: The diameter of the hole

1	is two inches. The bolt, the size of the
2	highest (off mike) bolt of the (off mike) is
3	1-1/2-inch. So it is a little oversized
4	hole, yes.
5	MR. AYUB: Okay, I stand corrected.
6	MR. BUCHET: Mohammad, in the lab
7	have you started to look at the impact load
8	from the vibration?
9	MR. AYUB: Yeah, we are studying
10	the vibrations of this. We have computed the
11	natural frequency of vibration of the bridge
12	and we would like to see as to what it does
13	to this plate here. And every time there is
14	a movement on the car, there is going to be
15	some adverse impact in it here.
16	MR. JIN: Yeah. Maybe I may add
17	here because I the witness statement from
18	the foreman of this crew, he survived. He

- 19 said he can feel the passing by of the truck,
- 20 so. And also, by the way, this -- the dolly
- 21 upstairs is one side are southbound and the
- 22 other side are northbound. This two bridges

1	is independently vibrated, so.
2	MR. MIGLIACCIO: One other
3	question. Frank Migliaccio. On the with
4	that having a 2-inch diameter hole and the
5	inch and a half high- strength bolt you have
6	in here, when it was initially designed did
7	they have that much play in there, a 1/2-inch
8	play, or was it a larger connecting piece?
9	MR. JIN: Okay. They were
10	initially designed for, of course, 1/2-inch
11	(off mike) reduced to 1/2-inch (off mike).
12	The initial design is a 2-inch sized hole.
13	However, when they pick up the swivel, they
14	depend on the capacity of the swivel, that
15	this 10 tons. So, but capacity of that
16	swivel, the size of the high-stress (off
16 17	

- 19 for 1-1/2-inch high-stress bolt should be --
- 20 the oversize should be only (off mike) 16
- 21 over size.
- 22 MR. MIGLIACCIO: Thank you.

1	MR. AYUB: Yeah. Normally, in the
2	steel framing, the size of the hole is only
3	1/16-inch larger than the board. So, for
4	example, if the Board is a 3//4-inch, then
5	you have 13/16-inch hole.
6	Okay. Thank you, Dr. Jin.
7	MR. JIN: Sure.
8	MR. AYUB: This was it allows
9	another crane collapsing, Quincy,
10	Massachusetts. It was an old gantry crane in
11	(off mike). It was kind of a factory where
12	there were A frames at every so many feet and
13	the gantry crane was about 150 feet wide.
14	And they were trying to disassemble the
15	gantry crane and the A frame and ship it to
16	Romania for some upgrading it.
17	While the A frame was being
18	disconnected and dismantled, the A frame, it

- 19 just slid on the floor and it killed one of
- 20 the construction employees there. The block
- 21 that was used to support the A frame, we are
- 22 concentrating on that. And we are examining

1	whether or not the block that was used to
2	prevent it from sliding was anchored properly
3	on the floor or not. It is still in the very
4	initial stages of our investigation.
5	MR. MIGLIACCIO: Mohammad?
6	MR. AYUB: Yes.
7	MR. MIGLIACCIO: Frank Migliaccio.
8	This is one of our people here and I've got
9	pretty good firsthand knowledge on this.
10	MR. AYUB: Okay.
11	MR. MIGLIACCIO: When you're doing
12	the investigation and you spoke to the people
13	that worked in the crew, did anybody mention
14	that they went from one length of dismantling
15	and doubled the length and that's what caused
16	the block not to slide?
17	MR. AYUB: Yeah. I do not have all

the information yet. And we are -- as you

- 19 know, the incident occurred last month, so we
- 20 are in our very initial stages of our
- 21 investigation. The area offices and
- 22 compliance offices are, you know,

interviewing people. And even get hold of

2	all the statements. But we will cover that
3	(off mike).
4	MR. MIGLIACCIO: Thank you.
5	MR. AYUB: You're welcome. You
6	see, the Key West, Florida, the new airport
7	(off mike). And this is the area that failed
8	here. It is a very interesting case here, if
9	I can go to the next one.
10	The contractor hired an engineer in
11	order to design the form work. The yeah,
12	you know, (off mike) designed the form work
13	to support these very heavy 3 feet-by 3 feet
14	beams. All these beams are the precast
15	drafts, which are going in this direction.
16	And the contractor had asked the structural
17	engineer to design the form work only to
18	support these beams. Only to support these

- 19 beams, not to support the drafts which were
- 20 going to span between these two beams. So
- 21 the contractor brought the precast drafts and
- 22 he supported it on the scaffold, on the frame

1	from here to there, from here to there. And
2	this whole thing collapsed.
3	So when we went to the site, I
4	mean, when we went to interview the guy
5	there, the contractor was saying that, look,
6	we had the engineer to design the entire form
7	work. We don't care whether he designs only
8	the beams or also of the drafts. But to make
9	a long story short, the ingenious contract
10	said that he was only supposed to design the
11	form work for the beams and not for the
12	drafts here.
13	And you can see here this is what
14	was designed by the engineer in order to
15	support the beams here, and its whole ramp
16	collapsed. And as a result of this
17	investigation we found there was some other
18	(off mike) parking garage which had the same

- 19 problem. He was -- all the drafts were not
- 20 supported anywhere. It just went from one
- 21 end of the beam to the other end of the beam.
- 22 And thanks to the margin of safety and the

1	fact of safety that we have, and because of
2	the fact that OSHA standard requires that all
3	the scaffold components be designed with a
4	margin of 4-to-1, in the other area it did
5	not fail. In this area, they even exceeded
6	4-to-1 and, therefore, it collapsed.
7	This is not something new that we
8	see in all the shorings investigations that
9	we have done. We always find that unless an
10	(off mike) is given the whole package that
11	you designed the form work for, everything,
12	slab, beams, columns, all of it is an open
13	area. There's always a loose end which has
14	not been taken care of. So this is what we
15	are finding here.
16	We had placed a sign of imminent
17	danger at this Key West International Airport

18 parking lot. And the contractor agreed that

- 19 he will hire an engineer to go over all the
- 20 design of the form work, which he had done.
- 21 And the work has now started back again.
- 22 Now, there is an old pepper mill in

- 1 Connecticut. And some of the employees
- 2 called the OSHA offices and said that there
- 3 seems to be an imminent danger of collapse of
- 4 this entire structure. It's steel framed.
- 5 Because some of the steel members have now
- 6 rotten, they have holes in the web, and the
- 7 flanges due to corrosive damage are now
- 8 reduced in about one-half the original
- 9 thickness.
- 10 So we send an engineer from our
- 11 national office here and we came to the
- 12 conclusion that despite the fact that there
- 13 are obvious corrosive damage of their -- the
- 14 frame is not in imminent danger. So we asked
- 15 the owner that, look, in order for you to
- 16 proceed, you need to have this entire frame
- 17 evaluated by a local engineer. Let him write
- 18 to you the recommendation, which beams and

- 19 columns and foundations needs to be replaced.
- 20 Follow the recommendations. Send a copy of
- 21 the structural engineer's report to us for
- 22 our review and proceed (off mike). It seems

1	that the owner already had hired an engineer
2	to do the review two years ago. But because
3	of the fact that he didn't want to spend that
4	much money, nothing was done. And I suspect
5	that nothing may be done even now. But, you
6	know, we will go back there in about six
7	months and we will see. But this is one
8	thing that, you know, that I like to point
9	out to the chairman that when we go out
10	there, despite the fact that some of the
11	employees might complain, that it seems that
12	there is a, you know, imminent danger of
13	collapse. If you look deeper into it, you
14	might find that there's no danger at all. It
15	(off mike) one of the cases here.
16	This will include I think we
17	gave you about seven investigations of the
18	major collapses that we are engaged in. Are

- 19 there then these collapses we are also
- 20 engaged in some minor area of scaffold
- 21 collapse.
- 22 There was a building collapse south

1	of Richmond yesterday and I got a call from
2	them. We are planning to help them out if
3	they need help, even though it is a state
4	OSHA plan. But there is a Memorandum of
5	Understanding between the state plan and the
6	federal plan, that if they need some
7	technical help we should be able to provide
8	that.
9	MR. SMITH: Okay. Thank you very
10	much for that informative presentation,
11	Mohammad.
12	MR. AYUB: Thank you so much.
13	MR. MIGLIACCIO: I have a question.
14	MR. SMITH: Yes.
15	MR. MIGLIACCIO: Frank Migliaccio.
16	All the accidents here, except for the one
17	where you just did the investigation, were
18	fatalities. I know you also checked

- 19 looked into the near fatalities.
- 20 Is your office or do you know what
- 21 office is taking care of the accident that
- 22 occurred out in Houston, Texas, where the
| 1 | beckett failed on a crane? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. AYUB: I believe that the area |
| 3 | office is itself handling that. The national |
| 4 | office is not handling that. See, I will |
| 5 | just try to explain to you as to how we |
| 6 | become involved. |
| 7 | If the area office makes a |
| 8 | determination that this incident needs some |
| 9 | engineering help, it needs some analysis, it |
| 10 | needs from competition, it needs some finite |
| 11 | element analysis. Then they call us and then |
| 12 | we become involved. |
| 13 | In all the other incidents which |
| 14 | they believe are fairly straight, they don't |
| 15 | need an in- depth analysis, they know they |
| 16 | don't need engineering assistance. Then we |
| 17 | are not called. So some of those incidents |
| 18 | have such the area office takes care of |

19 them directly.

- 20 MR. MIGLIACCIO: So the area office
- 21 could do a metalology (sic) test on each?
- 22 MR. AYUB: Yes, yes. And if they

1	want us to write a scope of work for the lab
2	as to what area they should concentrate on,
3	then we will write a scope of work for the
4	lad. And as you know, we have a small office
5	here and a large number of incidents takes
6	place. It will not be feasible for us to
7	help each and every provide assistance in
8	each and every incident. Yeah, I was just
9	wondering about the beckett. I mean,
10	becketts don't fail. They don't blow out
11	like this one did and I was just trying to
12	find out, you know, if you guys had had
13	anything to do with that. Thank you.
14	MR. MIGLIACCIO: Okay, thank you.
15	MR. BUCHET: Mr. Ayub, are you
16	still looking for another engineer?
17	MR. AYUB: We are, very, very
18	actively. Just to give you a brief

- 19 background, last month that we had put the
- 20 advertisement, we had reviewed the
- 21 applications and we were not quite satisfied
- 22 with applications that we had received. So

1	we are re-advertising it and we hope that
2	this time we will have better luck. And we
3	will have as you know, the structural
4	engineers are in short supply. In some of
5	the areas, they are being paid \$200 an hour
6	in New York and in Chicago because of the
7	increasing nuclear activity. And if the
8	Congress if going to pass a budget for the
9	infrastructure of the bridges, railroad
10	bridges, highway bridges, roads, structural
11	engineers will be in very short supply.
12	MR. ZWOLAK: And Mohammad, those
13	announcements occur on USA Jobs.
14	MR. AYUB: Yes.
15	MR. ZWOLAK: Okay?
16	MR. AYUB: Yes.
17	MR. ZWOLAK: And again, a reminder,
18	shortly we'll be looking for health and

- 19 safety specialists also on USA Jobs.
- 20 MR. AYUB: Yeah.
- 21 MR. BUCHET: Mr. Zarletti's got a
- 22 good (off mike).

1	MR. AYUB: Well, if the ACCSH can
2	find a good structural engineer who would
3	like to move to Washington, D.C., let me
4	know.
5	MR. SMITH: Thank you very much.
6	MS. SHORTALL: Yes. I would like
7	to enter into the record the hard (off mike)
8	presentation on recent construction incidents
9	under investigation by Mr. Mohammad Ayub as
10	Exhibit 0019. And I'd also like to enter
11	into the record a brochure entitled, "NIOSH
12	Design Adjustable Roof (Safety Rail
13	Assembly)," that was presented at the
14	Residential Fall Protection Work Group, as
15	Exhibit 0003.1.
16	MR. SMITH: Okay, thank you. Do we
17	have anybody signed up for public comments?
18	Okay, George and

19 MR. BUCHET: Two people: Travis

- 20 Parsons and George (off mike).
- 21 MR. SMITH: Okay, Travis, why don't
- 22 you come on up first, if you would? Travis

- 1 Parsons.
- 2 MR. PARSONS: All right. Good
- 3 morning, everybody. How you doing? We're in
- 4 the home stretch here, right?
- 5 I've actually -- I think it was
- 6 brought up yesterday. Unfortunately, I was
- 7 not here. I had to go back to the office and
- 8 take care of some stuff, but we are in the
- 9 process of developing an ANSI standard on
- 10 work zone safety. It's ANSI A-1047. Some
- 11 people sitting here in the room are on the
- 12 committee itself, the subcommittee, and also
- 13 on ANSI Standards A-10 Standard-Setting
- 14 Board.
- 15 Just for clarification in case
- 16 there's somebody in the room who doesn't
- 17 know, everybody know what ANSI is, right?
- 18 But A-10 is for construction. As you know,

- 19 there's a whole lot of different ANSI
- 20 standards out there, so that the A-10 group
- 21 is specifically for the construction
- 22 industry.

1	And we started developing
2	actually in this very room we had ANSI
3	meetings 2005, and we started with a very
4	simple outline and it has become a very
5	complex standard. We've had a lot of input
6	in the last year I would say and a lot more
7	people have been getting involved.
8	The biggest thing is, you know,
9	everybody that works on the roads, the bible
10	of the roads when it comes to safety is the
11	MUTCD. The Manual of Uniform Traffic Control
12	Devices is what that is. And it is very
13	cumbersome, very large, very hard to get
14	through. When it comes to OSHA, there's not
15	a whole lot of regulations in the roadway
16	sector we feel when it comes to safety.
17	There's some general recommendations and

18 that's pretty much it.

- 19 So we feel A-10 felt that there
- 20 need to be a best practices guide out there,
- 21 especially with the new SAFETY-LU
- 22 requirements from Federal Highway.

1	SAFETY-LU came out about a year
2	ago, I guess, and there's a lot more
3	requirements now for supervisors on the jobs
4	and also train personnel and just safety
5	stuff in general, positive protection.
6	Internal traffic controls are new. Just so
7	you're aware, everybody that's been involved
8	on this from industry, government, labor,
9	manufacturer, consultants, and associations
10	are on this subcommittee. And it's been fun
11	and it's getting funner.(sic)
12	As a timeline, it's going to
13	probably I mean, Scott, my boss, Scott
14	Snyder, who you all know well, wants it done
15	yesterday, but it's going to go vote probably
16	in a week or two. It's going to be submitted
17	to ANSI for ballot. And once it goes through
18	that process, anybody on the ANSI committee

- 19 -- and I don't know. Emmett, do you know how
- 20 many people are on the ANSI, the actual
- 21 official ANSI Committee?
- 22 MR. MIGLIACCIO: About 70.

1	MR. PARSONS: About 70, yeah. So
2	anybody on that committee can submit comments
3	after that. And we have to respond to every
4	single comment good or bad. And then if
5	you know, there's a whole consensus setting
6	process, if it passes it becomes a standard,
7	and as long as we answer those questions and
8	at least tell them why we agree or disagree.
9	I'm just basically telling you,
10	wanted you to be aware of it, a lot of you
11	are already aware of it. Actually a couple
12	people in the room wanted me to bring it up.
13	The biggest contention so far is the grand
14	old should versus shall.
15	The best thing about ANSI standards
16	in my personal opinion is they are voluntary.
17	So I don't like to argue too much over should
18	and shall, like you have to when you do OSHA

- 19 regulations, but a lot of people like to
- 20 argue about that. So that's been the biggest
- 21 problem when it comes to differences, when it
- 22 comes down to the standard.

1	It is a best practices helping the
2	industry. Its goal was to be a best practice
3	and to kind of, you know, have better
4	guidance out there for everyone, no matter
5	where they come from, when it comes to
6	roadwork.
7	I'd be happy, I don't' have it with
8	me today, but I'd be happy if submit for the
9	record the scope and an outline. ANSI
10	standards are copyrighted, so I can't just
11	give you the whole standard. But I'm sure
12	OSHA, if needed, can go get it. But OSHA's
13	on the board themselves, they're on the
14	committee. So I'd be happy to submit the
15	scope and outline.
16	And if anybody in this room wants
17	to get involved in a subcommittee, you're

18 welcome. Just shoot me an e-mail and people

- 19 have my contact, I'll be happy to talk to you
- 20 afterwards. We are welcoming anybody to get
- 21 involved in this process.
- 22 And another good thing about ANSI

- 1 standards is just because it gets voted on
- 2 and goes to -- if it passes a year from now
- 3 or so, doesn't mean it can't be changed if
- 4 something comes up. So the ANSI A-10 meets
- 5 twice a year officially, and anything can be
- 6 changed in between those time periods, you
- 7 know, as long as you get with the
- 8 subcommittee chairs and it gets submitted and
- 9 voted on again.
- 10 So basically that's it when it
- 11 comes. I just wanted to introduce that to
- 12 everybody in the room. And if there's any
- 13 questions, I'd be happy to try to answer
- 14 them.
- 15 MR. SMITH: Okay. Thank you very
- 16 much, Travis. Any questions? Okay. We
- 17 thank you very much for that update.
- 18 MR. PARSONS: Who do I e-mail the

- 19 scope and outline to? Mike? Okay. Yes,
- 20 Frank?
- 21 MS. SHORTALL: Excuse me, Mr.
- 22 Parsons? Were you intending by e-mailing the

1	scope and outline to have it entered into the
2	record of the ACCSH meeting?
3	MR. PARSONS: That is fine, yes.
4	MS. SHORTALL: All right, thank
5	you.
6	MR. PARSONS: Just yeah, since I
7	talked about it, yeah.
8	MS. SHORTALL: All right. Then I'd
9	like to mark that exhibit as 0020.
10	MR. SMITH: Okay, thank you very
11	much. Okay. We're back to general business,
12	general comments. Any of the people at the
13	head table, I know Frank had asked me about
14	bringing something up.
15	George was just thought he was
16	signing in for a meeting, so he's decided not
17	to speak.
18	MR. MIGLIACCIO: Frank Migliaccio.

- 19 I just want to try to get something figured
- 20 out here. For this committee here we've got
- 21 seven people that their terms have expired.
- 22 And look at these seven people. I look at

1	them all as valued people of this committee.
2	And I know that you were always looking for
3	new people to come into the committee and so
4	forth and work on the committees. But when
5	they're chairs and co-chairs of
6	subcommittees, it's kind of hard to keep
7	something moving forward.
8	I mean, if you took just OTI, if
9	Tom and I were to expire at the same time
10	which I think we may, I'm not sure yeah,
11	we could expire at the same time and the OTI
12	Committee could possibly bring in two new
13	co-chairs. And it just seems like I
14	understand some people want to take
15	themselves off the committee and they have to
16	be replaced, but not knowing when like it
17	says, like, we'll take into Mike
18	Thibodeaux. The term expired 7-3-08, serves

- 19 till replaced. Not knowing when he's going
- 20 to be replaced, it makes it kind of hard for
- 21 the rest of the committee.
- 22 And I'm just wondering is there a

1	way of I know there's the federal
2	government has rules about you have a
3	two-year commitment. I think it's written
4	that way in one of the things where everybody
5	serves two years and so forth like that. But
6	it just seems like if a person didn't really
7	want to come off the committee, it seems like
8	you'd almost want to keep that knowledge. I
9	mean, you know, if you look at it like, if
10	you look at ironworkers or any trade or any
11	trade I won't say or I'll say any
12	trade, it's the older people out there on the
13	jobs that have the knowledge from the past
14	that keep things moving forward. And until
15	they retire, you'd want to keep that person
16	there.
17	So I was just wondering is there a

18 way of -- you know, this two-year expiration

- 19 thing coming up, is there somehow we can
- 20 address this?
- 21 MR. SMITH: Thomas.
- 22 MR. KAVICKY: Mr. Chairman, I'd

- 1 like to comment on that, also. Tom Kavicky,
- 2 Steve Hawkins, I, and Emmett Gillen were
- 3 talking this morning actually about the
- 4 progress that this committee has made over
- 5 the last year and a half by meeting
- 6 regularly, by having co-chairs move the work
- 7 group committees along. It seems like we're
- 8 doing some real valuable work and it's
- 9 actually getting done for a change. And here
- 10 we go again.
- 11 Like Frank says, you know, we're
- 12 waiting for people to be replaced or people
- 13 coming off the committee. And all of a
- 14 sudden, we're going to hit that lull again.
- 15 I hope not, but it's almost apparent that
- 16 that's what's going to happen. So if there's
- 17 anything that can be done, boy, it would be
- 18 great.

- 19 MR. ZWOLAK: Let me just say a
- 20 thought here. Dave Zwolak with OSHA. And
- 21 Frank, forgive me, I stepped out briefly, so
- 22 I didn't hear your full set of comments.

1	But yeah, we agree. And we're
2	looking forward. In terms of the
3	replacements, we're looking forward to move
4	that forward more quickly and get the
5	replacements in place. We're looking towards
6	maintaining the meetings on a regular basis.
7	And the next time around, being
8	more proactive about getting people
9	getting replacements identified and the names
10	cleared and nominated as needed. So we're
11	attentive to that and we hope to keep the
12	momentum moving forward as we're going along.
13	Does that address the concern?
14	SPEAKER: No.
15	SPEAKER: I think it might.
16	MS. SHORTALL: May I add one issue
17	in terms of the process, Mr. Migliaccio and

18 Mr. Kavicky, that you raise? And that seems

- 19 to also be the issue of not wanting just
- 20 continuity so that the meetings continue, but
- 21 continuity in terms of the knowledge base the
- 22 committee has.

1	Now, we have made in the last
2	couple of years, thanks to Mr. Foulke, the
3	nominations process very transparent. You
4	see everything that's in the record. As part
5	of the nominations process, persons can
6	obtain recommendations, endorsements, et
7	cetera.
8	And to the extent that one of your
9	concerns is you hate to see a knowledge base
10	built up and then be erased, that is
11	something that the nominations and
12	endorsements should specifically address to
13	make sure that particular issue is brought to
14	the forefront for those decision makers.
15	And to tell you the truth, I don't
16	recall in the past seeing that particular
17	argument or statement raised as to why a
18	particular person is either reapplying, why

- 19 an organization is putting their names into
- 20 nomination, or why any particular additional
- 21 persons may be endorsing them. So I would
- 22 recommend that you focus on that also in your

- 1 nominations process. And that will be on the
- 2 record as well.
- 3 MR. MIGLIACCIO: Okay. This is
- 4 Frank Migliaccio. I wasn't talking about
- 5 nomination or anything like that. I'm
- 6 looking at you've got Dale, Robert -- well, I
- 7 know Robert, he needs -- he wants to be
- 8 replaced. He has other things. He's working
- 9 directly for their general president now and
- 10 he's pulled away from here a lot.
- 11 Emmett just started. Emmett's been
- 12 -- worked on our ROPS before he was even a
- 13 committee member. And just say that if he
- 14 was replaced because his termination --
- 15 term's expired, sure, he could sit on the
- 16 committee, but we lose his face here.
- 17 You look at Mike Thibodeaux,
- 18 another person that's served on here and the

- 19 committees he chairs.
- 20 Linwood Smith. Linwood's our
- 21 chair. I mean, he keeps things moving and so
- 22 forth.

1	So, Dan Murphy, he's our only
2	insurance person on here. I mean, I'm not
3	saying there might not be another insurance
4	person come forward. We've got Tom
5	Broderick, you know. Tom, wealth of
6	knowledge here.
7	And just say if every one of these
8	people were replaced and Matt's his term
9	the term never expires for the federal
10	person there. But if every one of these
11	people were replaced before the next meeting,
12	it just seems like we're losing a wealth of
13	knowledge to go forward with what we've
14	worked on so far. And we're just trying to
15	figure out is there a way of if the people
16	don't want to be replaced or if they're
17	really working at something good and we all

18 feel as though they're really adding, you

- 19 know, a benefit to the committee, is there a
- 20 way of getting around that?
- 21 MR. BUCHET: Let me not answer your
- 22 question, but try to give you some sense of
- 1 what we went through. Way back in the winter
- 2 of 2008, the end of the winter of 2007, we
- 3 published a Federal Register Notice saying we
- 4 were looking for nominations for people to
- 5 fill in the spots that were going to expire.
- 6 Remember, that was February, so they were
- 7 going to expire in July.
- 8 We also in the Register described
- 9 how to apply, how to send in a nomination,
- 10 what to send in in the way of materials to
- 11 aid the agency in evaluating the individuals.
- 12 And if you read it carefully, we also noted
- 13 that that was only part of the information
- 14 that we might use to select people for the

15 committee.

- 16 We received about -- is Joe in
- 17 here? -- about 30 nominations this time
- 18 around and went through the packets. And as

- 19 Mr. Foulke expressed, the material is now on
- 20 its way to the Secretary. So it's been
- 21 vetted inside OSHA and the Secretary's office
- 22 is the one that's going to make the final

- 1 decisions.
- 2 Part of the process was to look at
- 3 people who did not submit any nominations.
- 4 Some people submitted "Don't Pick Me" in the
- 5 case of Mr. Krul. If the there were no

6 materials submitted or if somebody indicated

- 7 they didn't want to be on, I don't believe
- 8 they were included in the materials sent to
- 9 the Secretary.
- 10 In the case of people who are
- 11 sitting on the committee, who did submit
- 12 materials, they are being looked at for
- 13 precisely that purpose, Mr. Migliaccio, to
- 14 keep the brain trust going.
- 15 In the regulations governing ACCSH
- 16 two- year terms can be removed at any time,
- 17 at any point, for any reason at all by the
- 18 Secretary. We are allowed to stagger terms

- 19 so that we end up with some sense of
- 20 continuity. It is going to turn out to be
- 21 the luck of the draw that one group sitting
- 22 in this room has appointments running from

- 1 last November and another group will have
- 2 appointments probably running from this
- 3 October, November, or December, however long
- 4 it takes the Secretary's office to make the
- 5 appointments. We will then have
- 6 approximately half the committee rolling over
- 7 every other year and half the committee will
- 8 keep the continuity going.
- 9 It's not the best deal. What it
- 10 will ask for in the future is if the
- 11 committee wants people to stay on here, make
- 12 sure we get the material into the
- 13 e-regulations.gov website. That's what we
- 14 use.
- 15 That's the electronic portal for
- 16 doing these nominations.
- 17 MR. SMITH: Is there any provision,
- 18 Mike, where the committee can make

- 19 recommendations on sitting members if they
- 20 wanted to? Could they get involved in the
- 21 process? To my knowledge, we've never been
- 22 involved in the process.

1	MS. SHORTALL: There is nothing
2	that prohibits them from expressing their
3	recommendation on anything to the department
4	at any time they wish to do so. In addition,
5	this is a little bit different from our other
6	committees. Our other committees don't
7	necessarily have people continue on the
8	committee after their terms expire, in which
9	case the often have difficulty meeting.
10	This particular committee, because
10 11	-
	it has a special and unique function that
11	it has a special and unique function that none of the other committees have, which is
11 12 13	it has a special and unique function that none of the other committees have, which is
11 12 13	it has a special and unique function that none of the other committees have, which is to provide recommendations to the department on proposed rules affecting the construction
11 12 13 14	it has a special and unique function that none of the other committees have, which is to provide recommendations to the department on proposed rules affecting the construction
 11 12 13 14 15 	it has a special and unique function that none of the other committees have, which is to provide recommendations to the department on proposed rules affecting the construction industry before the department published

- 19 quorum so that you can perform that unique
- 20 function, like you did so today. But there
- 21 is nothing that prohibits ACCSH from doing
- 22 anything.

1	And like I said before, there's
2	nothing that prevents any of you from in
3	your nominations or the organization that
4	nominates you, to specifically say of you or
5	other people who are on the committee, we
6	want to have that brain trust continue, so
7	please reappoint people who are interested in
8	being reappointed.
9	MR. SMITH: Dan?
10	MR. ZARLETTI: Mr. Chair, I would
10 11	
11	
11	like to ask if it's possible that anybody that's currently on the committee could be
11 12 13	like to ask if it's possible that anybody that's currently on the committee could be
11 12 13	like to ask if it's possible that anybody that's currently on the committee could be part of the vetting process for the people
11 12 13 14	like to ask if it's possible that anybody that's currently on the committee could be part of the vetting process for the people being nominated to the committee. Because of
 11 12 13 14 15 	like to ask if it's possible that anybody that's currently on the committee could be part of the vetting process for the people being nominated to the committee. Because of our networking, let's say, nationwide, there

- 19 could be a red flag in there that we know
- 20 something about, you don't, and that could be
- 21 part of the process. And if not, then it's
- 22 just a matter of another e-mail that you

1	delete when you don't need it.
2	MS. SHORTALL: Well, since all of
3	well, since the nominations have come in
4	are now part of a public record, to the
5	extent that any person feels that there is a
6	potential red herring, they certainly have
7	the right to contact the department in any
8	capacity and at any level to express, you
9	know, their concerns or express their opinion
10	on that issue. That is one of the values
11	MR. ZARLETTI: I'm just not sure
12	(off mike).
13	MS. SHORTALL: That's one of the
14	values of the open and transparent
15	nominations process. As to the issue of
16	vetting, that is an internal policy decision.
17	You know, ACCSH can certainly recommend to
18	the department, that to the extent possible,

- 19 if ACCSH could be useful in the vetting
- 20 process to do so. But that does remain a
- 21 function exclusive to the department.
- 22 MR. SMITH: Okay. Tom and then

1 Frank.

2	MR. SHANAHAN: Tom Shanahan. Just
3	a little bit narrower point that I thought
4	(off mike) was making originally, and I
5	appreciate the larger conversation. But
6	like, for example, on the OTI work group, he
7	and I are co-chairs and our terms expire at
8	the same time. So there's the narrower issue
9	of that, if we both leave then there's nobody
10	with any institutional knowledge on that
11	committee.
12	And so my thought is is that maybe
13	what we can do is do our best to stagger
14	co-chairs, you know, since you've got an
15	older one with a newer one. And that way,
16	you know, if our terms are different, I would
17	carry over a year or he would, you know,
18	whatever. And I don't know if you can make

- 19 it as a part of the process, but if we could
- 20 just try to remember that, I think that would
- 21 at least try to bridge what I thought
- 22 originally you were asking.

1	MR. SMITH: You know, and they say
2	it's up to me. I've been a lame duck for the
3	last two meetings, but it's up to me. You
4	know, I'm limited as to where I'm at on this
5	thing.
6	MR. BUCHET: The agency does not
7	assign the co-chairs. The chair assigns the
8	co-chairs.
9	MR. MIGLIACCIO: But a lot of time
10	even though he assigns them, most of us
11	volunteer for him where we have a particular
12	interest or a particular knowledge of
13	something is why that's how we get picked.
14	MR. BUCHET: We suggest that they
15	be evenhanded. So you have labor, you have
16	management.
17	I you have public, you have
18	management. If you have (off mike)

19 SPEAKER: You don't have two

- 20 co-chairs from the same (off mike).
- 21 MR. BUCHET: You don't have two
- 22 co-chairs from the same interest group.

1	MR. MIGLIACCIO: Tom Kavicky and I
2	couldn't chair the OTI group because we both
3	come from labor.
4	MR. SMITH: Right.
5	MR. MIGLIACCIO: The other thing I
6	was this is Frank Migliaccio again. The
7	other thing I was talking about is, like,
8	labor or employee reps, we have basically
9	a little bit more benefit than I think the
10	other organizations do because the labor reps
11	come from the building trades. And the
12	building trades, they know when things are
13	coming down, so they're recommending off the
14	Safety and Health Committee. Whereas, like,
15	the employers, I don't think they don't
16	have, like, a group, you know, pool, that
17	they have one person saying, you know, okay,
18	I want Dan and I want Elizabeth and I want

- 19 this one. And the states, I'm not sure even
- 20 half the states -- do all the states get
- 21 together, Steve and Kevin? Do all the
- 22 states, 50 states, get together?

- 1 MR. BUCHET: Let me tack on a
- 2 little bit. In the case of the states, the
- 3 agency goes to OSHBA and asks the
- 4 organization of the state plan states to
- 5 nominate numbers from within their membership
- 6 to sit on ACCSH. In the case of Matt's
- 7 position, we go to CDC and say send us a
- 8 name. Traditionally they go to somebody in
- 9 NIOSH and pick, and I guess the last year
- 10 it's been the construction coordinator from
- 11 NIOSH has come here.
- 12 In the case of the employer reps,
- 13 generally speaking associations look at their
- 14 Safety and Health Committee, National Safety
- 15 and Health Committees. That doesn't mean it
- 16 happens all the time, but it does happen.
- 17 MR. MIGLIACCIO: But you have a lot
- 18 of us (off mike) employer associations (off

19 mike).

- 20 MR. BUCHET: Well, that's true.
- 21 MR. MIGLIACCIO: That's what I'm
- 22 saying. With Matt, you're going to one

1	organization. With the states, you're going
2	to one organization. With the employees
3	you're going to one organization. But when
4	you're going to the employers, you could get
5	most of your 30 people could come from there
6	and then you're going to be picking and
7	you're going to have a little bit harder time
8	to pick, I would think.
9	MR. SMITH: This committee has
10	started back and working and functioning, and
10 11	
	we all, I think, are pleased where it is
11 12	we all, I think, are pleased where it is
11 12	we all, I think, are pleased where it is right now from last year, you know, a little over a year. We went one year we didn't
11 12 13	we all, I think, are pleased where it is right now from last year, you know, a little over a year. We went one year we didn't
11 12 13 14	we all, I think, are pleased where it is right now from last year, you know, a little over a year. We went one year we didn't meet, as most of you know. The second year
 11 12 13 14 15 	we all, I think, are pleased where it is right now from last year, you know, a little over a year. We went one year we didn't meet, as most of you know. The second year of this term, they you know, thanks to

- 19 standpoint of someone like me or Dan Murphy,
- 20 who, you know, probably is not going to
- 21 reappointed, then what you end up with is
- 22 trying to plan a meeting and come to a

1	meeting that nobody could tell me for sure
2	whether I'd even be here or not two weeks
3	ago, you know. And that's hard to do. You
4	know, most of us have all kinds of
5	obligations and our schedules are full, our
6	plates are full. It's really a little bit
7	unfair to ask people to do things. And
8	obviously we do the best we can, but, you
9	know and when I said lame duck a while
10	ago, all I simply meant was you can't get but
11	so excited about being you know, trying to
12	plan in between meetings when you probably
13	you don't know whether you're going to be
14	there or not.
15	I mean, nobody could tell me for
16	sure whether I'd even be here or not. I
17	never got a and I asked several times. I
18	asked several times because, you know but

- 19 I didn't, you know -- the only answer I got
- 20 was, well, you're the chairman right now and
- 21 you can do what you want to do, you know.
- 22 And though I may not be at the meeting --

1	MR. BUCHET: What amazes me is all
2	these construction folks sitting around the
3	table going I don't know how to handle this
4	last-minute decision- making. Is it raining
5	on my job today or is where's the steel?
6	Where's the concrete?
7	MR. SMITH: I don't think
8	SPEAKER: Linwood, I support your
9	(off mike).
10	MR. BUCHET: We do, but we
10 11	
	understand and the committee understands that
11 12	understand and the committee understands that
11 12	understand and the committee understands that there are decision- making processes that are way outside the control of the people sitting
11 12 13 14	understand and the committee understands that there are decision- making processes that are way outside the control of the people sitting
 11 12 13 14 15 	understand and the committee understands that there are decision- making processes that are way outside the control of the people sitting around the table. And we do sympathize with
 11 12 13 14 15 	understand and the committee understands that there are decision- making processes that are way outside the control of the people sitting around the table. And we do sympathize with you and we try to make it work as best

18 people that make those decisions should put

- 19 their face in front of this committee
- 20 sometime, so we could bring -- ask them the
- 21 same questions we've asked today.
- 22 MR. BUCHET: You asked Mr. Foulke

1	and he said it's on the way to the Secretary,
2	and that's a packet with his recommendations.
3	MR. MIGLIACCIO: So he makes the
4	decisions.
5	MR. BUCHET: Going to the
6	Secretary.
7	MR. SMITH: Yes, sir?
8	MR. MIGLIACCIO: Then maybe she
9	should come.
10	SPEAKER: There you go.
11	MR. SMITH: Kevin Beauregard has
12	the floor.
13	MR. BEAUREGARD: I just wanted to
14	kind of add on to this conversation. I've
15	worked, I don't know, since probably '99/2000
16	with this committee and I think the committee
17	has done a lot of work. I had been in the
18	positions that you all have been in where I

- 19 was the chair and in the next meeting nobody
- 20 that was on the committee was left on the
- 21 committee.
- 22 And all of a sudden, I was the

- 1 committee. And you have to bring everybody
- 2 else up to speed on it.
- 3 Well, one thing that I would ask,
- 4 and you may already do this, I just don't
- 5 know, is to put together separate notebooks
- 6 or folders that contain all the committee
- 7 work, so when there is a new member that
- 8 comes on the committee, they have something
- 9 they can look at instead of kind of sitting
- 10 there. Because the way I did it was I just
- 11 kind of sat through a couple of committee
- 12 meetings until I felt comfortable enough to
- 13 know what was already discussed because I
- 14 didn't want to be the one to bring something
- 15 up and they say, yeah, we talked about that

16 two years ago.

- 17 Some of these committees have the
- 18 tendency to go on for quite a while. I

- 19 remember when Jim Ahearn was on the committee
- 20 and we worked on the telecommunication tower
- 21 standard. And that went on for years. And
- 22 there were some other committees that went

1	on, and people came and people went. And I
2	just think for continuity's sake, I do hear
3	what everybody's saying and I agree with
4	that, you want to keep the knowledge base
5	that's here because, let's face it, it takes
6	a little while to figure out how things run
7	within the system. And then by that time,
8	it's time to start over again.
9	MR. SMITH: Yeah, I think it and
10	I don't know, you know, how you can change
11	this, but it may be a good thought in the
12	future to consider, looking at it, seeing if
13	you can and I guess you re-charter every
14	seven years anyway. Maybe a two- year term
15	is not long enough, you know, for members to
16	be appointed to begin with. I mean, it
17	creates a lot of burden on the old farts

19 years.

- 20 MR. BUCHET: The Federal Advisory
- 21 Committee Act says two years. So you're
- 22 going to --

1	MR. SMITH: Yeah. I mean, that's
2	not changeable.
3	MR. BUCHET: Well, you can get
4	Congress and the President to change it.
5	MR. SMITH: Well, forget that.
6	Yes, sir, Tom?
7	MR. BUCHET: The charter goes every
8	two years and that we can't change either.
9	MS. SHORTALL: Well, the charter is
10	every two years, but this is a statutorily
11	created committee, which means it (off mike)
12	indefinitely.
13	We renew the charter every two
14	years to satisfy Government Services
15	Administration requirements to re-charter.
16	But it does not affect the life, the actual
17	life, of this committee.
18	MR. SMITH: See, and part of the

- 19 problem, two years ago, the first year of
- 20 this new term that the seven of us are going
- 21 off, we were told we couldn't meet until we
- 22 were appointed. And then this year, of

1	course, we've got the situation where you can
2	meet indefinitely until somebody else is
3	appointed. This is probably a better option
4	as far as safety and health goes for, you
5	know, helping protect workers. Neither
6	option is a great option, but this is a
7	better option than not having meetings, which
8	we went through two years ago. Tom?
9	MR. BRODERICK: Well, I guess one
10	of the things, too, is that there's nothing
11	graceful about this whole process, that
12	
12	you're kind of, as been stated before, left
12	
13	in the dark until the thing the
13 14	in the dark until the thing the announcement happens in the Federal Register
13 14 15	in the dark until the thing the announcement happens in the Federal Register and you find out who's been re-upped. And I

- 19 members are starting at. Do you follow that?
- 20 So that if we have four people or
- 21 five people or six people that are rotating
- 22 off, allow them to come to the first meeting
| 1 | of their replacements, not only to meet them |
|----|---|
| 2 | and maybe share some, you know, words of |
| 3 | wisdom about what they have done, the |
| 4 | outgoing members have done, and some ideas, |
| 5 | but I think it would also give the agency an |
| 6 | opportunity to maybe give the people who are |
| 7 | being rotated off a certificate, a thank you. |
| 8 | And, you know, maybe we get some tables |
| 9 | upstairs and all have lunch together. And |
| 10 | just, you know, I don't want to make it too |
| 11 | corny, but in corporate America, I mean, that |
| 12 | sort of thing is done. |
| 13 | MR. SMITH: Yeah, well, here you've |
| 14 | got of course you've got expenses |
| 15 | involved, you know. |
| 16 | MR. BRODERICK: That's true. |
| 17 | MR. SMITH: Budgets and restraints |
| 18 | and so on and so forth. But it's a good |

- 19 thought. Good thought. Like I say, we will
- 20 leave here today and we've already got -- is
- 21 the meeting still the first week in December,
- 22 Matt? As of right now, the meeting is

1	scheduled for the first week in December and
2	we'll need to start planning another meeting.
3	And, you know, we think, and I guess our best
4	guess is that basically new members will be
5	appointed before the next member meeting, but
6	
7	MR. BUCHET: I'm sure Mr. Foulke is
8	hoping that will happen.
9	MR. SMITH: We're hoping that will
10	happen, but so, you know, the folks going
11	off need to help how they can up until the
12	time that the new people are appointed. They
13	may not be at the next meeting. Yes, sir?
14	MR. ZWOLAK: A comment. Perhaps in
15	some ways the situation is what it is. There
16	are certain constraints that we operate or
17	certainly requirements that we operate is
18	probably a better word. Some thoughts here.

- 19 I heard some good ideas around the table, one
- 20 of which is a continuity book, okay, would be
- 21 a good idea. Maybe this is something that we
- 22 -- that in December, with the new committee

1	recognizing that we're meeting now on a
2	regular basis, that the work groups are
3	meeting on a regular basis. The continuity
4	book, the one issue.
5	Another one I liked and, Frank, you
6	brought it up, was in the work groups,
7	alternate terms for the co-chairs. Or Tom?
8	Tom, sorry, Tom Shanahan. Alternate terms is
9	a great idea. Some of the outside
10	organizations I deal with have a kind of
11	concept they use is kind of a president and a
12	president-elect, that the president-elect is
13	kind of the person that's going to step into
14	the slot two or three years down the road, so
15	they're getting familiar.
16	So perhaps there are things that we
17	can do to maintain the continuity. The other
18	thing, with presumably a transition coming up

- 19 in December, yes, funding is an issue that
- 20 perhaps we can't fund having the old members
- 21 come to the next meeting. But perhaps what
- 22 we can do is arrange a conference call where

1	the former members, we talk over some key
2	issues, get folks on the telephone and at
3	least exchange the ideas that way. Again,
4	not an ideal solution, not a perfect
5	solution, but at least some means of
6	transferring that corporate knowledge.
7	MR. SMITH: Okay. That's probably
8	a good summation right there of what we were
9	talking about.
10	MR. MIGLIACCIO: Frank Migliaccio.
10 11	MR. MIGLIACCIO: Frank Migliaccio. Eight years, (off mike) eight years ago when
	Eight years, (off mike) eight years ago when
11	Eight years, (off mike) eight years ago when I started, I probably would never have even
11 12	Eight years, (off mike) eight years ago when I started, I probably would never have even brought this up because I wouldn't have had
11 12 13 14	Eight years, (off mike) eight years ago when I started, I probably would never have even brought this up because I wouldn't have had
11 12 13 14	Eight years, (off mike) eight years ago when I started, I probably would never have even brought this up because I wouldn't have had my voice yet. I would've been still a little
 11 12 13 14 15 16 	Eight years, (off mike) eight years ago when I started, I probably would never have even brought this up because I wouldn't have had my voice yet. I would've been still a little afraid of you, but I'm not.

19 MR. ZWOLAK: One final thing. It

- 20 came to my attention that my staff that
- 21 assists so ably with this has never been
- 22 formally introduced to the committee. So let

1	me first off begin with Danezza.
2	Danezza Quintero works on a number
3	of our work groups. Danezza's a staff member
4	and will continue working with us as we look
5	forward in the future.
6	Joe Hajdowicz is also a very active
7	member. And, boy, I tried to get a hold of
8	Joe this morning, but he zipped off on a
9	couple of other tasks. You've seen Joe
10	around.
11	John Franklin, you'll be seeing
12	John more next time around.
13	So and of course, Mike Buchet.
14	And I want to give Danezza a moment just to
15	say a word or two and Mike. Mike almost
16	needs no introduction. He's really been the
17	wizard behind the curtain for this committee,
18	really keeping things moving along.

- 19 And so I want to formally introduce
- 20 Mike if it hasn't already been done so.(sic)
- 21 MR. BUCHET: Thank you.
- 22 MR. ZWOLAK: But give each --

1	Danezza a moment or two to say a word and
2	then Mike, also, please.
3	MS. QUINTERO: I know most of you
4	for the last five or six years. To formally
5	introduce myself, I'm Danezza Quintero. I
6	work for the Office of Construction Service.
7	I'm a civil engineer, have worked in the past
8	for the private sector as a project manager
9	for residential constructions; also as a
10	designer. In addition to that I work as a
11	civil engineer for the Forest Service in a
12	couple of in the Caribbean National
13	Service and in the Tallahassee Forest
14	Service.
15	After that, in Tallahassee,
16	basically from there I moved as a safety

- 17 engineer to the Ft. Lauderdale area office.
- 18 And I worked there for quite a few years.

- 19 And then from there, here I am.
- 20 So I'm the person a little bit
- 21 behind the scene for some of the things that
- 22 you see out there, like quick cards, fact

1	sheets, a lot of publications in English and
2	Spanish, technical expert, and I represent
3	the Office of Construction Service and a lot
4	of alliance partnerships, VPP Audits and
5	things like that. And if anything I can help
6	you, just give me a call. Thank you.
7	MR. SMITH: Thank you very much.
8	It's always nice to see your pretty smile
9	around. Michael?
10	MR. BUCHET: Oh, geez. Thanks. I
10 11	_
	suppose you all know I'm a lawyer. Some of
11	suppose you all know I'm a lawyer. Some of you probably know that I'm a carpenter. I've
11 12 13	suppose you all know I'm a lawyer. Some of you probably know that I'm a carpenter. I've
11 12 13	suppose you all know I'm a lawyer. Some of you probably know that I'm a carpenter. I've got 30 years in the union. A lot of you probably don't know that I used to carry an
11 12 13 14	suppose you all know I'm a lawyer. Some of you probably know that I'm a carpenter. I've got 30 years in the union. A lot of you probably don't know that I used to carry an
 11 12 13 14 15 	suppose you all know I'm a lawyer. Some of you probably know that I'm a carpenter. I've got 30 years in the union. A lot of you probably don't know that I used to carry an explosives use permit card. I started taking

- 19 I went to work for Bear Creek Mining Company
- 20 out of Spokane, Washington. My job was a
- 21 geological field assistant, which meant I
- 22 surveyed and staked claims and dug discovery

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

pits. But if you've ever dug a discovery pit, it's a lot of work. It's a lot easier to dig a little hole, pack a little fertilizer, a couple of sticks of dynamite, measure that fuse, break it off, print it. And I did a lot of work with explosives before I joined the Marine Corps. Then I started playing with the stuff and they said, well, here's a card 10 that'll help you estimate how to do that. And you look at the card and you go three 12 times what that says is how you make it go 13 bang better. So I've done some destructive 14 construction work. I also, after a little stint in 15 Vietnam, I became a commercial diver, so I've 16 17 done underwater commercial demolition for the Corps of Engineers and the Coast Guard and a 18

- 19 little demolition outside of that. And got
- 20 invited by some treasure hunters to blow a
- 21 propeller off a German submarine in the Long
- 22 Island Sound, which I refused to do. I think

- 1 that's a monument.
- 2 Other than that, I have built some houses. I have worked on some light 3 commercial work. I helped retrofit buildings 4 in Los Angeles County. When I was going to 5 law school, I went at night. I worked as a 6 7 carpenter during the day. Seismic 8 retrofitting, we called it. That's where you 9 drill holes in them and put steel rods through the building to hold the brick 10 11 together when it starts to shake. 12 Worked in the basement of the USC Medical Center. Interesting building, sits 13 14 on Teflon pads. 15 Well, I also tied rebar. We steal
- 16 everybody's work. Poured some pans. Did a
- 17 lot of mats. You know, we helped the rod
- 18 busters. They needed all the help they could

- 19 get. Did the same thing at the USC Medical
- 20 Center and said this is really starting to
- 21 hurt my body.
- I got out of law school and guess

1	what. Desert Storm. Every law firm I ever
2	thought of going to work for said you're a
3	Marine Reservist now, aren't you? I said
4	yeah. And they said, well, come see us when
5	you get back.
6	The brotherhood hired me to be
7	their work comp coordinator for the country.
8	I also took on responsibility for their
9	asbestos litigation program, so I got to work
10	with CPWR and Bob Jorjane and some of that.
11	And ended up with Joe Durst in the Health and
12	Safety Fund teaching the people that you now
13	know as those instructors. Bracco was one of
14	the people we brought on board and taught.
15	Went all over the country doing haz-waste,
16	asbestos, lead abatement, all that sort of
17	training. Policy on the policy side, EPA
18	comments on the comments side.

- 19 And as organizations go, there was
- 20 a political change of heart at the
- 21 brotherhood. And since I came in with the
- 22 last group, when the new group came in, I

1	went to the National Safety Council and sat
2	on this committee. And then finally come to
3	OSHA where I can exercise some of all that
4	practical experience and some of my legal
5	training.
6	And I'm more than willing to help
7	and firmly believe we have to send people
8	home safe every day. And I want to see
9	workers in the building trades work
10	successfully at the trade beyond 35. Because
11	I think we're seeing an incredible cutoff
12	from all sorts of injuries. We won't mention
13	the word, but there used to be a
14	Musculoskeletal Disorders Work Group here.
15	You remember. We were on the committee
16	together, which is a place where I think we
17	can probably expend some effort and keep
18	people working at the trades. Thank you.

- 19 MR. SMITH: Thank you, Mike. That
- 20 was interesting. I didn't know all that
- 21 about you.
- 22 MR. BUCHET: Oh, I've got more.

1	(Laughter) I worked in a plating
2	factory. I can't hold a job.
3	MR. SMITH: We're going to take
4	just another minute and then we're going to
5	go. I know Liz has got to catch a plane.
6	Dave Zwolak, tell us a little bit
7	about yourself. Give us your background.
8	MR. ZWOLAK: In the interest of
9	getting out of here, let me well, 30+
10	years in industrial hygiene and occupational
11	safety. U.S. Government, starting with OSHA,
12	then for the Navy, then for private industry,
13	back again to OSHA.
14	Assistant area director in
15	Philadelphia, Philadelphia area office. Saw
16	a lot of construction. Always although I
17	do industrial hygiene, construction always
18	held an attraction for me, some of which we

- 19 saw on the screen today. Heavy equipment,
- 20 big machines, potential -- great potentials
- 21 to protect workers' safety and health. So
- 22 construction has always had an attraction for

1	me.
-	

2	Heavy involvement with inspections.
3	I've done fatalities, both by myself and with
4	my compliance officers. We've done some
5	major construction inspections.
6	So I'm quite pleased to be here to
7	be working with this group. And I reiterate
8	what I said yesterday, is that you have my
9	admiration, all of you, for your
10	professionalism and personal dedication to
11	this effort. Very substantive discussion,
12	very positive discussion. I compliment you
13	for that.
14	One final word. Linwood, you held
15	on for another couple extra months in this
16	duty. You were in a challenging position.
17	And having sat beside you for two days, I
18	thank you for that and thank you for the

- 19 excellent job that you've done for us. And
- 20 we do hope -- this is a small world and we do
- 21 hope to be seeing you again as we go forward.
- 22 MR. SMITH: Oh, thank you. And

1	like I said, at least they didn't throw					
2	anything at me this time.					
3	And with that, to show that I can					
4	make an executive decision, meeting					
5	adjourned.					
6	(Whereupon, at 10:43 a.m., the					
7	PROCEEDINGS were adjourned.)					
8	* * * * *					
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						

 $file:///Q|/direct/construc/OCS/1\%20ACCSH/ACCSH\%20...02008/20080911-12/ACCSHOSHA-transcript-091208.txt\ (243\ of\ 244)10/8/2008\ 8:50:36\ AM$

19			
20			
21			
22			

file:///Q//direct/construc/OCS/1%20ACCSH/ACCSH%20...02008/20080911-12/ACCSHOSHA-transcript-091208.txt (244 of 244)10/8/2008 8:50:36 AM